## CHEMICAL BONDING AND MOLECULAR STRUCTURE

## Objectives

After studying this Unit, you will be able to

- understand Kössel-Lewis approach to chemical bonding;
- explain the octet rule and its limitations, draw Lewis structures of simple molecules;
- explain the formation of different types of bonds;
- describe the VSEPR theory and predict the geometry of simple molecules;
- explain the valence bond approach for the formation of covalent bonds;
- predict the directional properties of covalent bonds;
- explain the different types of hybridisation involving s, $p$ and $d$ orbitals and draw shapes of simple covalent molecules;
- describe the molecular orbital theory of homonuclear diatomic molecules;
- explain the concept of hydrogen bond.

Scientists are constantly discovering new compounds, orderly arranging the facts about them, trying to explain with the existing knowledge, organising to modify the earlier views or evolve theories for explaining the newly observed facts.

Matter is made up of one or different type of elements. Under normal conditions no other element exists as an independent atom in nature, except noble gases. However, a group of atoms is found to exist together as one species having characteristic properties. Such a group of atoms is called a molecule. Obviously there must be some force which holds these constituent atoms together in the molecules. The attractive force which holds various constituents (atoms, ions, etc.) together in different chemical species is called a chemical bond. Since the formation of chemical compounds takes place as a result of combination of atoms of various elements in different ways, it raises many questions. Why do atoms combine? Why are only certain combinations possible? Why do some atoms combine while certain others do not? Why do molecules possess definite shapes? To answer such questions different theories and concepts have been put forward from time to time. These are Kössel-Lewis approach, Valence Shell Electron Pair Repulsion (VSEPR) Theory, Valence Bond (VB) Theory and Molecular Orbital (MO) Theory. The evolution of various theories of valence and the interpretation of the nature of chemical bonds have closely been related to the developments in the understanding of the structure of atom, the electronic configuration of elements and the periodic table. Every system tends to be more stable and bonding is nature's way of lowering the energy of the system to attain stability.

### 4.1 KÖSSEL-LEWIS APPROACH TO CHEMICAL BONDING

In order to explain the formation of chemical bond in terms of electrons, a number of attempts were made, but it was only in 1916 when Kössel and Lewis succeeded independently in giving a satisfactory explanation. They were the first to provide some logical explanation of valence which was based on the inertness of noble gases.

Lewis pictured the atom in terms of a positively charged 'Kernel' (the nucleus plus the inner electrons) and the outer shell that could accommodate a maximum of eight electrons. He, further assumed that these eight electrons occupy the corners of a cube which surround the 'Kernel'. Thus the single outer shell electron of sodium would occupy one corner of the cube, while in the case of a noble gas all the eight corners would be occupied. This octet of electrons, represents a particularly stable electronic arrangement. Lewis postulated that atoms achieve the stable octet when they are linked by chemical bonds. In the case of sodium and chlorine, this can happen by the transfer of an electron from sodium to chlorine thereby giving the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions. In the case of other molecules like $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{2}$, etc., the bond is formed by the sharing of a pair of electrons between the atoms. In the process each atom attains a stable outer octet of electrons.
Lewis Symbols: In the formation of a molecule, only the outer shell electrons take part in chemical combination and they are known as valence electrons. The inner shell electrons are well protected and are generally not involved in the combination process. G.N. Lewis, an American chemist introduced simple notations to represent valence electrons in an atom. These notations are called Lewis symbols. For example, the Lewis symbols for the elements of second period are as under:


Significance of Lewis Symbols : The number of dots around the symbol represents
the number of valence electrons. This number of valence electrons helps to calculate the common or group valence of the element. The group valence of the elements is generally either equal to the number of dots in Lewis symbols or 8 minus the number of dots or valence electrons.

## Kössel, in relation to chemical bonding, drew attention to the following facts:

- In the periodic table, the highly electronegative halogens and the highly electropositive alkali metals are separated by the noble gases;
- The formation of a negative ion from a halogen atom and a positive ion from an alkali metal atom is associated with the gain and loss of an electron by the respective atoms;
- The negative and positive ions thus formed attain stable noble gas electronic configurations. The noble gases (with the exception of helium which has a duplet of electrons) have a particularly stable outer shell configuration of eight (octet) electrons, $n s^{2} n p^{6}$.
- The negative and positive ions are stabilized by electrostatic attraction.
For example, the formation of NaCl from sodium and chlorine, according to the above scheme, can be explained as:

| Na | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ |  | $[\mathrm{Ne}]$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{e}^{-}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ |

$[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 s^{2} 3 p^{5}$

$\mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$$\quad \rightarrow \quad$| $[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6}$ or [Ar] |
| :--- |
| NaCl or $\mathrm{Na}^{+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ |

Similarly the formation of $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ may be shown as:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{Ca} & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
{[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2}} & & {[\mathrm{Ar}]} \\
\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{e}^{-} & \rightarrow & \mathrm{F}^{-}
\end{array}
$$

$$
[\mathrm{He}] 2 s^{2} 2 p^{5} \quad[\mathrm{He}] 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} \text { or }[\mathrm{Ne}]
$$

$$
\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{~F}^{-} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{CaF}_{2} \quad \text { or } \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{F}^{-}\right)_{2}
$$

The bond formed, as a result of the electrostatic attraction between the positive and negative ions was termed as
the electrovalent bond. The electrovalence is thus equal to the number of unit charge(s) on the ion. Thus, calcium is assigned a positive electrovalence of two, while chlorine a negative electrovalence of one.

Kössel's postulations provide the basis for the modern concepts regarding ion-formation by electron transfer and the formation of ionic crystalline compounds. His views have proved to be of great value in the understanding and systematisation of the ionic compounds. At the same time he did recognise the fact that a large number of compounds did not fit into these concepts.

### 4.1.1 Octet Rule

Kössel and Lewis in 1916 developed an important theory of chemical combination between atoms known as electronic theory of chemical bonding. According to this, atoms can combine either by transfer of valence electrons from one atom to another (gaining or losing) or by sharing of valence electrons in order to have an octet in their valence shells. This is known as octet rule.

### 4.1.2 Covalent Bond

Langmuir (1919) refined the Lewis postulations by abandoning the idea of the stationary cubical arrangement of the octet, and by introducing the term covalent bond. The Lewis-Langmuir theory can be understood by considering the formation of the chlorine molecule, $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The Cl atom with electronic configuration, $[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 s^{2} 3 p^{5}$, is one electron short of the argon configuration. The formation of the $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ molecule can be understood in terms of the sharing of a pair of electrons between the two chlorine atoms, each chlorine atom contributing one electron to the shared pair. In the process both


Covalent bond between two Cl atoms
chlorine atoms attain the outer shell octet of the nearest noble gas (i.e., argon).

The dots represent electrons. Such structures are referred to as Lewis dot structures.

The Lewis dot structures can be written for other molecules also, in which the combining atoms may be identical or different. The important conditions being that:

- Each bond is formed as a result of sharing of an electron pair between the atoms.
- Each combining atom contributes at least one electron to the shared pair.
- The combining atoms attain the outershell noble gas configurations as a result of the sharing of electrons.
- Thus in water and carbon tetrachloride molecules, formation of covalent bonds


Each of the four Cl atoms along with the C atom attains octet of electrons

Thus, when two atoms share one electron pair they are said to be joined by a single covalent bond. In many compounds we have multiple bonds between atoms. The formation of multiple bonds envisages sharing of more than one electron pair between two atoms. If two atoms share two pairs of electrons, the covalent bond between them is called a double bond. For example, in the carbon dioxide molecule, we have two double bonds between the carbon and oxygen atoms. Similarly in ethene molecule the two carbon atoms are joined by a double bond.



When combining atoms share three electron pairs as in the case of two nitrogen atoms in the $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ molecule and the two carbon atoms in the ethyne molecule, a triple bond is formed.


### 4.1.3 Lewis Representation of Simple Molecules (the Lewis Structures)

The Lewis dot structures provide a picture of bonding in molecules and ions in terms of the shared pairs of electrons and the octet rule. While such a picture may not explain the bonding and behaviour of a molecule completely, it does help in understanding the formation and properties of a molecule to a large extent. Writing of Lewis dot structures of molecules is, therefore, very useful. The Lewis dot structures can be written by adopting the following steps:

- The total number of electrons required for writing the structures are obtained by adding the valence electrons of the combining atoms. For example, in the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ molecule there are eight valence electrons available for bonding ( 4 from carbon and 4 from the four hydrogen atoms).
- For anions, each negative charge would mean addition of one electron. For cations, each positive charge would result
in subtraction of one electron from the total number of valence electrons. For example, for the $\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}$ ion, the two negative charges indicate that there are two additional electrons than those provided by the neutral atoms. For $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$ion, one positive charge indicates the loss of one electron from the group of neutral atoms.
- Knowing the chemical symbols of the combining atoms and having knowledge of the skeletal structure of the compound (known or guessed intelligently), it is easy to distribute the total number of electrons as bonding shared pairs between the atoms in proportion to the total bonds.
- In general the least electronegative atom occupies the central position in the molecule/ion. For example in the $\mathrm{NF}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}$, nitrogen and carbon are the central atoms whereas fluorine and oxygen occupy the terminal positions.
- After accounting for the shared pairs of electrons for single bonds, the remaining electron pairs are either utilized for multiple bonding or remain as the lone pairs. The basic requirement being that each bonded atom gets an octet of electrons. Lewis representations of a few molecules/ ions are given in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 The Lewis Representation of Some Molecules

| Molecule/Ion |  | Lewis Representation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{H}^{*}$ | $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | :Ö: :Ö: | $: \ddot{\mathrm{O}}=\ddot{\mathrm{O}}$ : |
| $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $\because \ddot{\mathrm{O}}^{+}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{NF}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \ddot{\mathrm{F}}: \stackrel{\mathrm{N}: \ddot{\mathrm{F}}:}{ } \mathrm{:} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{llll}  & : & \mathrm{O} & \\ \therefore \mathrm{O} & \ddot{\mathrm{C}} & : \ddot{\mathrm{O}} \\ \hdashline & & \ddots \end{array}\right]^{2-}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ |  |  |

[^0] of electrons)

## Problem 4.1

Write the Lewis dot structure of CO molecule.

## Solution

Step 1. Count the total number of valence electrons of carbon and oxygen atoms. The outer (valence) shell configurations of carbon and oxygen atoms are: $2 s^{2} 2 p^{2}$ and $2 s^{2} 2 p^{4}$, respectively. The valence electrons available are $4+6=10$.
Step 2. The skeletal structure of CO is written as: C O
Step 3. Draw a single bond (one shared electron pair) between C and O and complete the octet on O, the remaining two electrons are the lone pair on C .

$$
: \mathrm{C}: \ddot{\mathrm{O}}: \text { or }: \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}:
$$

This does not complete the octet on carbon and hence we have to resort to multiple bonding (in this case a triple bond) between C and O atoms. This satisfies the octet rule condition for both atoms.


## Problem 4.2

Write the Lewis structure of the nitrite ion, $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$.

## Solution

Step 1. Count the total number of valence electrons of the nitrogen atom, the oxygen atoms and the additional one negative charge (equal to one electron).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}\left(2 s^{2} 2 p^{3}\right), \mathrm{O}\left(2 s^{2} 2 p^{4}\right) \\
& 5+(2 \times 6)+1=18 \text { electrons }
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2. The skeletal structure of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$is written as: O N O

Step 3. Draw a single bond (one shared electron pair) between the nitrogen and
each of the oxygen atoms completing the octets on oxygen atoms. This, however, does not complete the octet on nitrogen if the remaining two electrons constitute lone pair on it.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\because O \\
\hdashline \mathrm{O}
\end{array} \ddot{\mathrm{O}}:\right]^{-}
$$

Hence we have to resort to multiple bonding between nitrogen and one of the oxygen atoms (in this case a double bond). This leads to the following Lewis dot structures.

or


### 4.1.4 Formal Charge

Lewis dot structures, in general, do not represent the actual shapes of the molecules. In case of polyatomic ions, the net charge is possessed by the ion as a whole and not by a particular atom. It is, however, feasible to assign a formal charge on each atom. The formal charge of an atom in a polyatomic molecule or ion may be defined as the difference between the number of valence electrons of that atom in an isolated or free state and the number of electrons assigned to that atom in the Lewis structure. It is expressed as :
Formal charge (F.C.)
on an atom in a Lewis structure

| $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\begin{array}{l}\text { total number of valence } \\ \text { electrons in the free } \\ \text { atom }\end{array}\end{array}\right]-$ | $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { total number of non } \\ \text { bonding (lone pair) } \\ \text { electrons }\end{array}\right]$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $-(1 / 2)\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { total number of } \\ \text { bonding(shared) } \\ \text { electrons }\end{array}\right]$ |  |

The counting is based on the assumption that the atom in the molecule owns one electron of each shared pair and both the electrons of a lone pair.

Let us consider the ozone molecule $\left(\mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$. The Lewis structure of $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ may be drawn as :


The atoms have been numbered as 1,2 and 3 . The formal charge on:

- The central O atom marked 1

$$
=6-2-\frac{1}{2}(6)=+1
$$

- The end O atom marked 2

$$
=6-4-\frac{1}{2}(4)=0
$$

- The end O atom marked 3

$$
=6-6-\frac{1}{2}(2)=-1
$$

Hence, we represent $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ along with the formal charges as follows:


We must understand that formal charges do not indicate real charge separation within the molecule. Indicating the charges on the atoms in the Lewis structure only helps in keeping track of the valence electrons in the molecule. Formal charges help in the selection of the lowest energy structure from a number of possible Lewis structures for a given species. Generally the lowest energy structure is the one with the smallest formal charges on the atoms. The formal charge is a factor based on a pure covalent view of bonding in which electron pairs are shared equally by neighbouring atoms.

### 4.1.5 Limitations of the Octet Rule

The octet rule, though useful, is not universal. It is quite useful for understanding the structures of most of the organic compounds and it applies mainly to the second period elements of the periodic table. There are three types of exceptions to the octet rule.

## The incomplete octet of the central atom

In some compounds, the number of electrons surrounding the central atom is less than eight. This is especially the case with elements having less than four valence electrons. Examples are $\mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{BeH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc} 
& & \mathrm{Cl} \\
\mathrm{Li}: \mathrm{Cl} & \mathrm{H}: \mathrm{Be}: \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{Cl}: \ddot{\mathrm{B}}: \mathrm{Cl}
\end{array}
$$

$\mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{Be}$ and B have 1,2 and 3 valence electrons only. Some other such compounds are $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$.

## Odd-electron molecules

In molecules with an odd number of electrons like nitric oxide, NO and nitrogen dioxide, $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$, the octet rule is not satisfied for all the atoms



## The expanded octet

Elements in and beyond the third period of the periodic table have, apart from $3 s$ and $3 p$ orbitals, $3 d$ orbitals also available for bonding. In a number of compounds of these elements there are more than eight valence electrons around the central atom. This is termed as the expanded octet. Obviously the octet rule does not apply in such cases.

Some of the examples of such compounds are: $\mathrm{PF}_{5}, \mathrm{SF}_{6}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and a number of coordination compounds.


Interestingly, sulphur also forms many compounds in which the octet rule is obeyed. In sulphur dichloride, the S atom has an octet of electrons around it.

$$
\stackrel{\cdot}{\mathrm{Cl}}-\stackrel{\bullet}{\mathrm{S}}-\stackrel{\mathrm{Cl}}{\bullet} \text { or } \bullet \stackrel{\bullet}{\mathrm{Cl}}: \stackrel{\bullet}{\mathrm{S}}: \stackrel{\mathrm{Cl}}{\bullet}
$$

## Other drawbacks of the octet theory

- It is clear that octet rule is based upon the chemical inertness of noble gases. However, some noble gases (for example xenon and krypton) also combine with oxygen and fluorine to form a number of compounds like $\mathrm{XeF}_{2}, \mathrm{KrF}_{2}, \mathrm{XeOF}_{2}$ etc.,
- This theory does not account for the shape of molecules.
- It does not explain the relative stability of the molecules being totally silent about the energy of a molecule.


### 4.2 IONIC OR ELECTROVALENT BOND

From the Kössel and Lewis treatment of the formation of an ionic bond, it follows that the formation of ionic compounds would primarily depend upon:

- The ease of formation of the positive and negative ions from the respective neutral atoms;
- The arrangement of the positive and negative ions in the solid, that is, the lattice of the crystalline compound.
The formation of a positive ion involves ionization, i.e., removal of electron(s) from the neutral atom and that of the negative ion involves the addition of electron(s) to the neutral atom.

$$
\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{M}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} ;
$$

$$
\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) ;
$$

Ionization enthalpy

$$
\mathrm{M}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{X}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{MX}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

Electron gain enthalpy

The electron gain enthalpy, $\Delta_{e g} \boldsymbol{H}$, is the enthalpy change (Unit 3), when a gas phase atom in its ground state gains an electron. The electron gain process may be exothermic or endothermic. The ionization, on the other hand, is always endothermic. Electron affinity, is the negative of the energy change accompanying electron gain.


#### Abstract

Obviously ionic bonds will be formed more easily between elements with comparatively low ionization enthalpies and elements with comparatively high negative value of electron gain enthalpy.


Most ionic compounds have cations derived from metallic elements and anions from non-metallic elements. The ammonium ion, $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$(made up of two nonmetallic elements) is an exception. It forms the cation of a number of ionic compounds.

Ionic compounds in the crystalline state consist of orderly three-dimensional arrangements of cations and anions held together by coulombic interaction energies. These compounds crystallise in different crystal structures determined by the size of the ions, their packing arrangements and other factors. The crystal structure of sodium chloride, NaCl (rock salt), for example is shown below.


Rock salt structure
In ionic solids, the sum of the electron gain enthalpy and the ionization enthalpy may be positive but still the crystal structure gets stabilized due to the energy released in the formation of the crystal lattice. For example: the ionization enthalpy for $\mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{g})$ formation from $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{g})$ is $495.8 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$; while the electron gain enthalpy for the change $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$(g) is, $-348.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ only. The sum of the two, $147.1 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ is more than compensated for by the enthalpy of lattice formation of $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{s})\left(-788 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1}\right)$. Therefore, the energy released in the
processes is more than the energy absorbed. Thus a qualitative measure of the stability of an ionic compound is provided by its enthalpy of lattice formation and not simply by achieving octet of electrons around the ionic species in gaseous state.

Since lattice enthalpy plays a key role in the formation of ionic compounds, it is important that we learn more about it.

### 4.2.1 Lattice Enthalpy

The Lattice Enthalpy of an ionic solid is defined as the energy required to completely separate one mole of a solid ionic compound into gaseous constituent ions. For example, the lattice enthalpy of NaCl is $788 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. This means that 788 kJ of energy is required to separate one mole of solid NaCl into one mole of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{g})$ and one mole of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$ to an infinite distance.

This process involves both the attractive forces between ions of opposite charges and the repulsive forces between ions of like charge. The solid crystal being threedimensional; it is not possible to calculate lattice enthalpy directly from the interaction of forces of attraction and repulsion only. Factors associated with the crystal geometry have to be included.

### 4.3 BOND PARAMETERS

### 4.3.1 Bond Length

Bond length is defined as the equilibrium distance between the nuclei of two bonded atoms in a molecule. Bond lengths are measured by spectroscopic, X-ray diffraction and electron-diffraction techniques about which you will learn in higher classes. Each atom of the bonded pair contributes to the bond length (Fig. 4.1). In the case of a covalent bond, the contribution from each atom is called the covalent radius of that atom.

The covalent radius is measured approximately as the radius of an atom's core which is in contact with the core of an adjacent atom in a bonded situation. The covalent radius is half of the distance between two similar atoms joined by a


A

Fig. 4.1 The bond length in a covalent molecule $A B$.
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ( $R$ is the bond length and $r_{A}$ and $r_{B}$ are the covalent radii of atoms $A$ and $B$ respectively)
covalent bond in the same molecule. The van der Waals radius represents the overall size of the atom which includes its valence shell in a nonbonded situation. Further, the van der Waals radius is half of the distance between two similar atoms in separate molecules in a solid. Covalent and van der Waals radii of chlorine are depicted in Fig.4.2


Fig. 4.2 Covalent and van der Waals radii in a chlorine molecule. The inner circles correspond to the size of the chlorine atom ( $r_{v d w}$ and $r_{c}$ are van der Waals and covalent radii respectively).

Some typical average bond lengths for single, double and triple bonds are shown in Table 4.2. Bond lengths for some common molecules are given in Table 4.3.

The covalent radii of some common elements are listed in Table 4.4.

### 4.3.2 Bond Angle

It is defined as the angle between the orbitals containing bonding electron pairs around the central atom in a molecule/complex ion. Bond angle is expressed in degree which can be experimentally determined by spectroscopic methods. It gives some idea regarding the distribution of orbitals around the central atom in a molecule/complex ion and hence it helps us in determining its shape. For example $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond angle in water can be represented as under :


### 4.3.3 Bond Enthalpy

It is defined as the amount of energy required to break one mole of bonds of a particular type between two atoms in a gaseous state. The unit of bond enthalpy is $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. For example, the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ bond enthalpy in hydrogen molecule is $435.8 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.
$\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta_{\mathrm{a}} H^{\ominus}=435.8 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
Similarly the bond enthalpy for molecules containing multiple bonds, for example $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ will be as under :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \\
\Delta_{\mathrm{a}} H^{\ominus}=498 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{array}
$$

$\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~N} \equiv \mathrm{~N})(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{g}) ;$

$$
\Delta_{a} H^{\ominus}=946.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

It is important that larger the bond dissociation enthalpy, stronger will be the bond in the molecule. For a heteronuclear diatomic molecules like HCl , we have
$\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta_{\mathrm{a}} H^{\rho}=431.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
In case of polyatomic molecules, the measurement of bond strength is more complicated. For example in case of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecule, the enthalpy needed to break the two $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds is not the same.

Table 4.2 Average Bond Lengths for Some Single, Double and Triple Bonds

| Bond Type | Covalent Bond Length <br> (pm) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ | 96 |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ | 107 |
| $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{O}$ | 136 |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ | 143 |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ | 143 |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ | 154 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 121 |
| $\mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{O}$ | 122 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | 133 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ | 138 |
| $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ | 116 |
| $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}$ | 120 |

Table 4.3 Bond Lengths in Some Common Molecules

| Molecule | Bond Length <br> (pm) |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H})$ | 74 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{2}(\mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{F})$ | 144 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Cl})$ | 199 |
| $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Br})$ | 228 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{I})$ | 267 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~N} \equiv \mathrm{~N})$ | 109 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O})$ | 121 |
| $\mathrm{HF}(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{F})$ | 92 |
| $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl})$ | 127 |
| $\mathrm{HBr}(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Br})$ | 141 |
| $\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{I})$ | 160 |

Table 4.4 Covalent Radii, ${ }^{*} \mathrm{r}_{\text {cov }} /(\mathrm{pm})$

| H | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 77(1) | N | 74 (1) | O | 66(1) | F | 64 |
|  | 67 (2) |  | 65(2) |  | 57 (2) | Cl | 99 |
|  | 60(3) |  | 55(3) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | P | 110 | S | 104(1) | Br | 114 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 95(2) |  |  |
|  |  | As | 121 | Se | 104 | I | 133 |
|  |  | Sb | 141 | Te | 137 |  |  |

* The values cited are for single bonds, except where otherwise indicated in parenthesis. (See also Unit 3 for periodic trends).
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta_{\mathrm{a}} H_{1}^{\ominus}=502 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta_{\mathrm{a}} H_{2}^{\ominus}=427 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
The difference in the $\Delta_{\mathrm{a}} H^{\ominus}$ value shows that the second $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond undergoes some change because of changed chemical environment. This is the reason for some difference in energy of the same $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond in different molecules like $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ (ethanol) and water. Therefore in polyatomic molecules the term mean or average bond enthalpy is used. It is obtained by dividing total bond dissociation enthalpy by the number of bonds broken as explained below in case of water molecule,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Average bond enthalpy } & =\frac{502+427}{2} \\
& =464.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.3.4 Bond Order

In the Lewis description of covalent bond, the Bond Order is given by the number of bonds between the two atoms in a molecule. The bond order, for example in $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (with a single shared electron pair), in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (with two shared electron pairs) and in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (with three shared electron pairs) is $1,2,3$ respectively. Similarly in CO (three shared electron pairs between C and O ) the bond order is 3 . For $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, bond order is 3 and its $\Delta_{\mathrm{a}} H^{\ominus}$ is $946 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1}$; being one of the highest for a diatomic molecule.

Isoelectronic molecules and ions have identical bond orders; for example, $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{2-}$ have bond order 1. $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}$ and $\mathrm{NO}^{+}$ have bond order 3.

A general correlation useful for understanding the stablities of molecules is that: with increase in bond order, bond enthalpy increases and bond length decreases.

### 4.3.5 Resonance Structures

It is often observed that a single Lewis structure is inadequate for the representation of a molecule in conformity with its experimentally determined parameters. For example, the ozone, $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ molecule can be
equally represented by the structures I and II shown below:


Fig. 4.3 Resonance in the $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ molecule
(structures I and II represent the two canonical forms while the structure III is the resonance hybrid)

In both structures we have a $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ single bond and a $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}$ double bond. The normal $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths are 148 pm and 121 pm respectively. Experimentally determined oxygen-oxygen bond lengths in the $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ molecule are same ( 128 pm ). Thus the oxygen-oxygen bonds in the $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ molecule are intermediate between a double and a single bond. Obviously, this cannot be represented by either of the two Lewis structures shown above.

The concept of resonance was introduced to deal with the type of difficulty experienced in the depiction of accurate structures of molecules like $\mathrm{O}_{3}$. According to the concept of resonance, whenever a single Lewis structure cannot describe a molecule accurately, a number of structures with similar energy, positions of nuclei, bonding and non-bonding pairs of electrons are taken as the canonical structures of the hybrid which describes the molecule accurately. Thus for $\mathrm{O}_{3}$, the two structures shown above constitute the canonical structures or resonance structures and their hybrid i.e., the III structure represents the structure of $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ more accurately. This is also called resonance hybrid. Resonance is represented by a double headed arrow.

Some of the other examples of resonance structures are provided by the carbonate ion and the carbon dioxide molecule.

## Problem 4.3

Explain the structure of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}$ ion in terms of resonance.

## Solution

The single Lewis structure based on the presence of two single bonds and one double bond between carbon and oxygen atoms is inadequate to represent the molecule accurately as it represents unequal bonds. According to the experimental findings, all carbon to oxygen bonds in $\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}$ are equivalent. Therefore the carbonate ion is best described as a resonance hybrid of the canonical forms I, II, and III shown below.


Fig.4.4 Resonance in $\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}$, I, II and III represent the three canonical forms.

## Problem 4.4

Explain the structure of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecule.

## Solution

The experimentally determined carbon to oxygen bond length in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is 115 pm . The lengths of a normal carbon to oxygen double bond $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ and carbon to oxygen triple bond $(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O})$ are 121 pm and 110 pm respectively. The carbon-oxygen bond lengths in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ( 115 pm ) lie between the values for $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$. Obviously, a single Lewis structure cannot depict this position and it becomes necessary to write more than one Lewis structures and to consider that the structure of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is best described as a hybrid of the canonical or resonance forms I, II and III.


Fig. 4.5 Resonance in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecule, I, II and III represent the three canonical forms.

## In general, it may be stated that

- Resonance stabilizes the molecule as the energy of the resonance hybrid is less than the energy of any single cannonical structure; and,
- Resonance averages the bond characteristics as a whole.
Thus the energy of the $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ resonance hybrid is lower than either of the two cannonical froms I and II (Fig 4.3).

Many misconceptions are associated with resonance and the same need to be dispelled. You should remember that :

- The cannonical forms have no real existence.
- The molecule does not exist for a certain fraction of time in one cannonical form and for other fractions of time in other cannonical forms.
- There is no such equilibrium between the cannonical forms as we have between tautomeric forms (keto and enol) in tautomerism.
- The molecule as such has a single structure which is the resonance hybrid of the cannonical forms and which cannot as such be depicted by a single Lewis structure.


### 4.3.6 Polarity of Bonds

The existence of a hundred percent ionic or covalent bond represents an ideal situation. In reality no bond or a compound is either completely covalent or ionic. Even in case of covalent bond between two hydrogen atoms, there is some ionic character.

When covalent bond is formed between two similar atoms, for example in $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{F}_{2}$, the shared pair of electrons is equally
attracted by the two atoms. As a result electron pair is situated exactly between the two identical nuclei. The bond so formed is called nonpolar covalent bond. Contrary to this in case of a heteronuclear molecule like HF , the shared electron pair between the two atoms gets displaced more towards fluorine since the electronegativity of fluorine (Unit 3) is far greater than that of hydrogen. The resultant covalent bond is a polar covalent bond.

As a result of polarisation, the molecule possesses the dipole moment (depicted below) which can be defined as the product of the magnitude of the charge and the distance between the centres of positive and negative charge. It is usually designated by a Greek letter ' $\mu$ '. Mathematically, it is expressed as follows :
Dipole moment $(\mu)=$ charge $(\mathrm{O}) \times$ distance of separation (r)
Dipole moment is usually expressed in Debye units (D). The conversion factor is

$$
1 \mathrm{D}=3.33564 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{~m}
$$

where C is coulomb and m is meter.
Further dipole moment is a vector quantity and by convention it is depicted by a small arrow with tail on the negative centre and head pointing towards the positive centre. But in chemistry presence of dipole moment is represented by the crossed arrow ( $+>$ ) put on Lewis structure of the molecule. The cross is on positive end and arrow head is on negative end. For example the dipole moment of HF may be represented as :


This arrow symbolises the direction of the shift of electron density in the molecule. Note that the direction of crossed arrow is opposite to the conventional direction of dipole moment vector.


In case of polyatomic molecules the dipole moment not only depend upon the individual dipole moments of bonds known as bond dipoles but also on the spatial arrangement of various bonds in the molecule. In such case, the dipole moment of a molecule is the vector sum of the dipole moments of various bonds. For example in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecule, which has a bent structure, the two O-H bonds are oriented at an angle of $104.5^{\circ}$. Net dipole moment of 6.17 $\times 10^{-30} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}\left(1 \mathrm{D}=3.33564 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}\right)$ is the resultant of the dipole moments of two $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds.


Net Dipole moment, $\mu=1.85 \mathrm{D}$
$=1.85 \times 3.33564 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}=6.17 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}$
The dipole moment in case of $\mathrm{BeF}_{2}$ is zero. This is because the two equal bond dipoles point in opposite directions and cancel the effect of each other.



In tetra-atomic molecule, for example in $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$, the dipole moment is zero although the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{F}$ bonds are oriented at an angle of $120^{\circ}$ to one another, the three bond moments give a net sum of zero as the resultant of any two is equal and opposite to the third.

$\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ molecule; representation of (a) bond dipoles and (b) total dipole moment

Let us study an interesting case of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{NF}_{3}$ molecule. Both the molecules have pyramidal shape with a lone pair of electrons on nitrogen atom. Although fluorine is more electronegative than nitrogen, the resultant
dipole moment of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}\left(4.90 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}\right)$ is greater than that of $\mathrm{NF}_{3}\left(0.8 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}\right)$. This is because, in case of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ the orbital dipole due to lone pair is in the same direction as the resultant dipole moment of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds, whereas in $\mathrm{NF}_{3}$ the orbital dipole is in the direction opposite to the resultant dipole moment of the three N-F bonds. The orbital dipole because of lone pair decreases the effect of the resultant N - F bond moments, which results in the low dipole moment of $\mathrm{NF}_{3}$ as represented below :


Resultant dipole moment in $\mathrm{NH}_{3}=4.90 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}$


Resultant dipole moment in $\mathrm{NF}_{3}=0.80 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}$

Dipole moments of some molecules are shown in Table 4.5.

Just as all the covalent bonds have some partial ionic character, the ionic bonds also have partial covalent character. The partial covalent character of ionic bonds was discussed by Fajans

## in terms of the following rules:

- The smaller the size of the cation and the larger the size of the anion, the greater the covalent character of an ionic bond.
- The greater the charge on the cation, the greater the covalent character of the ionic bond.
- For cations of the same size and charge, the one, with electronic configuration $(n-1) d^{n} n s^{0}$, typical of transition metals, is more polarising than the one with a noble gas configuration, $n s^{2} n p^{6}$, typical of alkali and alkaline earth metal cations.
The cation polarises the anion, pulling the electronic charge toward itself and thereby increasing the electronic charge between the two. This is precisely what happens in a covalent bond, i.e., buildup of electron charge density between the nuclei. The polarising power of the cation, the polarisability of the anion and the extent of distortion (polarisation) of anion are the factors, which determine the per cent covalent character of the ionic bond.


### 4.4 THE VALENCE SHELL ELECTRON PAIR REPULSION (VSEPR) THEORY

As already explained, Lewis concept is unable to explain the shapes of molecules. This theory provides a simple procedure to predict the shapes of covalent molecules. Sidgwick

Table 4.5 Dipole Moments of Selected Molecules

| Type of <br> Molecule | Example | Dipole <br> Moment, $\mu(\mathbf{D})$ | Geometry |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Molecule (AB) | HF | 1.78 | linear |
|  | HCl | 1.07 | linear |
|  | HBr | 0.79 | linear |
|  | HI | 0.38 | linear |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 0 | linear |
| Molecule $\left(\mathbf{A B}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{)}\right.$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 1.85 | bent |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | 0.95 | bent |
|  | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | 0 | linear |
| Molecule $\left(\mathbf{A B}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{)}\right.$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 1.47 | trigonal-pyramidal |
|  | $\mathrm{NF}_{3}$ | 0.23 | trigonal-pyramidal |
|  | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ | 0 | trigonal-planar |
| Molecule $\left(\mathbf{A B}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{)}\right.$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | 0 | tetrahedral |
|  | $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | 1.04 | tetrahedral |
|  | $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ | 0 | tetrahedral |

and Powell in 1940, proposed a simple theory based on the repulsive interactions of the electron pairs in the valence shell of the atoms. It was further developed and redefined by Nyholm and Gillespie (1957).

## The main postulates of VSEPR theory are as follows:

- The shape of a molecule depends upon the number of valence shell electron pairs (bonded or nonbonded) around the central atom.
- Pairs of electrons in the valence shell repel one another since their electron clouds are negatively charged.
- These pairs of electrons tend to occupy such positions in space that minimise repulsion and thus maximise distance between them.
- The valence shell is taken as a sphere with the electron pairs localising on the spherical surface at maximum distance from one another.
- A multiple bond is treated as if it is a single electron pair and the two or three electron pairs of a multiple bond are treated as a single super pair.
- Where two or more resonance structures can represent a molecule, the VSEPR model is applicable to any such structure.


## The repulsive interaction of electron pairs decrease in the order:

Lone pair (lp) - Lone pair (lp) > Lone pair (lp) - Bond pair (bp) > Bond pair (bp) Bond pair (bp)

Nyholm and Gillespie (1957) refined the VSEPR model by explaining the important difference between the lone pairs and bonding pairs of electrons. While the lone pairs are localised on the central atom, each bonded pair is shared between two atoms. As a result, the lone pair electrons in a molecule occupy more space as compared to the bonding pairs of electrons. This results in greater repulsion between lone pairs of electrons as compared to the lone pair - bond pair and bond pair bond pair repulsions. These repulsion effects
result in deviations from idealised shapes and alterations in bond angles in molecules.

For the prediction of geometrical shapes of molecules with the help of VSEPR theory, it is convenient to divide molecules into two categories as (i) molecules in which the central atom has no lone pair and (ii) molecules in which the central atom has one or more lone pairs.

Table 4.6 (pagel14) shows the arrangement of electron pairs about a central atom A (without any lone pairs) and geometries of some molecules/ions of the type AB. Table 4.7 (page 115) shows shapes of some simple molecules and ions in which the central atom has one or more lone pairs. Table 4.8 (page 116) explains the reasons for the distortions in the geometry of the molecule.

As depicted in Table 4.6, in the compounds of $\mathrm{AB}_{2}, \mathrm{AB}_{3}, \mathrm{AB}_{4}, \mathrm{AB}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{AB}_{6}$, the arrangement of electron pairs and the $B$ atoms around the central atom $A$ are : linear, trigonal planar, tetrahedral, trigonalbipyramidal and octahedral, respectively. Such arrangement can be seen in the molecules like $\mathrm{BF}_{3}\left(\mathrm{AB}_{3}\right), \mathrm{CH}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AB}_{4}\right)$ and $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ $\left(\mathrm{AB}_{5}\right)$ as depicted below by their ball and stick models.

$\mathrm{BeCl}_{2}$

$\mathrm{BF}_{3}$

$\mathrm{CH}_{4}$

$\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$

Fig. 4.6 The shapes of molecules in which central atom has no lone pair

The VSEPR Theory is able to predict geometry of a large number of molecules, especially the compounds of $p$-block elements accurately. It is also quite successful in determining the geometry quite-accurately even when the energy difference between possible structures is very small. The theoretical basis of the VSEPR theory regarding the effects of electron pair repulsions on molecular shapes is not clear and continues to be a subject of doubt and discussion.

Table 4.6 Geometry of Molecules in which the Central Atom has No Lone Pair of Electrons


Table 4.7 Shape (geometry) of Some Simple Molecules/Ions with Central Ions having One or More Lone Pairs of Electrons(E).

| Molecule type | No. of bonding pairs | No. of lone pairs | Arrangement of electron pairs | Shape | Examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{AB}_{2} \mathrm{E}$ | 2 | 1 |  <br> Trigonal planer | Bent | $\mathrm{SO}^{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{AB}_{3} \mathrm{E}$ | 3 | 1 |  | Trigonal pyramidal | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{AB}_{3} \mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 2 | 2 |  <br> Tetrahedral | Bent | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| $\mathrm{AB}_{4} \mathrm{E}$ | 4 | 1 |  <br> Trigonal bi-pyramidal | See saw | $\mathrm{SF}_{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{AB}_{3} \mathrm{E}_{2}$ | $3$ | $2$ |  <br> Trigonal bi-pyramidal | T-shape | $\mathrm{ClF}_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{AB}_{5} \mathrm{E}$ | $5$ | 1 |  <br> Octahedral | Square pyramid | $\mathrm{BrF}_{5}$ |
| $\mathrm{AB}_{4} \mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 4 | 2 |  <br> Octahedral | Square planer | $\mathrm{XeF}_{4}$ |

Table 4.8 Shapes of Molecules containing Bond Pair and Lone Pair

| Molecule <br> type <br> Nonding <br> pairs | No. of <br> lone <br> pairs | Reason for the <br> shape acquired |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Molecule <br> typeNo. of <br> bonding <br> pairs | No. of <br> lone <br> pairs | Arrangement <br> of electrons | Reason for the <br> shape acquired |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{AB}_{3} \mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 3 |  | Shape (a) the lp are at |

### 4.5 VALENCE BOND THEORY

As we know that Lewis approach helps in writing the structure of molecules but it fails to explain the formation of chemical bond. It also does not give any reason for the difference in bond dissociation enthalpies and bond lengths in molecules like $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(435.8 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right.$, $74 \mathrm{pm})$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}\left(155 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1}, 144 \mathrm{pm}\right)$, although in both the cases a single covalent bond is formed by the sharing of an electron pair between the respective atoms. It also gives no idea about the shapes of polyatomic molecules.

Similarly the VSEPR theory gives the geometry of simple molecules but theoretically, it does not explain them and also it has limited applications. To overcome these limitations the two important theories based on quantum mechanical principles are introduced. These are valence bond (VB) theory and molecular orbital (MO) theory.

Valence bond theory was introduced by Heitler and London (1927) and developed further by Pauling and others. A discussion of the valence bond theory is based on the
knowledge of atomic orbitals, electronic configurations of elements (Units 2), the overlap criteria of atomic orbitals, the hybridization of atomic orbitals and the principles of variation and superposition. A rigorous treatment of the VB theory in terms of these aspects is beyond the scope of this book. Therefore, for the sake of convenience, valence bond theory has been discussed in terms of qualitative and non-mathematical treatment only. To start with, let us consider the formation of hydrogen molecule which is the simplest of all molecules.

Consider two hydrogen atoms A and B approaching each other having nuclei $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}$ and electrons present in them are represented by $e_{A}$ and $e_{B}$. When the two atoms are at large distance from each other, there is no interaction between them. As these two atoms approach each other, new attractive and repulsive forces begin to operate.
Attractive forces arise between:
(i) nucleus of one atom and its own electron that is $N_{A}-e_{A}$ and $N_{B}-e_{B}$.
(ii) nucleus of one atom and electron of other atom i.e., $N_{A}-e_{B}, N_{B}-e_{A}$.
Similarly repulsive forces arise between
(i) electrons of two atoms like $e_{A}-e_{B}$,
(ii) nuclei of two atoms $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}$.

Attractive forces tend to bring the two atoms close to each other whereas repulsive forces tend to push them apart (Fig. 4.7).


Fig. 4.7 Forces of attraction and repulsion during the formation of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecule.
Experimentally it has been found that the magnitude of new attractive force is more than the new repulsive forces. As a result, two atoms approach each other and potential energy decreases. Ultimately a stage is reached where the net force of attraction balances the force of repulsion and system acquires minimum energy. At this stage two
hydrogen atoms are said to be bonded together to form a stable molecule having the bond length of 74 pm .

Since the energy gets released when the bond is formed between two hydrogen atoms, the hydrogen molecule is more stable than that of isolated hydrogen atoms. The energy so released is called as bond enthalpy, which is corresponding to minimum in the curve depicted in Fig. 4.8. Conversely, 435.8 kJ of energy is required to dissociate one mole of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecule.


Fig. 4.8 The potential energy curve for the formation of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecule as a function of internuclear distance of the $H$ atoms. The minimum in the curve corresponds to the most stable state of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$.

### 4.5.1 Orbital Overlap Concept

In the formation of hydrogen molecule, there is a minimum energy state when two hydrogen atoms are so near that their atomic orbitals undergo partial interpenetration. This partial merging of atomic orbitals is called overlapping of atomic orbitals which results in the pairing of electrons. The extent of overlap decides the strength of a covalent bond. In general, greater the overlap the stronger is the bond formed between two atoms. Therefore, according to orbital overlap concept, the formation of a covalent bond between two atoms results by pairing of electrons present in the valence shell having opposite spins.

### 4.5.2 Directional Properties of Bonds

As we have already seen, the covalent bond is formed by overlapping of atomic orbitals. The molecule of hydrogen is formed due to the overlap of 1 s -orbitals of two H atoms.

In case of polyatomic molecules like $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the geometry of the molecules is also important in addition to the bond formation. For example why is it so that $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ molecule has tetrahedral shape and HCH bond angles are $109.5^{\circ}$ ? Why is the shape of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ molecule pyramidal?

The valence bond theory explains the shape, the formation and directional properties of bonds in polyatomic molecules like $\mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, etc. in terms of overlap and hybridisation of atomic orbitals.

### 4.5.3 Overlapping of Atomic Orbitals

When orbitals of two atoms come close to form bond, their overlap may be positive, negative or zero depending upon the sign (phase) and direction of orientation of amplitude of orbital wave function in space (Fig. 4.9). Positive and negative sign on boundary surface diagrams in the Fig. 4.9 show the sign (phase) of orbital wave function and are not related to charge. Orbitals forming bond should have same sign (phase) and orientation in space. This is called positive overlap. Various overlaps of $s$ and $p$ orbitals are depicted in Fig. 4.9.

The criterion of overlap, as the main factor for the formation of covalent bonds applies uniformly to the homonuclear/heteronuclear diatomic molecules and polyatomic molecules. We know that the shapes of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules are tetrahedral, pyramidal and bent respectively. It would be therefore interesting to use VB theory to find out if these geometrical shapes can be explained in terms of the orbital overlaps.

Let us first consider the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ (methane) molecule. The electronic configuration of carbon in its ground state is [He] $2 s^{2} 2 p^{2}$ which in the excited state becomes [He] $2 s^{1} 2 p_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{1} 2 p_{\mathrm{y}}{ }^{1}$ $2 p_{z}{ }^{1}$. The energy required for this excitation is compensated by the release of energy due to overlap between the orbitals of carbon and the


Zero overlap (out of phase due to different orientation direction of approach)

(i)

(j)

Fig.4.9 Positive, negative and zero overlaps of $s$ and $p$ atomic orbitals
hydrogen. The four atomic orbitals of carbon, each with an unpaired electron can overlap with the $1 s$ orbitals of the four H atoms which are also singly occupied. This will result in the formation of four C-H bonds. It will, however, be observed that while the three p orbitals of carbon are at $90^{\circ}$ to one another, the HCH angle for these will also be $90^{\circ}$. That is three $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds will be oriented at $90^{\circ}$ to one another. The 2 s orbital of carbon and the 1 s orbital of H are spherically symmetrical and they can overlap in any direction. Therefore the direction of the fourth $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond cannot be ascertained. This description does not fit in with the tetrahedral HCH angles of $109.5^{\circ}$. Clearly, it follows that simple atomic orbital overlap does not account for the directional characteristics of bonds in $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$. Using similar procedure and arguments, it can be seen that in the
case of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules, the HNH and HOH angles should be $90^{\circ}$. This is in disagreement with the actual bond angles of $107^{\circ}$ and $104.5^{\circ}$ in the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules respectively.

### 4.5.4 Types of Overlapping and Nature of Covalent Bonds

The covalent bond may be classified into two types depending upon the types of overlapping:
(i) Sigma( $\sigma$ ) bond, and (ii) pi( $\pi$ ) bond
(i) Sigma( $\sigma$ ) bond : This type of covalent bond is formed by the end to end (head-on) overlap of bonding orbitals along the internuclear axis. This is called as head on overlap or axial overlap. This can be formed by any one of the following types of combinations of atomic orbitals.

- s-s overlapping : In this case, there is overlap of two half filled $s$-orbitals along the internuclear axis as shown below :

- $\boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{- p}$ overlapping: This type of overlap occurs between half filled $s$-orbitals of one atom and half filled $p$-orbitals of another atom.

- $\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{-} \boldsymbol{p}$ overlapping : This type of overlap takes place between half filled $p$-orbitals of the two approaching atoms.

(ii) $\mathbf{p i}(\pi)$ bond: In the formation of $\pi$ bond the atomic orbitals overlap in such a way that their axes remain parallel to each other and perpendicular to the internuclear axis. The orbitals formed due to sidewise overlapping consists of two saucer type
charged clouds above and below the plane of the participating atoms.



### 4.5.5 Strength of Sigma and pi Bonds

Basically the strength of a bond depends upon the extent of overlapping. In case of sigma bond, the overlapping of orbitals takes place to a larger extent. Hence, it is stronger as compared to the pi bond where the extent of overlapping occurs to a smaller extent. Further, it is important to note that in the formation of multiple bonds between two atoms of a molecule, pi bond(s) is formed in addition to a sigma bond.

### 4.6 HYBRIDISATION

In order to explain the characteristic geometrical shapes of polyatomic molecules like $\mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ etc., Pauling introduced the concept of hybridisation. According to him the atomic orbitals combine to form new set of equivalent orbitals known as hybrid orbitals. Unlike pure orbitals, the hybrid orbitals are used in bond formation. The phenomenon is known as hybridisation which can be defined as the process of intermixing of the orbitals of slightly different energies so as to redistribute their energies, resulting in the formation of new set of orbitals of equivalent energies and shape. For example when one $2 s$ and three $2 p$-orbitals of carbon hybridise, there is the formation of four new $s p^{3}$ hybrid orbitals.

Salient features of hybridisation: The main features of hybridisation are as under :

1. The number of hybrid orbitals is equal to the number of the atomic orbitals that get hybridised.
2. The hybridised orbitals are always equivalent in energy and shape.
3. The hybrid orbitals are more effective in forming stable bonds than the pure atomic orbitals.
4. These hybrid orbitals are directed in space in some preferred direction to have minimum repulsion between electron pairs and thus a stable arrangement. Therefore, the type of hybridisation indicates the geometry of the molecules.
Important conditions for hybridisation
(i) The orbitals present in the valence shell of the atom are hybridised.
(ii) The orbitals undergoing hybridisation should have almost equal energy.
(iii) Promotion of electron is not essential condition prior to hybridisation.
(iv) It is not necessary that only half filled orbitals participate in hybridisation. In some cases, even filled orbitals of valence shell take part in hybridisation.

### 4.6.1 Types of Hybridisation

There are various types of hybridisation involving $s, p$ and $d$ orbitals. The different types of hybridisation are as under:
(I) $\boldsymbol{s p}$ hybridisation: This type of hybridisation involves the mixing of one $s$ and one $p$ orbital resulting in the formation of two equivalent $s p$ hybrid orbitals. The suitable orbitals for $s p$ hybridisation are $s$ and $p_{z}$, if the hybrid orbitals are to lie along the z -axis. Each $s p$ hybrid orbitals has $50 \%$ s-character and $50 \% p$-character. Such a molecule in which the central atom is $s p$-hybridised and linked directly to two other central atoms possesses linear geometry. This type of hybridisation is also known as diagonal hybridisation.

The two $s p$ hybrids point in the opposite direction along the $z$-axis with projecting positive lobes and very small negative lobes, which provides more effective overlapping resulting in the formation of stronger bonds.

## Example of molecule having sp hybridisation

$\mathbf{B e C l}_{2}$ : The ground state electronic configuration of Be is $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2}$. In the exited state one of the $2 s$-electrons is promoted to
vacant $2 p$ orbital to account for its bivalency. One $2 s$ and one $2 p$-orbital gets hybridised to form two $s p$ hybridised orbitals. These two $s p$ hybrid orbitals are oriented in opposite direction forming an angle of $180^{\circ}$. Each of the $s p$ hybridised orbital overlaps with the $2 p$-orbital of chlorine axially and form two BeCl sigma bonds. This is shown in Fig. 4.10.


Fig.4.10 (a) Formation of sp hybrids from $s$ and p orbitals; (b) Formation of the linear $\mathrm{BeCl}_{2}$ molecule
(II) $\boldsymbol{s p}^{2}$ hybridisation : In this hybridisation there is involvement of one $s$ and two $p$-orbitals in order to form three equivalent $s p^{2}$ hybridised orbitals. For example, in $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ molecule, the ground state electronic configuration of central boron atom is $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{1}$. In the excited state, one of the $2 s$ electrons is promoted to vacant $2 p$ orbital as


Fig.4.11 Formation of $s p^{2}$ hybrids and the $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ molecule
a result boron has three unpaired electrons. These three orbitals (one $2 s$ and two $2 p$ ) hybridise to form three $s p^{2}$ hybrid orbitals. The three hybrid orbitals so formed are oriented in a trigonal planar arrangement and overlap with $2 p$ orbitals of chlorine to form three $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds. Therefore, in $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ (Fig. 4.11), the geometry is trigonal planar with ClBCl bond angle of $120^{\circ}$.
(III) $\boldsymbol{s p}^{\mathbf{3}}$ hybridisation: This type of hybridisation can be explained by taking the example of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ molecule in which there is mixing of one $s$-orbital and three $p$-orbitals of the valence shell to form four $s p^{3}$ hybrid orbital of equivalent energies and shape. There is $25 \%$ $s$-character and $75 \% p$-character in each $s p^{3}$ hybrid orbital. The four $s p^{3}$ hybrid orbitals so formed are directed towards the four corners of the tetrahedron. The angle between $s p^{3}$ hybrid orbital is $109.5^{\circ}$ as shown in Fig. 4.12.


Fig.4.12 Formation of $s p^{3}$ hybrids by the combination of $s, p_{x}, p_{y}$ and $p_{z}$ atomic orbitals of carbon and the formation of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ molecule

The structure of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules can also be explained with the help of $s p^{3}$ hybridisation. In $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, the valence shell (outer) electronic configuration of nitrogen in the
ground state is $2 S^{2} 2 p_{x}^{1} 2 p_{y}^{1} 2 p_{z}^{1}$ having three unpaired electrons in the $s p^{3}$ hybrid orbitals and a lone pair of electrons is present in the fourth one. These three hybrid orbitals overlap with $1 s$ orbitals of hydrogen atoms to form three $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ sigma bonds. We know that the force of repulsion between a lone pair and a bond pair is more than the force of repulsion between two bond pairs of electrons. The molecule thus gets distorted and the bond angle is reduced to $107^{\circ}$ from $109.5^{\circ}$. The geometry of such a molecule will be pyramidal as shown in Fig. 4.13.


Fig.4.13 Formation of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ molecule
In case of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecule, the four oxygen orbitals (one $2 s$ and three $2 p$ ) undergo $s p^{3}$ hybridisation forming four $s p^{3}$ hybrid orbitals out of which two contain one electron each and the other two contain a pair of electrons. These four $s p^{3}$ hybrid orbitals acquire a tetrahedral geometry, with two corners occupied by hydrogen atoms while the other two by the lone pairs. The bond angle in this case is reduced to $104.5^{\circ}$ from $109.5^{\circ}$ (Fig. 4.14) and the molecule thus acquires a V-shape or angular geometry.


Fig.4.14 Formation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecule

### 4.6.2 Other Examples of $s p^{3}, s p^{2}$ and $s p$ Hybridisation

sp ${ }^{3}$ Hybridisation in $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ molecule: In ethane molecule both the carbon atoms assume $s p^{3}$ hybrid state. One of the four $s p^{3}$ hybrid orbitals of carbon atom overlaps axially with similar orbitals of other atom to form $s p^{3}-s p^{3}$ sigma bond while the other three hybrid orbitals of each carbon atom are used in forming $s p^{3}-s$ sigma bonds with hydrogen atoms as discussed in section 4.6.1(iii). Therefore in ethane C-C bond length is 154 pm and each $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length is 109 pm .
$\boldsymbol{s p}^{\mathbf{2}}$ Hybridisation in $\mathbf{C}_{2} \boldsymbol{H}_{4}$ : In the formation of ethene molecule, one of the $s p^{2}$ hybrid orbitals of carbon atom overlaps axially with $s p^{2}$ hybridised orbital of another carbon atom to form $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ sigma bond. While the other two
$s p^{2}$ hybrid orbitals of each carbon atom are used for making $s p^{2}-s$ sigma bond with two hydrogen atoms. The unhybridised orbital $\left(2 p_{x}\right.$ or $2 p_{v}$ ) of one carbon atom overlaps sidewise with the similar orbital of the other carbon atom to form weak $\pi$ bond, which consists of two equal electron clouds distributed above and below the plane of carbon and hydrogen atoms.

Thus, in ethene molecule, the carboncarbon bond consists of one $s p^{2}-s p^{2}$ sigma bond and one pi $(\pi)$ bond between $p$ orbitals which are not used in the hybridisation and are perpendicular to the plane of molecule; the bond length 134 pm . The C-H bond is $s p^{2}-s$ sigma with bond length 108 pm . The H-$\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond angle is $117.6^{\circ}$ while the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ angle is $121^{\circ}$. The formation of sigma and pi bonds in ethene is shown in Fig. 4.15.

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)
(e)

Fig. 4.15 Formation of sigma and pi bonds in ethene
sp Hybridisation in $\mathbf{C}_{2} \boldsymbol{H}_{2}$ : In the formation of ethyne molecule, both the carbon atoms undergo $s p$-hybridisation having two unhybridised orbital i.e., $2 p_{\mathrm{y}}$ and $2 p_{\mathrm{x}}$.

One $s p$ hybrid orbital of one carbon atom overlaps axially with $s p$ hybrid orbital of the other carbon atom to form C-C sigma bond, while the other hybridised orbital of each carbon atom overlaps axially with the half filled $s$ orbital of hydrogen atoms forming $\sigma$ bonds. Each of the two unhybridised $p$ orbitals of both the carbon atoms overlaps sidewise to form two $\pi$ bonds between the carbon atoms. So the triple bond between the two carbon atoms is made up of one sigma and two pi bonds as shown in Fig. 4.16.

### 4.6.3 Hybridisation of Elements involving d Orbitals

The elements present in the third period contain $d$ orbitals in addition to $s$ and $p$ orbitals. The energy of the $3 d$ orbitals are comparable to the energy of the $3 s$ and $3 p$ orbitals. The energy of $3 d$ orbitals are also comparable to those of $4 s$ and $4 p$ orbitals. As a consequence the hybridisation involving either $3 s, 3 p$ and $3 d$ or $3 d, 4 s$ and $4 p$ is possible. However, since the difference in energies of $3 p$ and $4 s$ orbitals is significant, no hybridisation involving $3 p, 3 d$ and $4 s$ orbitals is possible.

The important hybridisation schemes involving $s, p$ and $d$ orbitals are summarised below:

(a)

(b)


Fig.4.16 Formation of sigma and pi bonds in ethyne

| Shape of <br> molecules $/$ <br> ions | Hybridisation <br> type | Atomic <br> orbitals | Examples |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Square <br> planar | $d s p^{2}$ | $d+s+p(2)$ | $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}\right]^{2-}$, <br> $\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{Cl})_{4}\right]^{2-}$ |
| Trigonal <br> bipyramidal | $s p^{3} d$ | $s+p(3)+d$ | $\mathrm{PF}_{5}, \mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ |
| Square <br> pyramidal <br> Octahedral | $s p^{3} d^{2}$ <br> $s^{3} d^{2}$ <br> $d^{2} s p^{3}$ | $s+p(3)+d(2)$ | $\mathrm{BrF}_{5}$ |
| $s+p(3)+d(2)$ |  |  |  |
| $d(2)+s+p(3)$ | $\mathrm{SF}_{6},\left[\mathrm{CrF}_{6}\right]^{3-}$ <br> $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ |  |  |

(i) Formation of $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ ( $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{~d}$ hybridisation):
The ground state and the excited state outer electronic configurations of phosphorus ( $Z=15$ ) are represented below.

$s p^{3} d$ hybrid orbitals filled by electron pairs donated by five Cl atoms.

Now the five orbitals (i.e., one $s$, three $p$ and one $d$ orbitals) are available for hybridisation to yield a set of five $s p^{3} d$ hybrid orbitals which are directed towards the five corners of a trigonal bipyramidal as depicted in the Fig. 4.17 .


Fig. 4.17 Trigonal bipyramidal geometry of $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ molecule

It should be noted that all the bond angles in trigonal bipyramidal geometry are not equivalent. In $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ the five $s p^{3} d$ orbitals of phosphorus overlap with the singly occupied $p$ orbitals of chlorine atoms to form five $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Cl}$ sigma bonds. Three $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond lie in one plane and make an angle of $120^{\circ}$ with each other; these bonds are termed as equatorial bonds. The remaining two $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds-one lying above and the other lying below the equatorial plane, make an angle of $90^{\circ}$ with the plane. These bonds are called axial bonds. As the axial bond pairs suffer more repulsive interaction from the equatorial bond pairs, therefore axial bonds have been found to be slightly longer and hence slightly weaker than the equatorial bonds; which makes $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ molecule more reactive.
(ii) Formation of $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ ( $\boldsymbol{s p}^{3} \mathbf{d}^{2}$ hybridisation): In $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ the central sulphur atom has the ground state outer electronic configuration $3 s^{2} 3 p^{4}$. In the exited state the available six orbitals i.e., one $s$, three $p$ and two $d$ are singly occupied by electrons. These orbitals hybridise to form six new $s p^{3} d^{2}$ hybrid orbitals, which are projected towards the six corners of a regular octahedron in $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$. These six $s p^{3} d^{2}$
hybrid orbitals overlap with singly occupied orbitals of fluorine atoms to form six S-F sigma bonds. Thus $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ molecule has a regular octahedral geometry as shown in Fig. 4.18.


Fig. 4.18 Octahedral geometry of $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ molecule

### 4.7 MOLECULAR ORBITAL THEORY

Molecular orbital (MO) theory was developed by F. Hund and R.S. Mulliken in 1932. The salient features of this theory are :
(i) The electrons in a molecule are present in the various molecular orbitals as the electrons of atoms are present in the various atomic orbitals.
(ii) The atomic orbitals of comparable energies and proper symmetry combine to form molecular orbitals.
(iii) While an electron in an atomic orbital is influenced by one nucleus, in a molecular orbital it is influenced by two or more nuclei depending upon the number of atoms in the molecule. Thus,
an atomic orbital is monocentric while a molecular orbital is polycentric.
(iv) The number of molecular orbital formed is equal to the number of combining atomic orbitals. When two atomic orbitals combine, two molecular orbitals are formed. One is known as bonding molecular orbital while the other is called antibonding molecular orbital.
(v) The bonding molecular orbital has lower energy and hence greater stability than the corresponding antibonding molecular orbital.
(vi) Just as the electron probability distribution around a nucleus in an atom is given by an atomic orbital, the electron probability distribution around a group of nuclei in a molecule is given by a molecular orbital.
(vii) The molecular orbitals like atomic orbitals are filled in accordance with the aufbau principle obeying the Pauli's exclusion principle and the Hund's rule.

### 4.7.1 Formation of Molecular Orbitals Linear Combination of Atomic Orbitals (LCAO)

According to wave mechanics, the atomic orbitals can be expressed by wave functions ( $\psi$ 's) which represent the amplitude of the electron waves. These are obtained from the solution of Schrödinger wave equation. However, since it cannot be solved for any system containing more than one electron, molecular orbitals which are one electron wave functions for molecules are difficult to obtain directly from the solution of Schrödinger wave equation. To overcome this problem, an approximate method known as linear combination of atomic orbitals (LCAO) has been adopted.

Let us apply this method to the homonuclear diatomic hydrogen molecule. Consider the hydrogen molecule consisting of two atoms A and B. Each hydrogen atom in the ground state has one electron in 1 s orbital. The atomic orbitals of these atoms may be represented by the wave functions $\psi_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\psi_{\mathrm{B}}$.

Mathematically, the formation of molecular orbitals may be described by the linear combination of atomic orbitals that can take place by addition and by subtraction of wave functions of individual atomic orbitals as shown below :

$$
\psi_{\mathrm{MO}}=\psi_{\mathrm{A}} \pm \psi_{\mathrm{B}}
$$

Therefore, the two molecular orbitals $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{*}$ are formed as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma=\psi_{\mathrm{A}}+\psi_{\mathrm{B}} \\
& \sigma^{*}=\psi_{\mathrm{A}}-\psi_{\mathrm{B}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The molecular orbital $\sigma$ formed by the addition of atomic orbitals is called the bonding molecular orbital while the molecular orbital $\sigma^{*}$ formed by the subtraction of atomic orbital is called antibonding molecular orbital as depicted in Fig. 4.19.


Fig.4.19 Formation of bonding ( $\sigma$ ) and antibonding $\left(\sigma^{*}\right)$ molecular orbitals by the linear combination of atomic orbitals $\psi_{A}$ and $\psi_{B}$ centered on two atoms $A$ and $B$ respectively.

Qualitatively, the formation of molecular orbitals can be understood in terms of the constructive or destructive interference of the electron waves of the combining atoms. In the formation of bonding molecular orbital, the two electron waves of the bonding atoms reinforce each other due to constructive interference while in the formation of
antibonding molecular orbital, the electron waves cancel each other due to destructive interference. As a result, the electron density in a bonding molecular orbital is located between the nuclei of the bonded atoms because of which the repulsion between the nuclei is very less while in case of an antibonding molecular orbital, most of the electron density is located away from the space between the nuclei. Infact, there is a nodal plane (on which the electron density is zero) between the nuclei and hence the repulsion between the nuclei is high. Electrons placed in a bonding molecular orbital tend to hold the nuclei together and stabilise a molecule. Therefore, a bonding molecular orbital always possesses lower energy than either of the atomic orbitals that have combined to form it. In contrast, the electrons placed in the antibonding molecular orbital destabilise the molecule. This is because the mutual repulsion of the electrons in this orbital is more than the attraction between the electrons and the nuclei, which causes a net increase in energy.

It may be noted that the energy of the antibonding orbital is raised above the energy of the parent atomic orbitals that have combined and the energy of the bonding orbital has been lowered than the parent orbitals. The total energy of two molecular orbitals, however, remains the same as that of two original atomic orbitals.

### 4.7.2 Conditions for the Combination of Atomic Orbitals

The linear combination of atomic orbitals to form molecular orbitals takes place only if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. The combining atomic orbitals must have the same or nearly the same energy. This means that 1 s orbital can combine with another $1 s$ orbital but not with $2 s$ orbital because the energy of $2 s$ orbital is appreciably higher than that of $1 s$ orbital. This is not true if the atoms are very different.
2. The combining atomic orbitals must have the same symmetry about the
molecular axis. By convention z -axis is taken as the molecular axis. It is important to note that atomic orbitals having same or nearly the same energy will not combine if they do not have the same symmetry. For example, $2 p_{z}$ orbital of one atom can combine with $2 p_{z}$ orbital of the other atom but not with the $2 p_{x}$ or $2 p_{y}$ orbitals because of their different symmetries.
3. The combining atomic orbitals must overlap to the maximum extent. Greater the extent of overlap, the greater will be the electron-density between the nuclei of a molecular orbital.

### 4.7.3 Types of Molecular Orbitals

Molecular orbitals of diatomic molecules are designated as $\sigma$ (sigma), $\pi$ (pi), $\delta$ (delta), etc.

In this nomenclature, the sigma ( $\sigma$ ) molecular orbitals are symmetrical around the bond-axis while pi ( $\pi$ ) molecular orbitals are not symmetrical. For example, the linear combination of 1 s orbitals centered on two nuclei produces two molecular orbitals which are symmetrical around the bond-axis. Such molecular orbitals are of the $\sigma$ type and are designated as $\sigma 1 s$ and $\sigma^{*} 1 s$ [Fig. 4.20(a), page 124]. If internuclear axis is taken to be in the $z$-direction, it can be seen that a linear combination of $2 p_{z}$ - orbitals of two atoms also produces two sigma molecular orbitals designated as $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{p}_{z}$ and $\sigma^{*} \mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{z}}$. [Fig. 4.20(b)]

Molecular orbitals obtained from $2 p_{x}$ and $2 p_{\mathrm{y}}$ orbitals are not symmetrical around the bond axis because of the presence of positive lobes above and negative lobes below the molecular plane. Such molecular orbitals, are labelled as $\pi$ and $\pi^{*}$ [Fig. 4.20(c)]. A $\pi$ bonding MO has larger electron density above and below the inter-nuclear axis. The $\pi^{*}$ antibonding MO has a node between the nuclei.

### 4.7.4 Energy Level Diagram for Molecular Orbitals

We have seen that $1 s$ atomic orbitals on two atoms form two molecular orbitals designated as $\sigma 1 s$ and $\sigma^{*} 1 s$. In the same manner, the $2 s$ and $2 p$ atomic orbitals (eight atomic orbitals


Fig. 4.20 Contours and energies of bonding and antibonding molecular orbitals formed through combinations of (a) 1s atomic orbitals; (b) $2 p_{z}$ atomic orbitals and (c) $2 p_{x}$ atomic orbitals.
on two atoms) give rise to the following eight molecular orbitals:

Antibonding MOs $\sigma^{*} 2 s \sigma^{*} 2 p_{z} \pi^{*} 2 p_{x} \pi^{*} 2 p_{y}$ Bonding MOs $\quad \sigma 2 s \quad \sigma 2 p_{z} \quad \pi 2 p_{x} \quad \pi 2 p_{y}$

The energy levels of these molecular orbitals have been determined experimentally from spectroscopic data for homonuclear diatomic molecules of second row elements of the periodic table. The increasing order of
energies of various molecular orbitals for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $F_{2}$ is given below:
$\sigma 1 s<\sigma^{*} 1 s<\sigma 2 s<\sigma^{*} 2 s<\sigma 2 p_{z}<\left(\pi 2 p_{x}=\pi 2 p_{y}\right)$ $<\left(\pi^{*} 2 p_{x}=\pi^{*} 2 p_{y}\right)<\sigma^{*} 2 p_{z}$

However, this sequence of energy levels of molecular orbitals is not correct for the remaining molecules $\mathrm{Li}_{2}, \mathrm{Be}_{2}, \mathrm{~B}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}$. For instance, it has been observed experimentally that for molecules such as $\mathrm{B}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, etc. the increasing order of energies of various molecular orbitals is
$\sigma 1 \mathrm{~s}<\sigma^{*} 1 \mathrm{~s}<\sigma 2 \mathrm{~s}<\sigma^{*} 2 \mathrm{~s}<\left(\pi 2 p_{x}=\pi 2 p_{y}\right)$
$<\sigma 2 p_{z}<\left(\pi^{*} 2 p_{x}=\pi^{*} 2 p_{y}\right)<\sigma^{*} 2 p_{z}$
The important characteristic feature of this order is that the energy of $\boldsymbol{\sigma} 2 \boldsymbol{p}_{z}$ molecular orbital is higher than that of $\pi \mathbf{2 p}_{x}$ and $\pi \mathbf{2 p} p_{y}$ molecular orbitals.

### 4.7.5 Electronic Configuration and Molecular Behaviour

The distribution of electrons among various molecular orbitals is called the electronic configuration of the molecule. From the electronic configuration of the molecule, it is possible to get important information about the molecule as discussed below.

Stability of Molecules: If $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is the number of electrons occupying bonding orbitals and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}$ the number occupying the antibonding orbitals, then
(i) the molecule is stable if $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is greater than $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}$, and
(ii) the molecule is unstable if $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is less than $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}$.
In (i) more bonding orbitals are occupied and so the bonding influence is stronger and a stable molecule results. In (ii) the antibonding influence is stronger and therefore the molecule is unstable.

## Bond order

Bond order (b.o.) is defined as one half the difference between the number of electrons present in the bonding and the antibonding orbitals i.e.,

Bond order (b.o.) $=1 / 2\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$

The rules discussed above regarding the stability of the molecule can be restated in terms of bond order as follows: A positive bond order (i.e., $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}>\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) means a stable molecule while a negative (i.e., $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}<\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) or zero (i.e., $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) bond order means an unstable molecule.

## Nature of the bond

Integral bond order values of 1,2 or 3 correspond to single, double or triple bonds respectively as studied in the classical concept.

## Bond-length

The bond order between two atoms in a molecule may be taken as an approximate measure of the bond length. The bond length decreases as bond order increases.

## Magnetic nature

If all the molecular orbitals in a molecule are doubly occupied, the substance is diamagnetic (repelled by magnetic field). However if one or more molecular orbitals are singly occupied it is paramagnetic (attracted by magnetic field), e.g., $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecule.

### 4.8 BONDING IN SOME HOMONUCLEAR DIATOMIC MOLECULES

In this section we shall discuss bonding in some homonuclear diatomic molecules.

1. Hydrogen molecule $\left(\mathbf{H}_{2}\right)$ : It is formed by the combination of two hydrogen atoms. Each hydrogen atom has one electron in $1 s$ orbital. Therefore, in all there are two electrons in hydrogen molecule which are present in $\sigma 1 s$ molecular orbital. So electronic configuration of hydrogen molecule is

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2}:(\sigma 1 s)^{2}
$$

The bond order of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecule can be calculated as given below:

$$
\text { Bond order }=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}}{2}=\frac{2-0}{2}=1
$$

This means that the two hydrogen atoms are bonded together by a single covalent bond. The bond dissociation energy of hydrogen molecule has been found to be $438 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
and bond length equal to 74 pm . Since no unpaired electron is present in hydrogen molecule, therefore, it is diamagnetic.
2. Helium molecule ( $\mathbf{H e}_{2}$ ): The electronic configuration of helium atom is $1 s^{2}$. Each helium atom contains 2 electrons, therefore, in $\mathrm{He}_{2}$ molecule there would be 4 electrons. These electrons will be accommodated in $\sigma 1$ sand $\sigma^{*} 1 s$ molecular orbitals leading to electronic configuration:
$\mathrm{He}_{2}:(\sigma 1 s)^{2}\left(\sigma^{*} 1 s\right)^{2}$
Bond order of $\mathrm{He}_{2}$ is $1 / 2(2-2)=0$
$\mathrm{He}_{2}$ molecule is therefore unstable and does not exist.

Similarly, it can be shown that $\mathrm{Be}_{2}$ molecule $(\sigma 1 s)^{2}\left(\sigma^{*} 1 s\right)^{2}(\sigma 2 s)^{2}\left(\sigma^{*} 2 s\right)^{2}$ also does not exist.
3. Lithium molecule ( $\mathbf{L i}_{2}$ ): The electronic configuration of lithium is $1 s^{2}, 2 s^{1}$. There are six electrons in $\mathrm{Li}_{2}$. The electronic configuration of $\mathrm{Li}_{2}$ molecule, therefore, is

$$
\mathrm{Li}_{2}:(\sigma 1 s)^{2}\left(\sigma^{*} 1 s\right)^{2}(\sigma 2 s)^{2}
$$

The above configuration is also written as $\mathrm{KK}(\sigma 2 \mathrm{~s})^{2}$ where KK represents the closed K shell structure $(\sigma 1 s)^{2}\left(\sigma^{*} 1 s\right)^{2}$.

From the electronic configuration of $\mathrm{Li}_{2}$ molecule it is clear that there are four electrons present in bonding molecular orbitals and two electrons present in antibonding molecular orbitals. Its bond order, therefore, is $1 / 2(4-2)$ $=1$. It means that $\mathrm{Li}_{2}$ molecule is stable and since it has no unpaired electrons it should be diamagnetic. Indeed diamagnetic $\mathrm{Li}_{2}$ molecules are known to exist in the vapour phase.
4. Carbon molecule ( $\boldsymbol{C}_{2}$ ): The electronic configuration of carbon is $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{2}$. There are twelve electrons in $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. The electronic configuration of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ molecule, therefore, is
$\mathrm{C}_{2}:(\sigma 1 s)^{2}\left(\sigma^{*} 1 s\right)^{2}\left(\sigma^{*} 2 s\right)^{2}\left(\pi 2 p_{x}^{2}=\pi 2 p_{y}^{2}\right)$ or $K K(\sigma 2 s)^{2}(\sigma * 2 s)^{2}\left(\pi 2 p_{x}^{2}=\pi 2 p_{y}^{2}\right)$

The bond order of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is $1 / 2(8-4)=2$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ should be diamagnetic. Diamagnetic $C_{2}$
molecules have indeed been detected in vapour phase. It is important to note that double bond in $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ consists of both pi bonds because of the presence of four electrons in two pi molecular orbitals. In most of the other molecules a double bond is made up of a sigma bond and a pi bond. In a similar fashion the bonding in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ molecule can be discussed.
5. Oxygen molecule ( $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ): The electronic configuration of oxygen atom is $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{4}$. Each oxygen atom has 8 electrons, hence, in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecule there are 16 electrons. The electronic configuration of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecule, therefore, is
$\mathrm{O}_{2}:(\sigma 1 s)^{2}\left(\sigma^{*} 1 s\right)^{2}\left(\sigma^{*} 2 s\right)^{2}\left(\sigma^{*} 2 s\right)^{2}\left(\sigma 2 \mathrm{p}_{z}\right)^{2}$
$\left(\pi 2 p_{x}{ }^{2} \equiv \pi 2 p_{y}{ }^{2}\right)\left(\pi * 2 p_{x}{ }^{1} \equiv \pi * 2 p_{y}{ }^{1}\right)$
$\mathrm{O}_{2}:\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{KK}(\sigma 2 s)^{2}(\sigma * 2 s)^{2}\left(\sigma 2 p_{\mathrm{z}}\right)^{2} \\ \left(\pi 2 p_{x}^{2} \equiv \pi 2 p_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}\right),\left(\pi^{*} 2 p_{\mathrm{x}}^{1} \equiv \pi^{*} 2 p_{\mathrm{y}}^{1}\right)\end{array}\right]$
From the electronic configuration of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecule it is clear that ten electrons are present in bonding molecular orbitals and six electrons are present in antibonding molecular orbitals. Its bond order, therefore, is
Bond order $=\frac{1}{2}\left[N_{\mathrm{b}}-N_{\mathrm{a}}\right]=\frac{1}{2}[10-6]=2$
So in oxygen molecule, atoms are held by a double bond. Moreover, it may be noted that it contains two unpaired electrons in $\pi^{*} 2 p_{x}$ and $\pi^{*} 2 p_{y}$ molecular orbitals, therefore, $\mathbf{O}_{2}$ molecule should be paramagnetic, a prediction that corresponds to experimental observation. In this way, the theory successfully explains the paramagnetic nature of oxygen.

Similarly, the electronic configurations of other homonuclear diatomic molecules of the second row of the periodic table can be written. In Fig. 4.21 are given the molecular orbital occupancy and molecular properties for $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ through $\mathrm{Ne}_{2}$. The sequence of MOs and their electron population are shown. The bond energy, bond length, bond order, magnetic properties and valence electron configuration appear below the orbital diagrams.


Fig. 4.21 MO occupancy and molecular properties for $B_{2}$ through $N e_{2}$.

### 4.9 HYDROGEN BONDING

Nitrogen, oxygen and fluorine are the higly electronegative elements. When they are attached to a hydrogen atom to form covalent bond, the electrons of the covalent bond are shifted towards the more electronegative atom. This partially positively charged hydrogen atom forms a bond with the other more electronegative atom. This bond is known as hydrogen bond and is weaker than the covalent bond. For example, in HF molecule, the hydrogen bond exists between hydrogen atom of one molecule and fluorine atom of another molecule as depicted below :
$---\mathrm{H}^{\delta+}-\mathrm{F}^{\delta-}---\mathrm{H}^{\delta+}-\mathrm{F}^{\delta-}---\mathrm{H}^{\delta+}-\mathrm{F}^{\delta-}$ Here, hydrogen bond acts as a bridge between two atoms which holds one atom by covalent bond and the other by hydrogen bond.

Hydrogen bond is represented by a dotted line (---) while a solid line represents the covalent bond. Thus, hydrogen bond can be defined as the attractive force which binds hydrogen atom of one molecule with the electronegative atom ( $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{O}$ or N ) of another molecule.

### 4.9.1 Cause of Formation of Hydrogen Bond

When hydrogen is bonded to strongly electronegative element ' X ', the electron pair shared between the two atoms moves far away from hydrogen atom. As a result the hydrogen atom becomes highly electropositive with respect to the other atom ' $X$ '. Since there is displacement of electrons towards $X$, the hydrogen acquires fractional positive charge $\left(\delta^{+}\right)$while ' $X$ ' attain fractional negative charge
$\left(\delta^{-}\right)$. This results in the formation of a polar molecule having electrostatic force of attraction which can be represented as :

$$
\mathrm{H}^{\delta+}-\mathrm{X}^{\delta-}---\mathrm{H}^{\delta+}-\mathrm{X}^{\delta-}---\mathrm{H}^{\delta+}-\mathrm{X}^{\delta-}
$$

The magnitude of H -bonding depends on the physical state of the compound. It is maximum in the solid state and minimum in the gaseous state. Thus, the hydrogen bonds have strong influence on the structure and properties of the compounds.

### 4.9.2 Types of H-Bonds

There are two types of H -bonds
(i) Intermolecular hydrogen bond
(ii) Intramolecular hydrogen bond
(1) Intermolecular hydrogen bond : It is formed between two different molecules of the same or different compounds. For example, H-
bond in case of HF molecule, alcohol or water molecules, etc.
(2) Intramolecular hydrogen bond : It is formed when hydrogen atom is in between the two highly electronegative ( $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}$ ) atoms present within the same molecule. For example, in o-nitrophenol the hydrogen is in between the two oxygen atoms.


Fig. 4.22 Intramolecular hydrogen bonding in o-nitrophenol molecule

## SUMMARY

Kössel's first insight into the mechanism of formation of electropositive and electronegative ions related the process to the attainment of noble gas configurations by the respective ions. Electrostatic attraction between ions is the cause for their stability. This gives the concept of electrovalency.

The first description of covalent bonding was provided by Lewis in terms of the sharing of electron pairs between atoms and he related the process to the attainment of noble gas configurations by reacting atoms as a result of sharing of electrons. The Lewis dot symbols show the number of valence electrons of the atoms of a given element and Lewis dot structures show pictorial representations of bonding in molecules.

An ionic compound is pictured as a three-dimensional aggregation of positive and negative ions in an ordered arrangement called the crystal lattice. In a crystalline solid there is a charge balance between the positive and negative ions. The crystal lattice is stabilized by the enthalpy of lattice formation.

While a single covalent bond is formed by sharing of an electron pair between two atoms, multiple bonds result from the sharing of two or three electron pairs. Some bonded atoms have additional pairs of electrons not involved in bonding. These are called lonepairs of electrons. A Lewis dot structure shows the arrangement of bonded pairs and lone pairs around each atom in a molecule. Important parameters, associated with chemical bonds, like: bond length, bond angle, bond enthalpy, bond order and bond polarity have significant effect on the properties of compounds.

A number of molecules and polyatomic ions cannot be described accurately by a single Lewis structure and a number of descriptions (representations) based on the same skeletal structure are written and these taken together represent the molecule or ion. This is a very important and extremely useful concept called resonance. The contributing structures or canonical forms taken together constitute the resonance hybrid which represents the molecule or ion.

The VSEPR model used for predicting the geometrical shapes of molecules is based on the assumption that electron pairs repel each other and, therefore, tend to remain as far apart as possible. According to this model, molecular geometry is determined by repulsions between lone pairs and lone pairs ; lone pairs and bonding pairs and bonding pairs and bonding pairs. The order of these repulsions being : lp-lp > lp-bp >bp-bp

The valence bond (VB) approach to covalent bonding is basically concerned with the energetics of covalent bond formation about which the Lewis and VSEPR models are silent. Basically the VB theory discusses bond formation in terms of overlap of orbitals. For example the formation of the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecule from two hydrogen atoms involves the overlap of the $1 s$ orbitals of the two H atoms which are singly occupied. It is seen that the potential energy of the system gets lowered as the two H atoms come near to each other. At the equilibrium inter-nuclear distance (bond distance) the energy touches a minimum. Any attempt to bring the nuclei still closer results in a sudden increase in energy and consequent destabilization of the molecule. Because of orbital overlap the electron density between the nuclei increases which helps in bringing them closer. It is however seen that the actual bond enthalpy and bond length values are not obtained by overlap alone and other variables have to be taken into account.

For explaining the characteristic shapes of polyatomic molecules Pauling introduced the concept of hybridisation of atomic orbitals. $s p, s p^{2}, s p^{3}$ hybridizations of atomic orbitals of $\mathrm{Be}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$ and O are used to explain the formation and geometrical shapes of molecules like $\mathrm{BeCl}_{2}, \mathrm{BCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. They also explain the formation of multiple bonds in molecules like $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$.

The molecular orbital (MO) theory describes bonding in terms of the combination and arrangment of atomic orbitals to form molecular orbitals that are associated with the molecule as a whole. The number of molecular orbitals are always equal to the number of atomic orbitals from which they are formed. Bonding molecular orbitals increase electron density between the nuclei and are lower in energy than the individual atomic orbitals. Antibonding molecular orbitals have a region of zero electron density between the nuclei and have more energy than the individual atomic orbitals.

The electronic configuration of the molecules is written by filling electrons in the molecular orbitals in the order of increasing energy levels. As in the case of atoms, the Pauli exclusion principle and Hund's rule are applicable for the filling of molecular orbitals. Molecules are said to be stable if the number of elctrons in bonding molecular orbitals is greater than that in antibonding molecular orbitals.

Hydrogen bond is formed when a hydrogen atom finds itself between two highly electronegative atoms such as F, O and N. It may be intermolecular (existing between two or more molecules of the same or different substances) or intramolecular (present within the same molecule). Hydrogen bonds have a powerful effect on the structure and properties of many compounds.

## EXERCISES

4.1 Explain the formation of a chemical bond.
4.2 Write Lewis dot symbols for atoms of the following elements : Mg, Na, B, O, N, Br.
4.3 Write Lewis symbols for the following atoms and ions: S and $\mathrm{S}^{2-} ; \mathrm{Al}$ and $\mathrm{Al}^{3+} ; \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{-}$
4.4 Draw the Lewis structures for the following molecules and ions:
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{SiCl}_{4}, \mathrm{BeF}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}, \mathrm{HCOOH}$
4.5 Define octet rule. Write its significance and limitations.
4.6 Write the favourable factors for the formation of ionic bond.
4.7 Discuss the shape of the following molecules using the VSEPR model: $\mathrm{BeCl}_{2}, \mathrm{BCl}_{3}, \mathrm{SiCl}_{4}, \mathrm{AsF}_{5}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{PH}_{3}$
4.8 Although geometries of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules are distorted tetrahedral, bond angle in water is less than that of ammonia. Discuss.
4.9 How do you express the bond strength in terms of bond order ?
4.10 Define the bond length.
4.11 Explain the important aspects of resonance with reference to the $\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}$ ion.
$4.12 \quad \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{3}$ can be represented by structures 1 and 2 shown below. Can these two structures be taken as the canonical forms of the resonance hybrid representing $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{3}$ ? If not, give reasons for the same.

4.13 Write the resonance structures for $\mathrm{SO}_{3}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$.
4.14 Use Lewis symbols to show electron transfer between the following atoms to form cations and anions : (a) K and S (b) Ca and O (c) Al and N .
4.15 Although both $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are triatomic molecules, the shape of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecule is bent while that of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is linear. Explain this on the basis of dipole moment.
4.16 Write the significance/applications of dipole moment.
4.17 Define electronegativity. How does it differ from electron gain enthalpy ?
4.18 Explain with the help of suitable example polar covalent bond.
4.19 Arrange the bonds in order of increasing ionic character in the molecules: LiF, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{ClF}_{3}$.
4.20 The skeletal structure of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ as shown below is correct, but some of the bonds are shown incorrectly. Write the correct Lewis structure for acetic acid.

4.21 Apart from tetrahedral geometry, another possible geometry for $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is square planar with the four H atoms at the corners of the square and the C atom at its centre. Explain why $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is not square planar ?
4.22 Explain why $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$ molecule has a zero dipole moment although the $\mathrm{Be}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds are polar.
4.23 Which out of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{NF}_{3}$ has higher dipole moment and why ?
4.24 What is meant by hybridisation of atomic orbitals? Describe the shapes of $s p$, $s p^{2}, s p^{3}$ hybrid orbitals.
4.25 Describe the change in hybridisation (if any) of the Al atom in the following reaction.

$$
\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{AlCl}_{4}^{-}
$$

4.26 Is there any change in the hybridisation of B and N atoms as a result of the following reaction?
$\mathrm{BF}_{3}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{NH}_{3}$
4.27 Draw diagrams showing the formation of a double bond and a triple bond between carbon atoms in $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecules.
4.28 What is the total number of sigma and pi bonds in the following molecules?
(a) $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (b) $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
4.29 Considering $x$-axis as the internuclear axis which out of the following will not form a sigma bond and why? (a) $1 s$ and $1 s$ (b) $1 s$ and $2 p_{\mathrm{x}}$; (c) $2 p_{\mathrm{y}}$ and $2 p_{\mathrm{y}}$ (d) 1 s and 2 s .
4.30 Which hybrid orbitals are used by carbon atoms in the following molecules? $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$; (b) $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$; (c) $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{OH}$; (d) $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CHO}$ (e) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$
4.31 What do you understand by bond pairs and lone pairs of electrons? Illustrate by giving one exmaple of each type.
4.32 Distinguish between a sigma and a pi bond.
4.33 Explain the formation of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecule on the basis of valence bond theory.
4.34 Write the important conditions required for the linear combination of atomic orbitals to form molecular orbitals.
4.35 Use molecular orbital theory to explain why the $\mathrm{Be}_{2}$ molecule does not exist.
4.36 Compare the relative stability of the following species and indicate their magnetic properties;
$\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}, \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$(superoxide), $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{2-}$ (peroxide)
4.37 Write the significance of a plus and a minus sign shown in representing the orbitals.
4.38 Describe the hybridisation in case of $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$. Why are the axial bonds longer as compared to equatorial bonds?
4.39 Define hydrogen bond. Is it weaker or stronger than the van der Waals forces?
4.40 What is meant by the term bond order? Calculate the bond order of : $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$.

## UNIT 5

## STATES OF MATTER

## Objectives

After studying this unit you will be able to

- explain the existence of different states of matter in terms of balance between intermolecular forces and thermal energy of particles;
- explain the laws governing behaviour of ideal gases;
- apply gas laws in various real life situations;
- explain the behaviour of real gases;
- describe the conditions required for liquifaction of gases;
- realise that there is continuity in gaseous and liquid state;
- differentiate between gaseous state and vapours; and
- explain properties of liquids in terms of intermolecular attractions.

The snowflake falls, yet lays not long Its feath'ry grasp on Mother Earth Ere Sun returns it to the vapors Whence it came, Or to waters tumbling down the rocky slope.

Rod O' Connor

## INTRODUCTION

In previous units we have learnt about the properties related to single particle of matter, such as atomic size, ionization enthalpy, electronic charge density, molecular shape and polarity, etc. Most of the observable characteristics of chemical systems with which we are familiar represent bulk properties of matter, i.e., the properties associated with a collection of a large number of atoms, ions or molecules. For example, an individual molecule of a liquid does not boil but the bulk boils. Collection of water molecules have wetting properties; individual molecules do not wet. Water can exist as ice, which is a solid; it can exist as liquid; or it can exist in the gaseous state as water vapour or steam. Physical properties of ice, water and steam are very different. In all the three states of water chemical composition of water remains the same i.e., $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Characteristics of the three states of water depend on the energies of molecules and on the manner in which water molecules aggregate. Same is true for other substances also.

Chemical properties of a substance do not change with the change of its physical state; but rate of chemical reactions do depend upon the physical state. Many times in calculations while dealing with data of experiments we require knowledge of the state of matter. Therefore, it becomes necessary for a chemist to know the physical
laws which govern the behaviour of matter in different states. In this unit, we will learn more about these three physical states of matter particularly liquid and gaseous states. To begin with, it is necessary to understand the nature of intermolecular forces, molecular interactions and effect of thermal energy on the motion of particles because a balance between these determines the state of a substance.

### 5.1 INTERMOLECULAR FORCES

Intermolecular forces are the forces of attraction and repulsion between interacting particles (atoms and molecules). This term does not include the electrostatic forces that exist between the two oppositely charged ions and the forces that hold atoms of a molecule together i.e., covalent bonds.

Attractive intermolecular forces are known as van der Waals forces, in honour of Dutch scientist Johannes van der Waals (18371923), who explained the deviation of real gases from the ideal behaviour through these forces. We will learn about this later in this unit. van der Waals forces vary considerably in magnitude and include dispersion forces or London forces, dipole-dipole forces, and dipole-induced dipole forces. A particularly strong type of dipole-dipole interaction is hydrogen bonding. Only a few elements can participate in hydrogen bond formation, therefore it is treated as a separate category. We have already learnt about this interaction in Unit 4.

At this point, it is important to note that attractive forces between an ion and a dipole are known as ion-dipole forces and these are not van der Waals forces. We will now learn about different types of van der Waals forces.

### 5.1.1 Dispersion Forces or London Forces

Atoms and nonpolar molecules are electrically symmetrical and have no dipole moment because their electronic charge cloud is symmetrically distributed. But a dipole may develop momentarily even in such atoms and molecules. This can be understood as follows. Suppose we have two atoms ' $A$ ' and ' $B$ ' in the close vicinity of each other (Fig. 5.1a). It may
so happen that momentarily electronic charge distribution in one of the atoms, say ' $A$ ', becomes unsymmetrical i.e., the charge cloud is more on one side than the other (Fig. 5.1 b and c). This results in the development of instantaneous dipole on the atom ' $A$ ' for a very short time. This instantaneous or transient dipole distorts the electron density of the other atom ' B ', which is close to it and as a consequence a dipole is induced in the atom 'B'.

The temporary dipoles of atom ' $A$ ' and ' $B$ ' attract each other. Similarly temporary dipoles are induced in molecules also. This force of attraction was first proposed by the German physicist Fritz London, and for this reason force of attraction between two temporary


Symmetrical distribution of electronic charge cloud
(a)


Atom 'A' with instantaneous dipole, more electron density on the right hand side


Atom 'B' with induced dipole
(b)


Atom ' A ' more electron density on the left hand side
(c)

Fig. 5.1 Dispersion forces or London forces between atoms.
dipoles is known as London force. Another name for this force is dispersion force. These forces are always attractive and interaction energy is inversely proportional to the sixth power of the distance between two interacting particles (i.e., $1 / r^{6}$ where $r$ is the distance between two particles). These forces are important only at short distances ( $\sim 500 \mathrm{pm}$ ) and their magnitude depends on the polarisability of the particle.

### 5.1.2 Dipole - Dipole Forces

Dipole-dipole forces act between the molecules possessing permanent dipole. Ends of the dipoles possess "partial charges" and these charges are shown by Greek letter delta ( $\delta$ ). Partial charges are always less than the unit electronic charge ( $1.6 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{C}$ ). The polar molecules interact with neighbouring molecules. Fig 5.2 (a) shows electron cloud distribution in the dipole of hydrogen chloride and Fig. 5.2 (b) shows dipole-dipole interaction between two HCl molecules. This interaction is stronger than the London forces but is weaker than ion-ion interaction because only partial charges are involved. The attractive force decreases with the increase of distance between the dipoles. As in the above case here also, the interaction energy is inversely proportional to distance between polar molecules. Dipole-dipole interaction energy between stationary polar molecules (as in solids) is proportional to $1 / r^{3}$ and that between rotating polar molecules is

(a)

(b)

Fig. 5.2 (a) Distribution of electron cloud in HCl a polar molecule, (b) Dipole-dipole interaction between two HCl molecules
proportional to $1 / r^{6}$, where $r$ is the distance between polar molecules. Besides dipoledipole interaction, polar molecules can interact by London forces also. Thus cumulative effect is that the total of intermolecular forces in polar molecules increase.

### 5.1.3 Dipole-Induced Dipole Forces

This type of attractive forces operate between the polar molecules having permanent dipole and the molecules lacking permanent dipole. Permanent dipole of the polar molecule induces dipole on the electrically neutral molecule by deforming its electronic cloud (Fig. 5.3). Thus an induced dipole is developed in the other molecule. In this case also interaction energy is proportional to $1 / r^{6}$ where $r$ is the distance between two molecules. Induced dipole moment depends upon the dipole moment present in the permanent dipole and the polarisability of the electrically neutral molecule. We have already learnt in Unit 4 that molecules of larger size can be easily polarized. High polarisability increases the strength of attractive interactions.
 (a polar molecule)
Fig. 5.3 Dipole - induced dipole interaction between permanent dipole and induced dipole
In this case also cumulative effect of dispersion forces and dipole-induced dipole interactions exists.

### 5.1.4 Hydrogen bond

As already mentioned in section (5.1); this is special case of dipole-dipole interaction. We have already learnt about this in Unit 4. This
is found in the molecules in which highly polar $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{F}$ bonds are present. Although hydrogen bonding is regarded as being limited to $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ and F ; but species such as Cl may also participate in hydrogen bonding. Energy of hydrogen bond varies between 10 to 100 $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$. This is quite a significant amount of energy; therefore, hydrogen bonds are powerful force in determining the structure and properties of many compounds, for example proteins and nucleic acids. Strength of the hydrogen bond is determined by the coulombic interaction between the lone-pair electrons of the electronegative atom of one molecule and the hydrogen atom of other molecule. Following diagram shows the formation of hydrogen bond.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta+ \\
& \mathrm{H}-\frac{\delta-}{\mathrm{F}} \cdots \stackrel{\delta+}{\mathrm{H}}-\stackrel{\delta-}{\mathrm{F}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Intermolecular forces discussed so far are all attractive. Molecules also exert repulsive forces on one another. When two molecules are brought into close contact with each other, the repulsion between the electron clouds and that between the nuclei of two molecules comes into play. Magnitude of the repulsion rises very rapidly as the distance separating the molecules decreases. This is the reason that liquids and solids are hard to compress. In these states molecules are already in close contact; therefore they resist further compression; as that would result in the increase of repulsive interactions.

### 5.2 THERMAL ENERGY

Thermal energy is the energy of a body arising from motion of its atoms or molecules. It is directly proportional to the temperature of the substance. It is the measure of average kinetic energy of the particles of the matter and is thus responsible for movement of particles. This movement of particles is called thermal motion.

### 5.3 INTERMOLECULAR FORCES us THERMAL INTERACTIONS

We have already learnt that intermolecular forces tend to keep the molecules together but
thermal energy of the molecules tends to keep them apart. Three states of matter are the result of balance between intermolecular forces and the thermal energy of the molecules.

When molecular interactions are very weak, molecules do not cling together to make liquid or solid unless thermal energy is reduced by lowering the temperature. Gases do not liquify on compression only, although molecules come very close to each other and intermolecular forces operate to the maximum. However, when thermal energy of molecules is reduced by lowering the temperature; the gases can be very easily liquified. Predominance of thermal energy and the molecular interaction energy of a substance in three states is depicted as follows :


We have already learnt the cause for the existence of the three states of matter. Now we will learn more about gaseous and liquid states and the laws which govern the behaviour of matter in these states. We shall deal with the solid state in class XII.

### 5.4 THE GASEOUS STATE

This is the simplest state of matter. Throughout our life we remain immersed in the ocean of air which is a mixture of gases. We spend our life in the lowermost layer of the atmosphere called troposphere, which is held to the surface of the earth by gravitational force. The thin layer of atmosphere is vital to our life. It shields us from harmful radiations and contains substances like dioxygen, dinitrogen, carbon dioxide, water vapour, etc.

Let us now focus our attention on the behaviour of substances which exist in the gaseous state under normal conditions of temperature and pressure. A look at the periodic table shows that only eleven elements


Fig. 5.4 Eleven elements that exist as gases
exist as gases under normal conditions (Fig 5.4).
The gaseous state is characterized by the following physical properties.

- Gases are highly compressible.
- Gases exert pressure equally in all directions.
- Gases have much lower density than the solids and liquids.
- The volume and the shape of gases are not fixed. These assume volume and shape of the container.
- Gases mix evenly and completely in all proportions without any mechanical aid.

Simplicity of gases is due to the fact that the forces of interaction between their molecules are negligible. Their behaviour is governed by same general laws, which were discovered as a result of their experimental studies. These laws are relationships between measurable properties of gases. Some of these properties like pressure, volume, temperature and mass are very important because relationships between these variables describe state of the gas. Interdependence of these variables leads to the formulation of gas laws. In the next section we will learn about gas laws.

### 5.5 THE GAS LAWS

The gas laws which we will study now are the result of research carried on for several
centuries on the physical properties of gases. The first reliable measurement on properties of gases was made by Anglo-Irish scientist Robert Boyle in 1662. The law which he formulated is known as Boyle's Law. Later on attempts to fly in air with the help of hot air balloons motivated Jaccques Charles and Joseph Lewis Gay Lussac to discover additional gas laws. Contribution from Avogadro and others provided lot of information about gaseous state.

### 5.5.1 Boyle's Law (Pressure - Volume Relationship)

On the basis of his experiments, Robert Boyle reached to the conclusion that at constant temperature, the pressure of a fixed amount (i.e., number of moles $n$ ) of gas varies inversely with its volume. This is known as Boyle's law. Mathematically, it can be written as
$p \propto \frac{1}{V}(\operatorname{atconstant} T$ and $n)$
$\Rightarrow p=\mathrm{k}_{1} \frac{1}{V}$
where $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ is the proportionality constant. The value of constant $k_{1}$ depends upon the amount of the gas, temperature of the gas and the units in which $p$ and $V$ are expressed.

On rearranging equation (5.2) we obtain
$p V=\mathrm{k}_{1}$
It means that at constant temperature, product of pressure and volume of a fixed amount of gas is constant.

If a fixed amount of gas at constant temperature $T$ occupying volume $V_{1}$ at pressure $p_{1}$ undergoes expansion, so that volume becomes $V_{2}$ and pressure becomes $p_{2}$, then according to Boyle's law :

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1} V_{1}=p_{2} V_{2}=\mathrm{constant}  \tag{5.4}\\
& \Rightarrow \frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}=\frac{V_{2}}{V_{1}} \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 5.5(a) Graph of pressure, $p$ vs. Volume, V of a gas at different temperatures.


Fig. 5.5 (b) Graph of pressure of a gas, p vs. $\frac{1}{V}$

Figure 5.5 shows two conventional ways of graphically presenting Boyle's law. Fig. 5.5 (a) is the graph of equation (5.3) at different temperatures. The value of $k_{1}$ for each curve is different because for a given mass of gas, it varies only with temperature. Each curve corresponds to a different constant temperature and is known as an isotherm (constant temperature plot). Higher curves correspond to higher temperature. It should be noted that volume of the gas doubles if pressure is halved. Table 5.1 gives effect of pressure on volume of 0.09 mol of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at 300 K.

Fig 5.5 (b) represents the graph between $p$ and $\frac{1}{V}$. It is a straight line passing through origin. However at high pressures, gases deviate from Boyle's law and under such conditions a straight line is not obtained in the graph.

Experiments of Boyle, in a quantitative manner prove that gases are highly compressible because when a given mass of a gas is compressed, the same number of molecules occupy a smaller space. This means that gases become denser at high pressure. A relationship can be obtained between density and pressure of a gas by using Boyle's law:

By definition, density ' $d$ ' is related to the mass ' $m$ ' and the volume ' $V$ ' by the relation $d=\frac{m}{V}$. If we put value of $V$ in this equation from Boyle's law equation, we obtain the relationship.

Table 5.1 Effect of Pressure on the Volume of $0.09 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Gas at 300 K .

| Pressure $/ \mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{P a}$ | Volume/10 $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $(\mathbf{1 / V}) / \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{- 3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{p V / 1 0} \mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{P a} \mathbf{~ m}^{\mathbf{3}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.0 | 112.0 | 8.90 | 22.40 |
| 2.5 | 89.2 | 11.2 | 22.30 |
| 3.5 | 64.2 | 15.6 | 22.47 |
| 4.0 | 56.3 | 17.7 | 22.50 |
| 6.0 | 37.4 | 26.7 | 22.44 |
| 8.0 | 28.1 | 35.6 | 22.48 |
| 10.0 | 22.4 | 44.6 | 22.40 |

$$
d=\left(\frac{m}{\mathrm{k}_{1}}\right) p=\mathrm{k}^{\prime} p
$$

This shows that at a constant temperature, pressure is directly proportional to the density of a fixed mass of the gas.

## Problem 5.1

A balloon is filled with hydrogen at room temperature. It will burst if pressure exceeds 0.2 bar. If at 1 bar pressure the gas occupies 2.27 L volume, upto what volume can the balloon be expanded ?

## Solution

According to Boyle's Law $p_{1} V_{1}=p_{2} V_{2}$
If $p_{1}$ is 1 bar, $V_{1}$ will be 2.27 L

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } p_{2}=0.2 \text { bar, then } V_{2}=\frac{p_{1} V_{1}}{p_{2}} \\
& \Rightarrow V_{2}=\frac{1 \mathrm{bar} \times 2.27 \mathrm{~L}}{0.2 \mathrm{bar}}=11.35 \mathrm{~L}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since balloon bursts at 0.2 bar pressure, the volume of balloon should be less than 11.35 L .

### 5.5.2 Charles' Law (Temperature - Volume Relationship)

Charles and Gay Lussac performed several experiments on gases independently to improve upon hot air balloon technology. Their investigations showed that for a fixed mass of a gas at constant pressure, volume of a gas increases on increasing temperature and decreases on cooling. They found that for each degree rise in temperature, volume of a gas increases by $\frac{1}{273.15}$ of the original volume of the gas at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thus if volumes of the gas at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and at $\mathrm{t}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ are $V_{0}$ and $V_{\mathrm{t}}$ respectively, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{\mathrm{t}}=V_{0}+\frac{\mathrm{t}}{273.15} V_{0} \\
& \Rightarrow V_{\mathrm{t}}=V_{0}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{t}}{273.15}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Rightarrow V_{\mathrm{t}}=V_{0}\left(\frac{273.15+\mathrm{t}}{273.15}\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this stage, we define a new scale of temperature such that $t^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on new scale is given by $T=273.15+\mathrm{t}$ and $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ will be given by $T_{0}=273.15$. This new temperature scale is called the Kelvin temperature scale or Absolute temperature scale.

Thus $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on the celsius scale is equal to 273.15 K at the absolute scale. Note that degree sign is not used while writing the temperature in absolute temperature scale, i.e., Kelvin scale. Kelvin scale of temperature is also called Thermodynamic scale of temperature and is used in all scientific works.

Thus we add 273 (more precisely 273.15) to the celsius temperature to obtain temperature at Kelvin scale.

If we write $T_{\mathrm{t}}=273.15+\mathrm{t}$ and $T_{0}=273.15$
in the equation (5.6) we obtain the relationship

$$
\begin{gather*}
V_{\mathrm{t}}=V_{0}\left(\frac{T_{\mathrm{t}}}{T_{0}}\right) \\
\Rightarrow \frac{V_{\mathrm{t}}}{V_{0}}=\frac{T_{\mathrm{t}}}{T_{0}} \tag{5.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus we can write a general equation as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{V_{2}}{V_{1}}=\frac{T_{2}}{T_{1}}  \tag{5.8}\\
& \Rightarrow \frac{V_{1}}{T_{1}}=\frac{V_{2}}{T_{2}} \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{V}{T}=\text { constant }=\mathrm{k}_{2}  \tag{5.9}\\
& \quad \text { Thus } V=\mathrm{k}_{2} T \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The value of constant $k_{2}$ is determined by the pressure of the gas, its amount and the units in which volume $V$ is expressed.

Equation (5.10) is the mathematical expression for Charles' law, which states that pressure remaining constant, the volume
of a fixed mass of a gas is directly proportional to its absolute temperature. Charles found that for all gases, at any given pressure, graph of volume vs temperature (in celsius) is a straight line and on extending to zero volume, each line intercepts the temperature axis at $-273.15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Slopes of lines obtained at different pressure are different but at zero volume all the lines meet the temperature axis at $-273.15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Fig. 5.6).


Fig. 5.6 Volume vs Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) graph
Each line of the volume vs temperature graph is called isobar.

Observations of Charles can be interpreted if we put the value of $t$ in equation (5.6) as $-273.15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. We can see that the volume of the gas at $-273.15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ will be zero. This means that gas will not exist. In fact all the gases get liquified before this temperature is reached. The lowest hypothetical or imaginary temperature at which gases are supposed to occupy zero volume is called Absolute zero.

All gases obey Charles' law at very low pressures and high temperatures.

## Problem 5.2

On a ship sailing in Pacific Ocean where temperature is $23.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a balloon is filled
with 2 L air. What will be the volume of the balloon when the ship reaches Indian ocean, where temperature is $26.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ?

## Solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1} & =2 \mathrm{~L} & T_{2} & =26.1+273 \\
T_{1} & =(23.4+273) \mathrm{K} & & =299.1 \mathrm{~K} \\
& =296.4 \mathrm{~K} & &
\end{aligned}
$$

From Charles law

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{V_{1}}{T_{1}}=\frac{V_{2}}{T_{2}} \\
& \Rightarrow V_{2}=\frac{V_{1} T_{2}}{T_{1}} \\
& \Rightarrow V_{2}=\frac{2 \mathrm{~L} \times 299.1 \mathrm{~K}}{296.4 \mathrm{~K}} \\
& \\
& =2 \mathrm{~L} \times 1.009 \\
& \\
& =2.018 \mathrm{~L}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.5.3 Gay Lussac's Law (PressureTemperature Relationship)

Pressure in well inflated tyres of automobiles is almost constant, but on a hot summer day this increases considerably and tyre may burst if pressure is not adjusted properly. During winters, on a cold morning one may find the pressure in the tyres of a vehicle decreased considerably. The mathematical relationship between pressure and temperature was given by Joseph Gay Lussac and is known as Gay Lussac's law. It states that at constant volume, pressure of a fixed amount of a gas varies directly with the temperature. Mathematically,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \propto T \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{p}{T}=\text { constant }=\mathrm{k}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

This relationship can be derived from Boyle's law and Charles' Law. Pressure vs temperature (Kelvin) graph at constant molar volume is shown in Fig. 5.7. Each line of this graph is called isochore.


Fig. 5.7 Pressure vs temperature (K) graph (Isochores) of a gas.

### 5.5.4 Avogadro Law (Volume - Amount Relationship)

In 1811 Italian scientist Amedeo Avogadro tried to combine conclusions of Dalton's atomic theory and Gay Lussac's law of combining volumes (Unit 1) which is now known as Avogadro law. It states that equal volumes of all gases under the same conditions of temperature and pressure contain equal number of molecules. This means that as long as the temperature and pressure remain constant, the volume depends upon number of molecules of the gas or in other words amount of the gas. Mathematically we can write
$V \propto n \quad$ where $n$ is the number of moles of the gas.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Rightarrow V=\mathrm{k}_{4} n \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of molecules in one mole of a gas has been determined to be $6.022 \times 10^{23}$ and is known as Avogadro constant. You will find that this is the same number which
we came across while discussing definition of a 'mole' (Unit 1).

Since volume of a gas is directly proportional to the number of moles; one mole of each gas at standard temperature and pressure (STP)* will have same volume. Standard temperature and pressure means $273.15 \mathrm{~K}\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ temperature and 1 bar (i.e., exactly $10^{5}$ pascal) pressure. These values approximate freezing temperature of water and atmospheric pressure at sea level. At STP molar volume of an ideal gas or a combination of ideal gases is $22.71098 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

Molar volume of some gases is given in (Table 5.2).
Table 5.2 Molar volume in litres per mole of some gases at 273.15 K and 1 bar (STP).

| Argon | 22.37 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Carbon dioxide | 22.54 |
| Dinitrogen | 22.69 |
| Dioxygen | 22.69 |
| Dihydrogen | 22.72 |
| Ideal gas | 22.71 |

Number of moles of a gas can be calculated as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{m}{\mathrm{M}} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $m=$ mass of the gas under investigation and $\mathrm{M}=$ molar mass

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\mathrm{k}_{4} \frac{m}{\mathrm{M}} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (5.13) can be rearranged as follows :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{k}_{4} \frac{m}{\mathrm{M}}=\mathrm{k}_{4} \mathrm{~d} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]Here ' $d$ ' is the density of the gas. We can conclude from equation (5.14) that the density of a gas is directly proportional to its molar mass.

A gas that follows Boyle's law, Charles' law and Avogadro law strictly is called an ideal gas. Such a gas is hypothetical. It is assumed that intermolecular forces are not present between the molecules of an ideal gas. Real gases follow these laws only under certain specific conditions when forces of interaction are practically negligible. In all other situations these deviate from ideal behaviour. You will learn about the deviations later in this unit.

### 5.6 IDEAL GAS EGUATION

The three laws which we have learnt till now can be combined together in a single equation which is known as ideal gas equation.

At constant $T$ and $n ; \quad V \propto \frac{1}{p}$ Boyle's Law
At constant $p$ and $n ; \quad V \propto T$ Charles' Law At constant $p$ and $T ; V \propto n$ Avogadro Law Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& V \propto \frac{n T}{p}  \tag{5.15}\\
\Rightarrow & V=\mathrm{R} \frac{n T}{p} \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R$ is proportionality constant. On rearranging the equation (5.16) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& p V=n \mathrm{R} T  \tag{5.17}\\
& \Rightarrow \mathrm{R}=\frac{p V}{n T} \tag{5.18}
\end{align*}
$$

$R$ is called gas constant. It is same for all gases. Therefore it is also called Universal Gas Constant. Equation (5.17) is called ideal gas equation.

Equation (5.18) shows that the value of R depends upon units in which $p, V$ and $T$ are measured. If three variables in this equation are known, fourth can be calculated. From this equation we can see
that at constant temperature and pressure $n$ moles of any gas will have the same volume because $V=\frac{n \mathrm{R} T}{p}$ and $n, \mathrm{R}, T$ and $p$ are constant. This equation will be applicable to any gas, under those conditions when behaviour of the gas approaches ideal behaviour. Volume of one mole of an ideal gas under STP conditions (273.15 K and 1 bar pressure) is $22.710981 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. Value of R for one mole of an ideal gas can be calculated under these conditions as follows :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R} & =\frac{\left(10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}\right)\left(22.71 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)}{(1 \mathrm{~mol})(273.15 \mathrm{~K})} \\
& =8.314 \mathrm{~Pa} \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \\
& =8.314 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{bar} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \\
& =8.314 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

At STP conditions used earlier ( $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 atm pressure), value of R is $8.20578 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

Ideal gas equation is a relation between four variables and it describes the state of any gas, therefore, it is also called equation of state.

Let us now go back to the ideal gas equation. This is the relationship for the simultaneous variation of the variables. If temperature, volume and pressure of a fixed amount of gas vary from $T_{1}, V_{1}$ and $p_{1}$ to $T_{2}$, $V_{2}$ and $p_{2}$ then we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{p_{1} V_{1}}{T_{1}}=n \mathrm{R} \text { and } \quad \frac{p_{2} V_{2}}{T_{2}}=n \mathrm{R} \\
& \Rightarrow \quad \frac{p_{1} V_{1}}{T_{1}}=\frac{p_{2} V_{2}}{T_{2}} \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (5.19) is a very useful equation. If out of six, values of five variables are known, the value of unknown variable can be calculated from the equation (5.19). This equation is also known as Combined gas law.

## Problem 5.3

At $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 760 mm of Hg pressure a gas occupies 600 mL volume. What will be its pressure at a height where temperature is $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and volume of the gas is 640 mL .

## Solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}=760 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}, V_{1}=600 \mathrm{~mL} \\
& T_{1}=25+273=298 \mathrm{~K} \\
& V_{2}=640 \mathrm{~mL} \text { and } T_{2}=10+273=283 \mathrm{~K} \\
& \text { According to Combined gas law }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{p_{1} V_{1}}{T_{1}}=\frac{p_{2} V_{2}}{T_{2}} \\
& \Rightarrow \mathrm{p}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}}{\mathrm{~T}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{2}} \\
& \Rightarrow p_{2}=\frac{(760 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}) \times(600 \mathrm{~mL}) \times(283 \mathrm{~K})}{(640 \mathrm{~mL}) \times(298 \mathrm{~K})} \\
& =676.6 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}
\end{aligned}
$$

5.6.1 Density and Molar Mass of a Gaseous Substance
Ideal gas equation can be rearranged as follows:

$$
\frac{n}{V}=\frac{p}{\mathrm{R} T}
$$

Replacing $n$ by $\frac{m}{M}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{m}{\mathrm{M} V}=\frac{p}{\mathrm{R} T}  \tag{5.20}\\
& \frac{d}{\mathrm{M}}=\frac{p}{\mathrm{R} T} \text { (where } d \text { is the density) } \tag{5.21}
\end{align*}
$$

On rearranging equation (5.21) we get the relationship for calculating molar mass of a gas.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}=\frac{d \mathrm{R} T}{p} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.6.2 Dalton's Law of Partial Pressures

The law was formulated by John Dalton in 1801. It states that the total pressure exerted
by the mixture of non-reactive gases is equal to the sum of the partial pressures of individual gases i.e., the pressures which these gases would exert if they were enclosed separately in the same volume and under the same conditions of temperature. In a mixture of gases, the pressure exerted by the individual gas is called partial pressure. Mathematically, $p_{\text {Total }}=p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}+\ldots \ldots$.(at constant $T, V$ (5.23)
where $p_{\text {Total }}$ is the total pressure exerted by the mixture of gases and $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ etc. are partial pressures of gases.

Gases are generally collected over water and therefore are moist. Pressure of dry gas can be calculated by subtracting vapour pressure of water from the total pressure of the moist gas which contains water vapours also. Pressure exerted by saturated water vapour is called aqueous tension. Aqueous tension of water at different temperatures is given in Table 5.3.

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\text {Dry gas }}=p_{\text {Total }}-\text { Aqueous tension } \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 5.3 Aqueous Tension of Water (Vapour Pressure) as a Function of Temperature

| Temp./K | Pressure/bar | Temp./K | Pressure/bar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 273.15 | 0.0060 | 295.15 | 0.0260 |
| 283.15 | 0.0121 | 297.15 | 0.0295 |
| 288.15 | 0.0168 | 299.15 | 0.0331 |
| 291.15 | 0.0204 | 301.15 | 0.0372 |
| 293.15 | 0.0230 | 303.15 | 0.0418 |

## Partial pressure in terms of mole fraction

Suppose at the temperature T, three gases, enclosed in the volume $V$, exert partial pressure $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ respectively, then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1}=\frac{n_{1} \mathrm{R} T}{V}  \tag{5.25}\\
& p_{2}=\frac{n_{2} \mathrm{R} T}{V} \tag{5.26}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{3}=\frac{n_{3} \mathrm{R} T}{V} \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{1} n_{2}$ and $n_{3}$ are number of moles of these gases. Thus, expression for total pressure will be

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{\text {Total }} & =p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3} \\
& =n_{1} \frac{\mathrm{R} T}{V}+n_{2} \frac{\mathrm{R} T}{V}+n_{3} \frac{\mathrm{R} T}{V} \\
& =\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}\right) \frac{\mathrm{R} T}{V} \tag{5.28}
\end{align*}
$$

On dividing $p_{1}$ by $p_{\text {total }}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{p_{1}}{p_{\text {total }}}=\left(\frac{n_{1}}{n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{R} T V}{\mathrm{R} T V} \\
=\frac{n_{1}}{n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}}=\frac{n_{1}}{n}=x_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}+\mathrm{n}_{3}$
$x_{1}$ is called mole fraction of first gas.
Thus, $p_{1}=x_{1} p_{\text {total }}$
Similarly for other two gases we can write

$$
p_{2}=x_{2} p_{\text {total }} \text { and } p_{3}=x_{3} p_{\text {total }}
$$

Thus a general equation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\mathrm{i}}=x_{\mathrm{i}} p_{\text {total }} \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{i}$ and $x_{1}$ are partial pressure and mole fraction of $i^{\text {th }}$ gas respectively. If total pressure of a mixture of gases is known, the equation (5.29) can be used to find out pressure exerted by individual gases.

## Problem 5.4

A neon-dioxygen mixture contains 70.6 g dioxygen and 167.5 g neon. If pressure of the mixture of gases in the cylinder is 25 bar. What is the partial pressure of dioxygen and neon in the mixture ?
Number of moles of dioxygen

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{70.6 \mathrm{~g}}{32 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}} \\
& =2.21 \mathrm{~mol}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Number of moles of neon

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{167.5 \mathrm{~g}}{20 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}} \\
& =8.375 \mathrm{~mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

Mole fraction of dioxygen

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{2.21}{2.21+8.375} \\
& =\frac{2.21}{10.585} \\
& =0.21
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Mole fraction of neon } & =\frac{8.375}{2.21+8.375} \\
& =0.79
\end{aligned}
$$

Alternatively,
mole fraction of neon $=1-0.21=0.79$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Partial pressure } \\
\text { of a gas }
\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}
\text { mole fraction } \times \\
\text { total pressure }
\end{array} \\
\Rightarrow \text { Partial pressure } & =0.21 \times(25 \mathrm{bar}) \\
\quad \text { of oxygen } & = \\
\begin{array}{l}
\text { Partial pressure } \\
\text { of neon }
\end{array} & =0.79 \times(25 \mathrm{bar}) \\
& =19.75 \mathrm{bar}
\end{array}
$$

### 5.7 KINETIC ENERGY AND MOLECULAR SPEEDS

Molecules of gases remain in continuous motion. While moving they collide with each other and with the walls of the container. This results in change of their speed and redistribution of energy. So the speed and energy of all the molecules of the gas at any instant are not the same. Thus, we can obtain only average value of speed of molecules. If there are $n$ number of molecules in a sample and their individual speeds are $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots . u_{n}$, then average speed of molecules $u_{a v}$ can be calculated as follows:

$$
u_{a v}=\frac{u_{1}+u_{2}+\ldots \ldots \ldots u_{n}}{n}
$$

Maxwell and Boltzmann have shown that actual distribution of molecular speeds depends on temperature and molecular mass of a gas. Maxwell derived a formula for calculating the number of molecules possessing a particular speed. Fig. 5.8 shows schematic plot of number of molecules vs. molecular speed at two different temperatures $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2}\right.$ is higher than $\left.\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)$. The distribution of speeds shown in the plot is called Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution of speeds.


Fig. 5.8: Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution of speeds
The graph shows that number of molecules possessing very high and very low speed is very small. The maximum in the curve represents speed possessed by maximum number of molecules. This speed is called most probable speed, $\boldsymbol{u}_{m p}$. This is very close to the average speed of the molecules. On increasing the temperature most probable speed increases. Also, speed distribution curve broadens at higher temperature. Broadening of the curve shows that number of molecules moving at higher speed increases. Speed distribution also depends upon mass of molecules. At the same temperature, gas molecules with heavier mass have slower speed than lighter gas molecules. For example, at the same temperature lighter nitrogen molecules move faster than heavier chlorine molecules. Hence, at any given temperature, nitrogen molecules have higher value of most probable speed than the chlorine molecules. Look at
the molecular speed distribution curve of chlorine and nitrogen given in Fig. 5.9. Though at a particular temperature the individual speed of molecules keeps changing, the distribution of speeds remains same.


Fig. 5.9: Distribution of molecular speeds for chlorine and nitrogen at 300 K

We know that kinetic energy of a particle is given by the expression:

$$
\text { Kinetic Energy }=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{mu}^{2}
$$

Therefore, if we want to know average translational kinetic energy, $\frac{1}{2} m \overline{u^{2}}$, for the movement of a gas particle in a straight line, we require the value of mean of square of speeds, $\overline{u^{2}}$, of all molecules. This is represented as follows:

$$
\overline{\mathrm{u}^{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{u}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{u}_{2}^{2}+\ldots \ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{u}_{n}^{2}}{\mathrm{n}}
$$

The mean square speed is the direct measure of the average kinetic energy of gas molecules. If we take the square root of the mean of the square of speeds then we get a value of speed which is different from most probable speed and average speed. This speed is called root mean square speed and is given by the expression as follows:

$$
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{rms}}=\sqrt{\overline{\mathrm{u}^{2}}}
$$

Root mean square speed, average speed and the most probable speed have following relationship:

$$
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{rms}}>\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{av}}>\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{mp}}
$$

The ratio between the three speeds is given below:

$$
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{mp}}: \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{av}}: \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{rms}}:: 1: 1.128: 1.224
$$

### 5.8 KINETIC MOLECULAR THEORY OF GASES

So far we have learnt the laws (e.g., Boyle's law, Charles' law etc.) which are concise statements of experimental facts observed in the laboratory by the scientists. Conducting careful experiments is an important aspect of scientific method and it tells us how the particular system is behaving under different conditions. However, once the experimental facts are established, a scientist is curious to know why the system is behaving in that way. For example, gas laws help us to predict that pressure increases when we compress gases but we would like to know what happens at molecular level when a gas is compressed ? A theory is constructed to answer such questions. A theory is a model (i.e., a mental picture) that enables us to better understand our observations. The theory that attempts to elucidate the behaviour of gases is known as kinetic molecular theory.

Assumptions or postulates of the kineticmolecular theory of gases are given below. These postulates are related to atoms and molecules which cannot be seen, hence it is said to provide a microscopic model of gases.

- Gases consist of large number of identical particles (atoms or molecules) that are so small and so far apart on the average that the actual volume of the molecules is negligible in comparison to the empty space between them. They are considered as point masses. This assumption explains the great compressibility of gases.
- There is no force of attraction between the particles of a gas at ordinary temperature and pressure. The support for this assumption comes from the fact that gases expand and occupy all the space available to them.
- Particles of a gas are always in constant and random motion. If the particles were at rest and occupied fixed positions, then a gas would have had a fixed shape which is not observed.
- Particles of a gas move in all possible directions in straight lines. During their random motion, they collide with each other and with the walls of the container. Pressure is exerted by the gas as a result of collision of the particles with the walls of the container.
- Collisions of gas molecules are perfectly elastic. This means that total energy of molecules before and after the collision remains same. There may be exchange of energy between colliding molecules, their individual energies may change, but the sum of their energies remains constant. If there were loss of kinetic energy, the motion of molecules will stop and gases will settle down. This is contrary to what is actually observed.
- At any particular time, different particles in the gas have different speeds and hence different kinetic energies. This assumption is reasonable because as the particles collide, we expect their speed to change. Even if initial speed of all the particles was same, the molecular collisions will disrupt this uniformity. Consequently, the particles must have different speeds, which go on changing constantly. It is possible to show that though the individual speeds are changing, the distribution of speeds remains constant at a particular temperature.
- If a molecule has variable speed, then it must have a variable kinetic energy. Under these circumstances, we can talk only about average kinetic energy. In kinetic theory, it is assumed that average kinetic energy of the gas molecules is directly proportional to the absolute temperature. It is seen that on heating a gas at constant volume, the pressure increases. On heating the gas, kinetic energy of the particles increases and these strike the walls of the container more frequently, thus, exerting more pressure.
Kinetic theory of gases allows us to derive theoretically, all the gas laws studied in the previous sections. Calculations and predictions
based on kinetic theory of gases agree very well with the experimental observations and thus establish the correctness of this model.


### 5.9 BEHAVIOUR OF REAL GASES: DEVIATION FROM IDEAL GAS BEHAVIOUR

Our theoritical model of gases corresponds very well with the experimental observations. Difficulty arises when we try to test how far the relation $p V=n \mathrm{R} T$ reproduce actual pressure-volume-temperature relationship of gases. To test this point we plot $p V$ vs $p$ plot of gases because at constant temperature, $p V$ will be constant (Boyle's law) and $p V$ vs $p$ graph at all pressures will be a straight line parallel to x-axis. Fig. 5.10 shows such a plot constructed from actual data for several gases at 273 K .


Fig. 5.10 Plot of $p V$ vs $p$ for real gas and ideal gas
It can be seen easily that at constant temperature $p V v s p$ plot for real gases is not a straight line. There is a significant deviation from ideal behaviour. Two types of curves are seen. In the curves for dihydrogen and helium, as the pressure increases the value of $p V$ also increases. The second type of plot is seen in the case of other gases like carbon monoxide and methane. In these plots first there is a
negative deviation from ideal behaviour, the $p V$ value decreases with increase in pressure and reaches to a minimum value characteristic of a gas. After that $p V$ value starts increasing. The curve then crosses the line for ideal gas and after that shows positive deviation continuously. It is thus, found that real gases do not follow ideal gas equation perfectly under all conditions.

Deviation from ideal behaviour also becomes apparent when pressure vs volume plot is drawn. The pressure $u s$ volume plot of experimental data (real gas) and that theoretically calculated from Boyle's law (ideal gas) should coincide. Fig 5.11 shows these plots. It is apparent that at very high pressure the measured volume is more than the calculated volume. At low pressures, measured and calculated volumes approach each other.


Fig. 5.11 Plot of pressure vs volume for real gas and ideal gas

It is found that real gases do not follow, Boyle's law, Charles law and Avogadro law perfectly under all conditions. Now two questions arise.
(i) Why do gases deviate from the ideal behaviour?
(ii) What are the conditions under which gases deviate from ideality?

We get the answer of the first question if we look into postulates of kinetic theory once again. We find that two assumptions of the kinetic theory do not hold good. These are
(a) There is no force of attraction between the molecules of a gas.
(b) Volume of the molecules of a gas is negligibly small in comparison to the space occupied by the gas.
If assumption (a) is correct, the gas will never liquify. However, we know that gases do liquify when cooled and compressed. Also, liquids formed are very difficult to compress. This means that forces of repulsion are powerful enough and prevent squashing of molecules in tiny volume. If assumption (b) is correct, the pressure vs volume graph of experimental data (real gas) and that theoritically calculated from Boyles law (ideal gas) should coincide.

Real gases show deviations from ideal gas law because molecules interact with each other. At high pressures molecules of gases are very close to each other. Molecular interactions start operating. At high pressure, molecules do not strike the walls of the container with full impact because these are dragged back by other molecules due to molecular attractive forces. This affects the pressure exerted by the molecules on the walls of the container. Thus, the pressure exerted by the gas is lower than the pressure exerted by the ideal gas.

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{\text {ideal }}= & p_{\text {real }}+\frac{\mathrm{an}^{2}}{V^{2}}  \tag{5.30}\\
& \text { observed } \\
& \text { correction } \\
\text { pressure } & \text { term }
\end{align*}
$$

Here, a is a constant.
Repulsive forces also become significant. Repulsive interactions are short-range interactions and are significant when molecules are almost in contact. This is the situation at high pressure. The repulsive forces cause the molecules to behave as small but impenetrable spheres. The volume occupied by the molecules also becomes significant
because instead of moving in volume $V$, these are now restricted to volume ( $V-n b$ ) where $n b$ is approximately the total volume occupied by the molecules themselves. Here, b is a constant. Having taken into account the corrections for pressure and volume, we can rewrite equation (5.17) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p+\frac{\mathrm{an}^{2}}{V^{2}}\right)(V-n \mathrm{~b})=n \mathrm{R} T \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (5.31) is known as van der Waals equation. In this equation $n$ is number of moles of the gas. Constants $a$ and $b$ are called van der Waals constants and their value depends on the characteristic of a gas. Value of 'a' is measure of magnitude of intermolecular attractive forces within the gas and is independent of temperature and pressure.

Also, at very low temperature, intermolecular forces become significant. As the molecules travel with low average speed, these can be captured by one another due to attractive forces. Real gases show ideal behaviour when conditions of temperature and pressure are such that the intermolecular forces are practically negligible. The real gases show ideal behaviour when pressure approaches zero.

The deviation from ideal behaviour can be measured in terms of compressibility factor $Z$, which is the ratio of product $p V$ and $n R T$. Mathematically

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\frac{p V}{n \mathrm{R} T} \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

For ideal gas $Z=1$ at all temperatures and pressures because $p V=n \mathrm{RT}$. The graph of $Z$ vs $p$ will be a straight line parallel to pressure axis (Fig. 5.12, page 152). For gases which deviate from ideality, value of $Z$ deviates from unity. At very low pressures all gases shown have $Z \approx 1$ and behave as ideal gas. At high pressure all the gases have $Z>1$. These are more difficult to compress. At intermediate pressures, most gases have $Z<1$. Thus gases show ideal behaviour when the volume


Fig. 5.12 Variation of compressibility factor for some gases
occupied is large so that the volume of the molecules can be neglected in comparison
to it. In other words, the behaviour of the gas becomes more ideal when pressure is very low. Upto what pressure a gas will follow the ideal gas law, depends upon nature of the gas and its temperature. The temperature at which a real gas obeys ideal gas law over an appreciable range of pressure is called Boyle temperature or Boyle point. Boyle point of a gas depends upon its nature. Above their Boyle point, real gases show positive deviations from ideality and $Z$ values are greater than one. The forces of attraction between the molecules are very feeble. Below Boyle temperature real gases first show decrease in $Z$ value with increasing pressure, which reaches a minimum value. On further increase in pressure, the value of $Z$ increases continuously. Above explanation shows that at low pressure and high temperature gases show ideal behaviour. These conditions are different for different gases.

More insight is obtained in the significance of $Z$ if we note the following derivation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\frac{p V_{\text {real }}}{n \mathrm{R} T} \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the gas shows ideal behaviour then
$V_{\text {ideal }}=\frac{n \mathrm{R} T}{p}$. On putting this value of $\frac{n \mathrm{R} T}{p}$
in equation (5.33) we have $Z=\frac{V_{\text {real }}}{V_{\text {ideal }}}$
From equation (5.34) we can see that compressibility factor is the ratio of actual molar volume of a gas to the molar volume of it, if it were an ideal gas at that temperature and pressure.

In the following sections we will see that it is not possible to distinguish between gaseous state and liquid state and that liquids may be considered as continuation of gas phase into a region of small volumes and very high molecular attraction. We will also see how we can use isotherms of gases for predicting the conditions for liquifaction of gases.

### 5.10 LIGUIFACTION OF GASES

First complete data on pressure-volumetemperature relations of a substance in both gaseous and liquid state was obtained by Thomas Andrews on Carbon dioxide. He plotted isotherms of carbon dioxide at various temperatures (Fig. 5.13). Later on it was found that real gases behave in the same manner as carbon dioxide. Andrews noticed that at high temperatures isotherms look like that of an ideal gas and the gas cannot be liquified even at very high pressure. As the temperature is lowered, shape of the curve changes and data show considerable deviation from ideal behaviour. At $30.98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ carbon dioxide remains gas upto 73 atmospheric pressure. (Point E in Fig. 5.13). At 73 atmospheric pressure, liquid carbon dioxide appears for the first time. The temperature $30.98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is called critical temperature ( $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) of carbon dioxide. This is the highest temperature at which liquid carbon dioxide is observed. Above this temperature it is gas. Volume of one mole of the gas at critical temperature is called critical volume ( $\boldsymbol{V}_{\mathbf{c}}$ ) and pressure at this temperature is called critical pressure ( $\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ). The critical temperature, pressure and volume are called critical constants. Further increase in pressure simply compresses the


Fig. 5.13 Isotherms of carbon dioxide at various temperatures
liquid carbon dioxide and the curve represents the compressibility of the liquid. The steep line represents the isotherm of liquid. Even a slight compression results in steep rise in pressure indicating very low compressibility of the liquid. Below $30.98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the behaviour of the gas on compression is quite different. At $21.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, carbon dioxide remains as a gas only upto point $B$. At point $B$, liquid of a particular volume appears. Further compression does not change the pressure. Liquid and gaseous carbon dioxide coexist and further application of pressure results in the condensation of more gas until the point C is reached. At point C , all the gas has been condensed and further application of pressure merely compresses the liquid as shown by steep line. A slight compression from volume $V_{2}$ to $V_{3}$ results in steep rise in pressure from $p_{2}$ to $p_{3}$ (Fig. 5.13). Below $30.98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (critical temperature) each curve shows the similar trend. Only length of the horizontal line increases at lower temperatures. At critical point horizontal portion of the isotherm merges into one point.

Thus we see that a point like A in the Fig. 5.13 represents gaseous state. A point like D represents liquid state and a point under the dome shaped area represents existence of liquid and gaseous carbon dioxide in equilibrium. All the gases upon compression at constant temperature (isothermal compression) show the same behaviour as shown by carbon dioxide. Also above discussion shows that gases should be cooled below their critical temperature for liquification. Critical temperature of a gas is highest temperature at which liquifaction of the gas first occurs. Liquifaction of so called permanent gases (i.e., gases which show continuous positive deviation in $Z$ value) requires cooling as well as considerable compression. Compression brings the molecules in close vicinity and cooling slows down the movement of molecules therefore, intermolecular interactions may hold the closely and slowly moving molecules together and the gas liquifies.

It is possible to change a gas into liquid or a liquid into gas by a process in which always a single phase is present. For example in Fig. 5.13 we can move from point A to F vertically by increasing the temperature, then we can reach the point $G$ by compressing the gas at the constant temperature along this isotherm (isotherm at $31.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The pressure will increase. Now we can move vertically down towards D by lowering the temperature. As soon as we cross the point H on the critical isotherm we get liquid. We end up with liquid but in this series of changes we do not pass through two-phase region. If process is carried out at the critical temperature, substance always remains in one phase.

Thus there is continuity between the gaseous and liquid state. The term fluid is used for either a liquid or a gas to recognise this continuity. Thus a liquid can be viewed as a very dense gas. Liquid and gas can be distinguished only when the fluid is below its critical temperature and its pressure and volume lie under the dome, since in that situation liquid and gas are in equilibrium and a surface separating the two phases is visible. In the absence of this surface there is no
fundamental way of distinguishing between two states. At critical temperature, liquid passes into gaseous state imperceptibly and continuously; the surface separating two phases disappears (Section 5.11.1). A gas below the critical temperature can be liquified by applying pressure, and is called vapour of the substance. Carbon dioxide gas below its critical temperature is called carbon dioxide vapour. Critical constants for some common substances are given in Table 5.4.

Table 5.4 Critical Constants for Some Substances

| Substance | $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathbf{c}} / \mathbf{K}$ | $\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathbf{c}} / \mathbf{b a r}$ | $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{c}} / \mathbf{d m}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{m o l}^{-\mathbf{1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 33.2 | 12.97 | 0.0650 |
| He | 5.3 | 2.29 | 0.0577 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 126.0 | 33.9 | 0.0900 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 154.3 | 50.4 | 0.0744 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | 304.10 | 73.9 | 0.0956 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 647.1 | 220.6 | 0.0450 |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 405.5 | 113.0 | 0.0723 |

## Problem 5.5

Gases possess characteristic critical temperature which depends upon the magnitude of intermolecular forces between the gas particles. Critical temperatures of ammonia and carbon dioxide are 405.5 K and 304.10 K respectively. Which of these gases will liquify first when you start cooling from 500 K to their critical temperature?

## Solution

Ammonia will liquify first because its critical temperature will be reached first. Liquifaction of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ will require more cooling.

### 5.11 LIQUID STATE

Intermolecular forces are stronger in liquid state than in gaseous state. Molecules in liquids are so close that there is very little empty space
between them and under normal conditions liquids are denser than gases.

Molecules of liquids are held together by attractive intermolecular forces. Liquids have definite volume because molecules do not separate from each other. However, molecules of liquids can move past one another freely, therefore, liquids can flow, can be poured and can assume the shape of the container in which these are stored. In the following sections we will look into some of the physical properties of the liquids such as vapour pressure, surface tension and viscosity.

### 5.11.1 Vapour Pressure

If an evacuated container is partially filled with a liquid, a portion of liquid evaporates to fill the remaining volume of the container with vapour. Initially the liquid evaporates and pressure exerted by vapours on the walls of the container (vapour pressure) increases. After some time it becomes constant, an equilibrium is established between liquid phase and vapour phase. Vapour pressure at this stage is known as equilibrium vapour pressure or saturated vapour pressure.. Since process of vapourisation is temperature dependent; the temperature must be mentioned while reporting the vapour pressure of a liquid.

When a liquid is heated in an open vessel, the liquid vapourises from the surface. At the temperature at which vapour pressure of the liquid becomes equal to the external pressure, vapourisation can occur throughout the bulk of the liquid and vapours expand freely into the surroundings. The condition of free vapourisation throughout the liquid is called boiling. The temperature at which vapour pressure of liquid is equal to the external pressure is called boiling temperature at that pressure. Vapour pressure of some common liquids at various temperatures is given in (Fig. 5.14, page 155). At 1 atm pressure boiling temperature is called normal boiling point. If pressure is 1 bar then the boiling point is called standard boiling point of the liquid. Standard boiling point of the liquid is slightly lower than the normal boiling point because


Fig. 5.14 Vapour pressure vs temperature curve of some common liquids.

1 bar pressure is slightly less than 1 atm pressure. The normal boiling point of water is $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(373 \mathrm{~K})$, its standard boiling point is $99.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (372.6 K).

At high altitudes atmospheric pressure is low. Therefore liquids at high altitudes boil at lower temperatures in comparison to that at sea level. Since water boils at low temperature on hills, the pressure cooker is used for cooking food. In hospitals surgical instruments are sterilized in autoclaves in which boiling point of water is increased by increasing the pressure above the atmospheric pressure by using a weight covering the vent.

Boiling does not occur when liquid is heated in a closed vessel. On heating continuously vapour pressure increases. At first a clear boundary is visible between liquid and vapour phase because liquid is more dense than vapour. As the temperature increases
more and more molecules go to vapour phase and density of vapours rises. At the same time liquid becomes less dense. It expands because molecules move apart. When density of liquid and vapours becomes the same; the clear boundary between liquid and vapours disappears. This temperature is called critical temperature about which we have already discussed in section 5.10.

### 5.11.2 Surface Tension

It is well known fact that liquids assume the shape of the container. Why is it then small drops of mercury form spherical bead instead of spreading on the surface. Why do particles of soil at the bottom of river remain separated but they stick together when taken out? Why does a liquid rise (or fall) in a thin capillary as soon as the capillary touches the surface of the liquid? All these phenomena are caused due to the characteristic property of liquids, called surface tension. A molecule in the bulk of liquid experiences equal intermolecular forces from all sides. The molecule, therefore does not experience any net force. But for the molecule on the surface of liquid, net attractive force is towards the interior of the liquid (Fig. $5.15)$, due to the molecules below it. Since there are no molecules above it.

Liquids tend to minimize their surface area. The molecules on the surface experience a net downward force and have more energy than

Surface molecule:
net attraction into the liquid


Fig. 5.15 Forces acting on a molecule on liquid surface and on a molecule inside the liquid
the molecules in the bulk, which do not experience any net force. Therefore, liquids tend to have minimum number of molecules at their surface. If surface of the liquid is increased by pulling a molecule from the bulk, attractive forces will have to be overcome. This will require expenditure of energy. The energy required to increase the surface area of the liquid by one unit is defined as surface energy. Its dimensions are $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$. Surface tension is defined as the force acting per unit length perpendicular to the line drawn on the surface of liquid. It is denoted by Greek letter $\gamma$ (Gamma). It has dimensions of $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{s}^{-2}$ and in SI unit it is expressed as $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{m}^{-1}$. The lowest energy state of the liquid will be when surface area is minimum. Spherical shape satisfies this condition, that is why mercury drops are spherical in shape. This is the reason that sharp glass edges are heated for making them smooth. On heating, the glass melts and the surface of the liquid tends to take the rounded shape at the edges, which makes the edges smooth. This is called fire polishing of glass.

Liquid tends to rise (or fall) in the capillary because of surface tension. Liquids wet the things because they spread across their surfaces as thin film. Moist soil grains are pulled together because surface area of thin film of water is reduced. It is surface tension which gives stretching property to the surface of a liquid. On flat surface, droplets are slightly flattened by the effect of gravity; but in the gravity free environments drops are perfectly spherical.

The magnitude of surface tension of a liquid depends on the attractive forces between the molecules. When the attractive forces are large, the surface tension is large. Increase in temperature increases the kinetic energy of the molecules and effectiveness of intermolecular attraction decreases, so surface tension decreases as the temperature is raised.

### 5.11.3 Viscosity

It is one of the characteristic properties of liquids. Viscosity is a measure of resistance to flow which arises due to the internal friction
between layers of fluid as they slip past one another while liquid flows. Strong intermolecular forces between molecules hold them together and resist movement of layers past one another.

When a liquid flows over a fixed surface, the layer of molecules in the immediate contact of surface is stationary. The velocity of upper layers increases as the distance of layers from the fixed layer increases. This type of flow in which there is a regular gradation of velocity in passing from one layer to the next is called laminar flow. If we choose any layer in the flowing liquid (Fig.5.16), the layer above it accelerates its flow and the layer below this


Fig. 5.16 Gradation of velocity in the laminar flow
retards its flow.
If the velocity of the layer at a distance dz is changed by a value du then velocity gradient is given by the amount. $\frac{d u}{d z}$ A force is required to maintain the flow of layers. This force is proportional to the area of contact of layers and velocity gradient i.e.

$$
F \propto A(\mathrm{~A} \text { is the area of contact })
$$

$F \propto \mathrm{~A} . \frac{\mathrm{du}}{\mathrm{dz}}$ (where, $\frac{\mathrm{du}}{\mathrm{dz}}$ is velocity gradient; the change in velocity with distance)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F \propto \mathrm{~A} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{du}}{\mathrm{dz}} \\
& \Rightarrow F=\eta \mathrm{A} \frac{\mathrm{du}}{\mathrm{dz}}
\end{aligned}
$$

' $\eta$ ' is proportionality constant and is called coefficient of viscosity. Viscosity coefficient is the force when velocity gradient is unity and the area of contact is unit area. Thus ' ' is measure of viscosity. SI unit of viscosity coefficient is 1 newton second per square metre ( $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ ) = pascal second ( $\mathrm{Pa} \mathrm{s}=1 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). In cgs system the unit of coefficient of viscosity is poise (named after great scientist Jean Louise Poiseuille).

$$
1 \text { poise }=1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}=10^{-1} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Greater the viscosity, the more slowly the liquid flows. Hydrogen bonding and van der Waals forces are strong enough to cause high viscosity. Glass is an extremely viscous liquid. It is so viscous that many of its properties resemble solids.

Viscosity of liquids decreases as the temperature rises because at high temperature molecules have high kinetic energy and can overcome the intermolecular forces to slip past one another between the layers.

## SUMMARY

Intermolecular forces operate between the particles of matter. These forces differ from pure electrostatic forces that exist between two oppositely charged ions. Also, these do not include forces that hold atoms of a covalent molecule together through covalent bond. Competition between thermal energy and intermolecular interactions determines the state of matter. "Bulk" properties of matter such as behaviour of gases, characteristics of solids and liquids and change of state depend upon energy of constituent particles and the type of interaction between them. Chemical properties of a substance do not change with change of state, but the reactivity depends upon the physical state.

Forces of interaction between gas molecules are negligible and are almost independent of their chemical nature. Interdependence of some observable properties namely pressure, volume, temperature and mass leads to different gas laws obtained from experimental studies on gases. Boyle's law states that under isothermal condition, pressure of a fixed amount of a gas is inversely proportional to its volume. Charles' law is a relationship between volume and absolute temperature under isobaric condition. It states that volume of a fixed amount of gas is directly proportional to its absolute temperature $(V \propto T)$. If state of a gas is represented by $p_{1}, V_{1}$ and $T_{1}$ and it changes to state at $p_{2}, V_{2}$ and $T_{2}$, then relationship between these two states is given by combined gas law according to which $\frac{p_{1} V_{1}}{T_{1}}=\frac{p_{2} V_{2}}{T_{2}}$. Any one of the variables of this gas can be found out if other five variables are known. Avogadro law states that equal volumes of all gases under same conditions of temperature and pressure contain equal number of molecules. Dalton's law of partial pressure states that total pressure exerted by a mixture of non-reacting gases is equal to the sum of partial pressures exerted by them. Thus $p=p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}+\ldots$. Relationship between pressure, volume, temperature and number of moles of a gas describes its state and is called equation of state of the gas. Equation of state for ideal gas is $p V=n R T$, where R is a gas constant and its value depends upon units chosen for pressure, volume and temperature.

At high pressure and low temperature intermolecular forces start operating strongly between the molecules of gases because they come close to each other. Under suitable temperature and pressure conditions gases can be liquified. Liquids may be considered as continuation of gas phase into a region of small volume and very strong molecular attractions. Some properties of liquids e.g., surface tension and viscosity are due to strong intermolecular attractive forces.

## EXERCISES

5.1 What will be the minimum pressure required to compress $500 \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ of air at 1 bar to $200 \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ?
5.2 A vessel of 120 mL capacity contains a certain amount of gas at $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1.2 bar pressure. The gas is transferred to another vessel of volume 180 mL at $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. What would be its pressure?
5.3 Using the equation of state $p V=n R T$, show that at a given temperature density of a gas is proportional to gas pressure $p$.
5.4 At $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the density of a certain oxide of a gas at 2 bar is same as that of dinitrogen at 5 bar. What is the molecular mass of the oxide?
5.5 Pressure of 1 g of an ideal gas A at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is found to be 2 bar . When 2 g of another ideal gas B is introduced in the same flask at same temperature the pressure becomes 3 bar. Find a relationship between their molecular masses.
5.6 The drain cleaner, Drainex contains small bits of aluminum which react with caustic soda to produce dihydrogen. What volume of dihydrogen at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and one bar will be released when 0.15 g of aluminum reacts?
5.7 What will be the pressure exerted by a mixture of 3.2 g of methane and 4.4 g of carbon dioxide contained in a $9 \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ flask at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ?
5.8 What will be the pressure of the gaseous mixture when $0.5 \mathrm{~L}^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ at 0.8 bar and 2.0 L of dioxygen at 0.7 bar are introduced in a 1 L vessel at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ?
5.9 Density of a gas is found to be $5.46 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 2 bar pressure. What will be its density at STP?
$5.10 \quad 34.05 \mathrm{~mL}$ of phosphorus vapour weighs 0.0625 g at $546{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 0.1 bar pressure. What is the molar mass of phosphorus?
5.11 A student forgot to add the reaction mixture to the round bottomed flask at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ but instead he/she placed the flask on the flame. After a lapse of time, he realized his mistake, and using a pyrometer he found the temperature of the flask was 477 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. What fraction of air would have been expelled out?
5.12 Calculate the temperature of 4.0 mol of a gas occupying $5 \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ at 3.32 bar. ( $\mathrm{R}=0.083 \mathrm{bar} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ).
5.13 Calculate the total number of electrons present in 1.4 g of dinitrogen gas.
5.14 How much time would it take to distribute one Avogadro number of wheat grains, if $10^{10}$ grains are distributed each second ?
5.15 Calculate the total pressure in a mixture of 8 g of dioxygen and 4 g of dihydrogen confined in a vessel of $1 \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}=0.083 \mathrm{bar} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.
5.16 Pay load is defined as the difference between the mass of displaced air and the mass of the balloon. Calculate the pay load when a balloon of radius 10 m , mass 100 kg is filled with helium at 1.66 bar at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (Density of air $=1.2 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ and $\mathrm{R}=0.083{\text { bar } \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \text { ). }}^{2}$
5.17 Calculate the volume occupied by 8.8 g of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $31.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 bar pressure. $\mathrm{R}=0.083$ bar $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.
$5.18 \quad 2.9 \mathrm{~g}$ of a gas at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ occupied the same volume as 0.184 g of dihydrogen at $17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, at the same pressure. What is the molar mass of the gas?
5.19 A mixture of dihydrogen and dioxygen at one bar pressure contains $20 \%$ by weight of dihydrogen. Calculate the partial pressure of dihydrogen.
5.20 What would be the SI unit for the quantity $p V^{2} T^{2} / n$ ?
5.21 In terms of Charles' law explain why $-273{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is the lowest possible temperature.
5.22 Critical temperature for carbon dioxide and methane are $31.1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-81.9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively. Which of these has stronger intermolecular forces and why?
5.23 Explain the physical significance of van der Waals parameters.

## UNIT 6

## THERMODYNAMICS

## Objectives

After studying this Unit, you will be able to

- explain the terms : system and surroundings;
- discriminate between close, open and isolated systems;
- explain internal energy, work and heat;
- state first law of thermodynamics and express it mathematically;
- calculate energy changes as work and heat contributions in chemical systems;
- explain state functions: $U, H$.
- correlate $\Delta U$ and $\Delta H$;
- measure experimentally $\Delta U$ and $\Delta H$;
- define standard states for $\Delta H$;
- calculate enthalpy changes for various types of reactions;
- state and apply Hess's law of constant heat summation;
- differentiate between extensive and intensive properties;
- define spontaneous and nonspontaneous processes;
- explain entropy as a thermodynamic state function and apply it for spontaneity;
- explain Gibbs energy change ( $\Delta G$ ); and
- establish relationship between $\Delta G$ and spontaneity, $\Delta G$ and equilibrium constant.

It is the only physical theory of universal content concerning which $I$ am convinced that, within the framework of the applicability of its basic concepts, it will never be overthrown.

Albert Einstein

Chemical energy stored by molecules can be released as heat during chemical reactions when a fuel like methane, cooking gas or coal burns in air. The chemical energy may also be used to do mechanical work when a fuel burns in an engine or to provide electrical energy through a galvanic cell like dry cell. Thus, various forms of energy are interrelated and under certain conditions, these may be transformed from one form into another. The study of these energy transformations forms the subject matter of thermodynamics. The laws of thermodynamics deal with energy changes of macroscopic systems involving a large number of molecules rather than microscopic systems containing a few molecules. Thermodynamics is not concerned about how and at what rate these energy transformations are carried out, but is based on initial and final states of a system undergoing the change. Laws of thermodynamics apply only when a system is in equilibrium or moves from one equilibrium state to another equilibrium state. Macroscopic properties like pressure and temperature do not change with time for a system in equilibrium state. In this unit, we would like to answer some of the important questions through thermodynamics, like:

How do we determine the energy changes involved in a chemical reaction/process? Will it occur or not?
What drives a chemical reaction/process?
To what extent do the chemical reactions proceed?

### 6.1 THERMODYNAMIC TERMS

We are interested in chemical reactions and the energy changes accompanying them. For this we need to know certain thermodynamic terms. These are discussed below.

### 6.1.1 The System and the Surroundings

A system in thermodynamics refers to that part of universe in which observations are made and remaining universe constitutes the surroundings. The surroundings include everything other than the system. System and the surroundings together constitute the universe .
The universe = The system + The surroundings
However, the entire universe other than the system is not affected by the changes taking place in the system. Therefore, for all practical purposes, the surroundings are that portion of the remaining universe which can interact with the system. Usually, the region of space in the neighbourhood of the system constitutes its surroundings.

For example, if we are studying the reaction between two substances A and B kept in a beaker, the beaker containing the reaction mixture is the system and the room where the beaker is kept is the surroundings (Fig. 6.1).


Fig. 6.1 System and the surroundings
Note that the system may be defined by physical boundaries, like beaker or test tube, or the system may simply be defined by a set of Cartesian coordinates specifying a particular volume in space. It is necessary to think of the system as separated from the surroundings by some sort of wall which may
be real or imaginary. The wall that separates the system from the surroundings is called boundary. This is designed to allow us to control and keep track of all movements of matter and energy in or out of the system.

### 6.1.2 Types of the System

We, further classify the systems according to the movements of matter and energy in or out of the system.

## 1. Open System

In an open system, there is exchange of energy and matter between system and surroundings [Fig. 6.2 (a)]. The presence of reactants in an open beaker is an example of an open system*. Here the boundary is an imaginary surface enclosing the beaker and reactants.

## 2. Closed System

In a closed system, there is no exchange of matter, but exchange of energy is possible between system and the surroundings [Fig. 6.2 (b)]. The presence of reactants in a closed vessel made of conducting material e.g., copper or steel is an example of a closed system.


Fig. 6.2 Open, closed and isolated systems.

[^2]
## 3. Isolated System

In an isolated system, there is no exchange of energy or matter between the system and the surroundings [Fig. 6.2 (c)]. The presence of reactants in a thermos flask or any other closed insulated vessel is an example of an isolated system.

### 6.1.3 The State of the System

The system must be described in order to make any useful calculations by specifying quantitatively each of the properties such as its pressure ( $p$ ), volume ( $V$ ), and temperature $(T)$ as well as the composition of the system. We need to describe the system by specifying it before and after the change. You would recall from your Physics course that the state of a system in mechanics is completely specified at a given instant of time, by the position and velocity of each mass point of the system. In thermodynamics, a different and much simpler concept of the state of a system is introduced. It does not need detailed knowledge of motion of each particle because, we deal with average measurable properties of the system. We specify the state of the system by state functions or state variables.

The state of a thermodynamic system is described by its measurable or macroscopic (bulk) properties. We can describe the state of a gas by quoting its pressure ( $p$ ), volume ( $V$ ), temperature ( $T$ ), amount ( $n$ ) etc. Variables like $p, V, T$ are called state variables or state functions because their values depend only on the state of the system and not on how it is reached. In order to completely define the state of a system it is not necessary to define all the properties of the system; as only a certain number of properties can be varied independently. This number depends on the nature of the system. Once these minimum number of macroscopic properties are fixed, others automatically have definite values.

The state of the surroundings can never be completely specified; fortunately it is not necessary to do so.

### 6.1.4 The Internal Energy as a State Function

When we talk about our chemical system losing or gaining energy, we need to introduce a quantity which represents the total energy
of the system. It may be chemical, electrical, mechanical or any other type of energy you may think of, the sum of all these is the energy of the system. In thermodynamics, we call it the internal energy, $U$ of the system, which may change, when

- heat passes into or out of the system,
- work is done on or by the system,
- matter enters or leaves the system.

These systems are classified accordingly as you have already studied in section 6.1.2.

## (a) Work

Let us first examine a change in internal energy by doing work. We take a system containing some quantity of water in a thermos flask or in an insulated beaker. This would not allow exchange of heat between the system and surroundings through its boundary and we call this type of system as adiabatic. The manner in which the state of such a system may be changed will be called adiabatic process. Adiabatic process is a process in which there is no transfer of heat between the system and surroundings. Here, the wall separating the system and the surroundings is called the adiabatic wall (Fig 6.3).

Let us bring the change in the internal energy of the system by doing some work on


Fig. 6.3 An adiabatic system which does not permit the transfer of heat through its boundary.
it. Let us call the initial state of the system as state A and its temperature as $T_{\mathrm{A}}$. Let the internal energy of the system in state A be called $U_{A}$. We can change the state of the system in two different ways.

One way: We do some mechanical work, say 1 kJ , by rotating a set of small paddles and thereby churning water. Let the new state be called B state and its temperature, as $T_{B}$. It is found that $T_{\mathrm{B}}>T_{\mathrm{A}}$ and the change in temperature, $\Delta T=T_{\mathrm{B}}-T_{\mathrm{A}}$. Let the internal energy of the system in state B be $U_{\mathrm{B}}$ and the change in internal energy, $\Delta U=U_{B}-U_{A}$.
Second way: We now do an equal amount (i.e., 1 kJ ) electrical work with the help of an immersion rod and note down the temperature change. We find that the change in temperature is same as in the earlier case, say, $T_{B}-T_{A}$.

In fact, the experiments in the above manner were done by J. P. Joule between 1840-50 and he was able to show that a given amount of work done on the system, no matter how it was done (irrespective of path) produced the same change of state, as measured by the change in the temperature of the system.

So, it seems appropriate to define a quantity, the internal energy $U$, whose value is characteristic of the state of a system, whereby the adiabatic work, $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ required to bring about a change of state is equal to the difference between the value of $U$ in one state and that in another state, $\Delta U$ i.e.,

$$
\Delta U=U_{2}-U_{1}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ad}}
$$

Therefore, internal energy, $U$, of the system is a state function.

By conventions of IUPAC in chemical thermodynamics. The positive sign expresses that $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ is positive when work is done on the system and the internal energy of system increases. Similarly, if the work is done by the system, $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ will be negative because internal energy of the system decreases.

Can you name some other familiar state functions? Some of other familiar state functions are $V, p$, and T. For example, if we bring a change in temperature of the system from $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the change in temperature is $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=+10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whether we go straight up to $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or we cool the system for a few degrees, then take the system to the final temperature. Thus, $T$ is a state function and the change in temperature is independent of
the route taken. Volume of water in a pond, for example, is a state function, because change in volume of its water is independent of the route by which water is filled in the pond, either by rain or by tubewell or by both.

## (b) Heat

We can also change the internal energy of a system by transfer of heat from the surroundings to the system or vice-versa without expenditure of work. This exchange of energy, which is a result of temperature difference is called heat, $q$. Let us consider bringing about the same change in temperature (the same initial and final states as before in section 6.1.4 (a) by transfer of heat through thermally conducting walls instead of adiabatic walls (Fig. 6.4).


Fig. 6.4 A system which allows heat transfer through its boundary.

We take water at temperature, $T_{\mathrm{A}}$ in a container having thermally conducting walls, say made up of copper and enclose it in a huge heat reservoir at temperature, $T_{\mathrm{B}}$. The heat absorbed by the system (water), $q$ can be measured in terms of temperature difference , $T_{B}-T_{A}$. In this case change in internal energy, $\Delta U=q$, when no work is done at constant volume.

By conventions of IUPAC in chemical thermodynamics. The $q$ is positive, when heat is transferred from the surroundings to the system and the internal energy of the system increases and $q$ is negative when heat is transferred from system to the surroundings resulting in decrease of the internal energy of the system..

[^3]
## (c) The general case

Let us consider the general case in which a change of state is brought about both by doing work and by transfer of heat. We write change in internal energy for this case as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta U=q+\mathrm{w} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a given change in state, $q$ and w can vary depending on how the change is carried out. However, $q+w=\Delta U$ will depend only on initial and final state. It will be independent of the way the change is carried out. If there is no transfer of energy as heat or as work (isolated system) i.e., if $\mathrm{w}=0$ and $q=0$, then $\Delta U=0$.

The equation 6.1 i.e., $\Delta U=q+\mathrm{w}$ is mathematical statement of the first law of thermodynamics, which states that

The energy of an isolated system is constant.

It is commonly stated as the law of conservation of energy i.e., energy can neither be created nor be destroyed.
Note: There is considerable difference between the character of the thermodynamic property energy and that of a mechanical property such as volume. We can specify an unambiguous (absolute) value for volume of a system in a particular state, but not the absolute value of the internal energy. However, we can measure only the changes in the internal energy, $\Delta U$ of the system.

## Problem 6.1

Express the change in internal energy of a system when
(i) No heat is absorbed by the system from the surroundings, but work (w) is done on the system. What type of wall does the system have?
(ii) No work is done on the system, but $q$ amount of heat is taken out from the system and given to the surroundings. What type of wall does the system have?
(iii) w amount of work is done by the system and $q$ amount of heat is supplied to the system. What type of system would it be?

## Solution

(i) $\Delta U=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ad}}$, wall is adiabatic
(ii) $\Delta U=-q$, thermally conducting walls
(iii) $\Delta U=q-\mathrm{w}$, closed system.

### 6.2 APPLICATIONS

Many chemical reactions involve the generation of gases capable of doing mechanical work or the generation of heat. It is important for us to quantify these changes and relate them to the changes in the internal energy. Let us see how!

### 6.2.1 Work

First of all, let us concentrate on the nature of work a system can do. We will consider only mechanical work i.e., pressure-volume work.

For understanding pressure-volume work, let us consider a cylinder which contains one mole of an ideal gas fitted with a frictionless piston. Total volume of the gas is $V_{i}$ and pressure of the gas inside is $p$. If external pressure is $p_{\text {ex }}$ which is greater than $p$, piston is moved inward till the pressure inside becomes equal to $p_{\text {ex }}$. Let this change


Fig. 6.5(a) Work done on an ideal gas in a cylinder when it is compressed by a constant external pressure, $p_{\text {ex }}$ (in single step) is equal to the shaded area.
be achieved in a single step and the final volume be $V_{f}$. During this compression, suppose piston moves a distance, $l$ and is cross-sectional area of the piston is A [Fig. 6.5(a)].
then, volume change $=l \times \mathrm{A}=\Delta V=\left(V_{f}-V_{i}\right)$
We also know, pressure $=\frac{\text { force }}{\text { area }}$
Therefore, force on the piston $=p_{\text {ex }}$. A
If $w$ is the work done on the system by movement of the piston then
$\mathrm{w}=$ force $\times$ distance $=p_{\text {ex }}$. A.$l$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=p_{e x} \cdot(-\Delta V)=-p_{\mathrm{ex}} \Delta V=-p_{\mathrm{ex}}\left(V_{f}-V_{i}\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The negative sign of this expression is required to obtain conventional sign for w, which will be positive. It indicates that in case of compression work is done on the system. Here $\left(V_{f}-V_{i}\right)$ will be negative and negative multiplied by negative will be positive. Hence the sign obtained for the work will be positive.

If the pressure is not constant at every stage of compression, but changes in number of finite steps, work done on the gas will be summed over all the steps and will be equal to $-\sum p \Delta V$ [Fig. 6.5 (b)]


Fig. 6.5 (b) pV-plot when pressure is not constant and changes in finite steps during compression from initial volume, $V_{i}$ to final volume, $V_{f}$. Work done on the gas is represented by the shaded area.

If the pressure is not constant but changes during the process such that it is always infinitesimally greater than the pressure of the gas, then, at each stage of compression, the volume decreases by an infinitesimal amount, $d V$. In such a case we can calculate the work done on the gas by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}=-\int_{V_{i}}^{V_{f}} p_{e x} d V \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $p_{\text {ex }}$ at each stage is equal to ( $p_{\text {in }}+d p$ ) in case of compression [Fig. 6.5(c)]. In an expansion process under similar conditions, the external pressure is always less than the pressure of the system i.e., $p_{e x}=\left(p_{\text {in }}-d p\right)$. In general case we can write, $p_{e x}=\left(p_{i n} \pm d p\right)$. Such processes are called reversible processes.

A process or change is said to be reversible, if a change is brought out in such a way that the process could, at any moment, be reversed by an infinitesimal change. A reversible process proceeds infinitely slowly by a series of equilibrium states such that system and the surroundings are always in near equilibrium with each other. Processes


Fig. 6.5 (c) $p V$-plot when pressure is not constant and changes in infinite steps (reversible conditions) during compression from initial volume, $V_{i}$ to final volume, $V_{f}$. Work done on the gas is represented by the shaded area.

## other than reversible processes are known as irreversible processes.

In chemistry, we face problems that can be solved if we relate the work term to the internal pressure of the system. We can relate work to internal pressure of the system under reversible conditions by writing equation 6.3 as follows:

$$
\mathrm{w}_{r e v}=-\int_{V_{i}}^{V_{f}} p_{e x} d V=-\int_{V_{i}}^{V_{f}}\left(p_{i n} \pm d p\right) d V
$$

Since $d p \times d V$ is very small we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{\text {rev }}=-\int_{V_{i}}^{V_{f}} p_{i n} d V \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the pressure of the gas ( $p_{i n}$ which we can write as $p$ now) can be expressed in terms of its volume through gas equation. For $n \mathrm{~mol}$ of an ideal gas i.e., $p V=n R T$

$$
\Rightarrow p=\frac{n \mathrm{R} T}{V}
$$

Therefore, at constant temperature (isothermal process),

$$
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{rev}}=-\int_{V_{i}}^{V_{f}} n \mathrm{R} T \frac{d V}{V}=-n \mathrm{R} T \ln \frac{V_{f}}{V_{i}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=-2.303 n \mathrm{R} T \log \frac{V_{f}}{V_{i}} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Free expansion: Expansion of a gas in vacuum ( $p_{e x}=0$ ) is called free expansion. No work is done during free expansion of an ideal gas whether the process is reversible or irreversible (equation 6.2 and 6.3).

Now, we can write equation 6.1 in number of ways depending on the type of processes.

Let us substitute $\mathrm{w}=-p_{e x} \Delta V$ (eq. 6.2) in equation 6.1, and we get

$$
\Delta U=q-p_{e x} \Delta V
$$

If a process is carried out at constant volume ( $\Delta V=0$ ), then

$$
\Delta U=q_{V}
$$

the subscript $V$ in $q_{V}$ denotes that heat is supplied at constant volume.

Isothermal and free expansion of an ideal gas
For isothermal ( $T=$ constant) expansion of an ideal gas into vacuum ; $\mathrm{w}=0$ since $p_{e x}=0$. Also, Joule determined experimentally that $q=0$; therefore, $\Delta U=0$

Equation 6.1, $\Delta U=q+w$ can be expressed for isothermal irreversible and reversible changes as follows:

1. For isothermal irreversible change

$$
q=-\mathrm{w}=p_{e x}\left(V_{f}-V_{i}\right)
$$

2. For isothermal reversible change

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q=-\mathrm{w}=n \mathrm{R} T \ln \frac{V_{f}}{V_{i}} \\
& =2.303 n \mathrm{R} T \log \frac{V_{f}}{V_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. For adiabatic change, $q=0$,

$$
\Delta U=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ad}}
$$

## Problem 6.2

Two litres of an ideal gas at a pressure of 10 atm expands isothermally at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ into a vacuum until its total volume is 10 litres. How much heat is absorbed and how much work is done in the expansion?

## Solution

We have $q=-\mathrm{w}=p_{e x}(10-2)=0(8)=0$ No work is done; no heat is absorbed.

## Problem 6.3

Consider the same expansion, but this time against a constant external pressure of 1 atm .

## Solution

We have $q=-\mathrm{w}=p_{e x}(8)=8$ litre-atm

## Problem 6.4

Consider the expansion given in problem 6.2 , for 1 mol of an ideal gas conducted reversibly.

## Solution

We have $q=-\mathrm{w}=2.303 \mathrm{nRT} \log \frac{V_{f}}{V_{s}}$

$$
=2.303 \times 1 \times 0.8206 \times 298 \times \log \frac{10}{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2.303 \times 0.8206 \times 298 \times \log 5 \\
& =2.303 \times 0.8206 \times 298 \times 0.6990 \\
& =393.66 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 6.2.2 Enthalpy, H

## (a) A Useful New State Function

We know that the heat absorbed at constant volume is equal to change in the internal energy i.e., $\Delta U=q_{V}$. But most of chemical reactions are carried out not at constant volume, but in flasks or test tubes under constant atmospheric pressure. We need to define another state function which may be suitable under these conditions.

We may write equation (6.1) as $\Delta U=q_{p}-p \Delta V$ at constant pressure, where $q_{p}$ is heat absorbed by the system and $-p \Delta V$ represent expansion work done by the system.

Let us represent the initial state by subscript 1 and final state by 2

We can rewrite the above equation as

$$
U_{2}-U_{1}=q_{p}-p\left(V_{2}-V_{1}\right)
$$

On rearranging, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{p}=\left(U_{2}+p V_{2}\right)-\left(U_{1}+p V_{1}\right) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can define another thermodynamic function, the enthalpy $H$ [Greek word enthalpien, to warm or heat content] as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=U+p V \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so, equation (6.6) becomes
$q_{p}=H_{2}-H_{1}=\Delta H$
Although $q$ is a path dependent function, $H$ is a state function because it depends on $U$, $p$ and $V$, all of which are state functions. Therefore, $\Delta H$ is independent of path. Hence, $q_{p}$ is also independent of path.

For finite changes at constant pressure, we can write equation 6.7 as

$$
\Delta H=\Delta U+\Delta p V
$$

Since $p$ is constant, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta H=\Delta U+p \Delta V \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is important to note that when heat is absorbed by the system at constant pressure, we are actually measuring changes in the enthalpy.

Remember $\Delta H=q_{p}$, heat absorbed by the system at constant pressure.
$\Delta H$ is negative for exothermic reactions which evolve heat during the reaction and $\Delta H$ is positive for endothermic reactions which absorb heat from the surroundings.

At constant volume ( $\Delta V=0$ ), $\Delta U=q_{V}$, therefore equation 6.8 becomes

$$
\Delta H=\Delta U=q_{V}
$$

The difference between $\Delta H$ and $\Delta U$ is not usually significant for systems consisting of only solids and / or liquids. Solids and liquids do not suffer any significant volume changes upon heating. The difference, however, becomes significant when gases are involved. Let us consider a reaction involving gases. If $V_{\mathrm{A}}$ is the total volume of the gaseous reactants, $V_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the total volume of the gaseous products, $n_{A}$ is the number of moles of gaseous reactants and $n_{B}$ is the number of moles of gaseous products, all at constant pressure and temperature, then using the ideal gas law, we write,
and

$$
\text { and } \quad \begin{aligned}
& p V_{\mathrm{A}}=n_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{R} T \\
& p V_{\mathrm{B}}=n_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{R} T
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $p V_{\mathrm{B}}-p V_{\mathrm{A}}=n_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{R} T-n_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{R} T=\left(n_{\mathrm{B}}-n_{\mathrm{A}}\right) \mathrm{R} T$
or $\quad p\left(V_{B}-V_{A}\right)=\left(n_{B}-n_{A}\right) R T$
or $\quad p \Delta V=\Delta n_{g} \mathrm{R} T$
Here, $\Delta n_{g}$ refers to the number of moles of gaseous products minus the number of moles of gaseous reactants.

Substituting the value of $p \Delta V$ from equation 6.9 in equation 6.8, we get
$\Delta H=\Delta U+\Delta n_{g} R T$
The equation 6.10 is useful for calculating $\Delta H$ from $\Delta U$ and vice versa.

## Problem 6.5

If water vapour is assumed to be a perfect gas, molar enthalpy change for vapourisation of 1 mol of water at 1 bar and $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $41 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. Calculate the internal energy change, when

1 mol of water is vapourised at 1 bar pressure and $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Solution

(i) The change $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(l) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}($ g $)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta H=\Delta U+\Delta n_{g} R T \\
& \text { or } \Delta U=\Delta H-\Delta n_{g} R T \text {, substituting the } \\
& \text { values, we get } \\
& \begin{array}{r}
\Delta U=41.00 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}-1 \\
\quad \times 8.3 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 373 \mathrm{~K} \\
=41.00 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}-3.096 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \\
=37.904 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## (b) Extensive and Intensive Properties

In thermodynamics, a distinction is made between extensive properties and intensive properties. An extensive property is a property whose value depends on the quantity or size of matter present in the system. For example, mass, volume, internal energy, enthalpy, heat capacity, etc. are extensive properties.

Those properties which do not depend on the quantity or size of matter present are known as intensive properties. For example temperature, density, pressure etc. are intensive properties. A molar property, $\chi_{\mathrm{m}}$, is the value of an extensive property $\chi$ of the system for 1 mol of the substance. If $n$ is the amount of matter, $\chi_{\mathrm{m}}=\frac{\chi}{n}$ is independent of the amount of matter. Other examples are molar volume, $V_{\mathrm{m}}$ and molar heat capacity, $C_{m}$. Let us understand the distinction between extensive and intensive properties by considering a gas enclosed in a container of volume $V$ and at temperature $T$ [Fig. 6.6(a)]. Let us make a partition such that volume is halved, each part [Fig. 6.6 (b)] now has one half of the original volume, $\frac{V}{2}$, but the temperature will still remain the same i.e., $T$. It is clear that volume is an extensive property and temperature is an intensive property.


Fig. 6.6(a) A gas at volume $V$ and temperature $T$


Fig. 6.6 (b) Partition, each part having half the volume of the gas

## (c) Heat Capacity

In this sub-section, let us see how to measure heat transferred to a system. This heat appears as a rise in temperature of the system in case of heat absorbed by the system.

The increase of temperature is proportional to the heat transferred

$$
q=\operatorname{coeff} \times \Delta T
$$

The magnitude of the coefficient depends on the size, composition and nature of the system. We can also write it as $q=C \Delta T$

The coefficient, $C$ is called the heat capacity.
Thus, we can measure the heat supplied by monitoring the temperature rise, provided we know the heat capacity.

When $C$ is large, a given amount of heat results in only a small temperature rise. Water has a large heat capacity i.e., a lot of energy is needed to raise its temperature.
$C$ is directly proportional to amount of substance. The molar heat capacity of a substance, $C_{m}=\left(\frac{C}{n}\right)$, is the heat capacity for one mole of the substance and is the quantity of heat needed to raise the temperature of one mole by one degree celsius (or one kelvin). Specific heat, also called specific heat capacity is the quantity of heat required to raise the temperature of one unit mass of a
substance by one degree celsius (or one kelvin). For finding out the heat, $q$, required to raise the temperatures of a sample, we multiply the specific heat of the substance, c , by the mass m , and temperatures change, $\Delta T$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=c \times m \times \Delta T=C \Delta T \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) The Relationship between $\mathrm{C}_{p}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{V}$ for an Ideal Gas
At constant volume, the heat capacity, $C$ is denoted by $C_{V}$ and at constant pressure, this is denoted by $C_{p}$. Let us find the relationship between the two.
We can write equation for heat, $q$
at constant volume as $q_{V}=C_{V} \Delta T=\Delta U$
at constant pressure as $q_{p}=C_{p} \Delta T=\Delta H$
The difference between $C_{p}$ and $C_{V}$ can be derived for an ideal gas as:
For a mole of an ideal gas, $\Delta H=\Delta U+\Delta(p V)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
=\Delta U+\Delta(\mathrm{R} T) \\
=\Delta U+\mathrm{R} \Delta T \tag{6.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\therefore \Delta H=\Delta U+\mathrm{R} \Delta T$
On putting the values of $\Delta H$ and $\Delta U$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{p} \Delta T=C_{V} \Delta T+\mathrm{R} \Delta T \\
& C_{p}=C_{V}+\mathrm{R} \\
& C_{p}-C_{V}=\mathrm{R} \tag{6.13}
\end{align*}
$$

### 6.3 MEASUREMENT OF $\Delta U$ AND $\Delta H$ : CALORIMETRY

We can measure energy changes associated with chemical or physical processes by an experimental technique called calorimetry. In calorimetry, the process is carried out in a vessel called calorimeter, which is immersed in a known volume of a liquid. Knowing the heat capacity of the liquid in which calorimeter is immersed and the heat capacity of calorimeter, it is possible to determine the heat evolved in the process by measuring temperature changes. Measurements are made under two different conditions:
i) at constant volume, $q_{V}$
ii) at constant pressure, $q_{p}$

## (a) $\Delta U$ Measurements

For chemical reactions, heat absorbed at constant volume, is measured in a bomb calorimeter (Fig. 6.7). Here, a steel vessel (the bomb) is immersed in a water bath. The whole device is called calorimeter. The steel vessel is immersed in water bath to ensure that no heat is lost to the surroundings. A combustible substance is burnt in pure dioxygen supplied


Fig. 6.7 Bomb calorimeter
in the steel bomb. Heat evolved during the reaction is transferred to the water around the bomb and its temperature is monitored. Since the bomb calorimeter is sealed, its volume does not change i.e., the energy changes associated with reactions are measured at constant volume. Under these conditions, no work is done as the reaction is carried out at constant volume in the bomb calorimeter. Even for reactions involving gases, there is no work done as $\Delta V=0$. Temperature change of the calorimeter produced by the completed reaction is then converted to $q_{V}$, by using the known heat capacity of the calorimeter with the help of equation 6.11.

## (b) $\Delta H$ Measurements

Measurement of heat change at constant pressure (generally under atmospheric pressure) can be done in a calorimeter shown in Fig. 6.8. We know that $\Delta H=q_{p}$ (at constant $p$ ) and, therefore, heat absorbed or evolved, $q_{p}$ at constant pressure is also called the heat of reaction or enthalpy of reaction, $\Delta_{r} H$.

In an exothermic reaction, heat is evolved, and system loses heat to the surroundings. Therefore, $q_{p}$ will be negative and $\Delta_{r} H$ will also be negative. Similarly in an endothermic reaction, heat is absorbed, $q_{p}$ is positive and $\Delta_{r} H$ will be positive.


Fig. 6.8 Calorimeter for measuring heat changes at constant pressure (atmospheric pressure).

## Problem 6.6

1 g of graphite is burnt in a bomb calorimeter in excess of oxygen at 298 K and 1 atmospheric pressure according to the equation
C (graphite) $+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
During the reaction, temperature rises from 298 K to 299 K . If the heat capacity
of the bomb calorimeter is $20.7 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K}$, what is the enthalpy change for the above reaction at 298 K and 1 atm?

## Solution

Suppose $q$ is the quantity of heat from the reaction mixture and $C_{V}$ is the heat capacity of the calorimeter, then the quantity of heat absorbed by the calorimeter.
$q=C_{V} \times \Delta T$
Quantity of heat from the reaction will have the same magnitude but opposite sign because the heat lost by the system (reaction mixture) is equal to the heat gained by the calorimeter.

$$
\begin{aligned}
q=-C_{V} \times \Delta T & =-20.7 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K} \times(299-298) \mathrm{K} \\
& =-20.7 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Here, negative sign indicates the exothermic nature of the reaction)
Thus, $\Delta U$ for the combustion of the 1 g of graphite $=-20.7 \mathrm{kJK}^{-1}$
For combustion of 1 mol of graphite,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{12.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \times(-20.7 \mathrm{~kJ})}{1 \mathrm{~g}} \\
& =-2.48 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, \quad \text { Since } \Delta n_{g}=0 \\
& \Delta H=\Delta U=-2.48 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 6.4 ENTHALPY CHANGE, $\Delta_{r} H$ OF A REACTION - REACTION ENTHALPY

In a chemical reaction, reactants are converted into products and is represented by,

Reactants $\rightarrow$ Products
The enthalpy change accompanying a reaction is called the reaction enthalpy. The enthalpy change of a chemical reaction, is given by the symbol $\Delta_{r} H$
$\Delta_{r} H=$ (sum of enthalpies of products) - (sum of enthalpies of reactants)
$=\sum_{i} \mathrm{a}_{i} H_{\text {products }}-\sum_{i} b_{i} H_{\text {reactants }}$
Here symbol $\sum$ (sigma) is used for summation and $\mathrm{a}_{i}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{i}$ are the stoichiometric
coefficients of the products and reactants respectively in the balanced chemical equation. For example, for the reaction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \\
& \Delta_{r} H=\sum_{i} a_{i} H_{P r o d u c t s}^{2}-\sum_{i} b_{i} H_{\text {reactants }} \\
& =\left[H_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}\right)+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{l}\right)\right]-\left[H_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{~g}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the molar enthalpy.
Enthalpy change is a very useful quantity. Knowledge of this quantity is required when one needs to plan the heating or cooling required to maintain an industrial chemical reaction at constant temperature. It is also required to calculate temperature dependence of equilibrium constant.

## (a) Standard Enthalpy of Reactions

Enthalpy of a reaction depends on the conditions under which a reaction is carried out. It is, therefore, necessary that we must specify some standard conditions. The standard enthalpy of reaction is the enthalpy change for a reaction when all the participating substances are in their standard states.

The standard state of a substance at a specified temperature is its pure form at $\mathbf{1}$ bar. For example, the standard state of liquid
ethanol at 298 K is pure liquid ethanol at 1 bar; standard state of solid iron at 500 K is pure iron at 1 bar. Usually data are taken at 298 K .

Standard conditions are denoted by adding the superscript $\ominus$ to the symbol $\Delta H$, e.g., $\Delta H^{\ominus}$

## (b) Enthalpy Changes during Phase Transformations

Phase transformations also involve energy changes. Ice, for example, requires heat for melting. Normally this melting takes place at constant pressure (atmospheric pressure) and during phase change, temperature remains constant (at 273 K ).
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) ; \Delta_{\text {fus }} \mathrm{H}^{\ominus}=6.00 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{moI}^{-1}$
Here $\Delta_{\text {fus }} H^{\ominus}$ is enthalpy of fusion in standard state. If water freezes, then process is reversed and equal amount of heat is given off to the surroundings.

The enthalpy change that accompanies melting of one mole of a solid substance in standard state is called standard enthalpy of fusion or molar enthalpy of fusion, $\Delta_{\text {fus }} H^{\ominus}$.

Melting of a solid is endothermic, so all enthalpies of fusion are positive. Water requires

Table 6.1 Standard Enthalpy Changes of Fusion and Vaporisation

| Substance | $\mathbf{T}_{\boldsymbol{f}} / \mathbf{K}$ | $\Delta_{\text {fus }} \boldsymbol{H}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathbf{k J} \mathbf{m o l}^{-\mathbf{1}}\right)$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\boldsymbol{b}} / \mathbf{K}$ | $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{v a p}} \boldsymbol{H}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathbf{k J} \mathbf{m o l}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 63.15 | 0.72 | 77.35 | 5.59 |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 195.40 | 5.65 | 239.73 | 23.35 |
| HCl | 159.0 | 1.992 | 188.0 | 16.15 |
| CO | 68.0 | 6.836 | 82.0 | 6.04 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ | 177.8 | 5.72 | 329.4 | 29.1 |
| $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ | 250.16 | 2.5 | 349.69 | 30.0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 273.15 | 6.01 | 373.15 | 40.79 |
| $\mathrm{NaCl}^{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}}$ | 1081.0 | 28.8 | 1665.0 | 170.0 |

( $T_{f}$ and $T_{b}$ are melting and boiling points, respectively)
heat for evaporation. At constant temperature of its boiling point $T_{\mathrm{b}}$ and at constant pressure:
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta_{\text {vap }} \mathrm{H}^{\ominus}=+40.79 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \Delta_{\text {vap }} H^{\ominus}$ is the standard enthalpy of vaporisation.


#### Abstract

Amount of heat required to vaporize one mole of a liquid at constant temperature and under standard pressure (lbar) is called its standard enthalpy of vaporization or molar enthalpy of vaporization, $\Delta_{\text {vap }} H^{\ominus}$.


Sublimation is direct conversion of a solid into its vapour. Solid $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ or 'dry ice' sublimes at 195 K with $\Delta_{\text {sub }} H^{\ominus}=25.2 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1}$; naphthalene sublimes slowly and for this $\Delta_{\text {sub }} H^{\ominus}=73.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

Standard enthalpy of sublimation, $\Delta_{\text {sub }} H^{\ominus}$ is the change in enthalpy when one mole of a solid substance sublimes at a constant temperature and under standard pressure (1bar).

The magnitude of the enthalpy change depends on the strength of the intermolecular interactions in the substance undergoing the phase transfomations. For example, the strong hydrogen bonds between water molecules hold them tightly in liquid phase. For an organic liquid, such as acetone, the intermolecular dipole-dipole interactions are significantly weaker. Thus, it requires less heat to vaporise 1 mol of acetone than it does to vaporize 1 mol of water. Table 6.1 gives values of standard enthalpy changes of fusion and vaporisation for some substances.

## Problem 6.7

A swimmer coming out from a pool is covered with a film of water weighing about 18 g . How much heat must be supplied to evaporate this water at 298 K ? Calculate the internal energy of vaporisation at 298 K .
$\Delta_{\text {vap }} H^{\ominus}$ for water
at $298 \mathrm{~K}=44.01 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

## Solution

We can represent the process of evaporation as

No. of moles in $18 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ is

$$
=\frac{18 \mathrm{~g}}{18 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}}=1 \mathrm{~mol}
$$

Heat supplied to evaporate 18 g water at

$$
\begin{aligned}
298 \mathrm{~K} & =\mathrm{n} \times \Delta_{\text {vap }} H^{\ominus} \\
& =(1 \mathrm{~mol}) \times\left(44.01 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \\
& =44.01 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

(assuming steam behaving as an ideal gas).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{\text {vap }} U=\Delta_{\text {vap }} H^{\ominus}-p \Delta V=\Delta_{\text {vap }} H^{\ominus}-\Delta n_{g} R T \\
& \left.\begin{array}{c}
\Delta_{\text {vap }} H^{\vee} \\
- \\
-(1) \\
-\left(8.314 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{R} T=44.01 \mathrm{~kJ}\right. \\
\Delta_{\text {vap }} U^{\mathrm{v}}
\end{array}=44.01 \mathrm{~kJ}-2.48 \mathrm{~kJ}\right)(298 \mathrm{~K})\left(10^{-3} \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~J}^{-1}\right) \\
& \quad=41.53 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Problem 6.8

Assuming the water vapour to be a perfect gas, calculate the internal energy change when 1 mol of water at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 bar pressure is converted to ice at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Given the enthalpy of fusion of ice is $6.00 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ heat capacity of water is $4.2 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{g}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ The change take place as follows: Step - $1 \quad 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(1,100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \rightarrow 1$ mol ( $1,0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) Enthalpy change $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}$

```
Step - 2 1 mol H2O (1, O}\mp@subsup{0}{}{\circ}\textrm{C})->1\textrm{mol
    H2O(S, 0}\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ}\textrm{C})\mathrm{ Enthalpy
    change }\Delta\mp@subsup{\textrm{H}}{2}{
```

Total enthalpy change will be -

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathrm{H} & =\Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}+\Delta \mathrm{H}_{2} \\
\Delta \mathrm{H}_{1} & =-(18 \times 4.2 \times 100) \mathrm{J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \\
& =-7560 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}=-7.56 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \\
\Delta \mathrm{H}_{2} & =-6.00 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 6.2 Standard Molar Enthalpies of Formation ( $\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}$ ) at 298 K of a Few Selected Substances

| Substance | $\Delta_{f} \mathbf{H}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathbf{k J ~ m o l}{ }^{\mathbf{1}}\right.$ ) | Substance | $\Delta_{f} \mathbf{H}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathbf{k J ~ m o l}{ }^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -1675.7 | HI(g) | +26.48 |
| $\mathrm{BaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -1216.3 | $\mathrm{KCl}(\mathrm{s})$ | -436.75 |
| $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{l})$ | 0 | $\mathrm{KBr}(\mathrm{s})$ | -393.8 |
| $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | +30.91 | MgO (s) | -601.70 |
| $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -1206.92 | $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -924.54 |
| C (diamond) | +1.89 | NaF (s) | -573.65 |
| C (graphite) | 0 | NaCl (s) | -411.15 |
| CaO (s) | - 635.09 | $\mathrm{NaBr}(\mathrm{s})$ | -361.06 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -74.81 | $\mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{s})$ | -287.78 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 52.26 | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -46.11 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l})$ | -238.86 | NO(g) | +90.25 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l})$ | -277.69 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | +33.18 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(1)$ | + 49.0 | $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}(1)$ | -319.70 |
| $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})$ | -110.53 | $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -443.5 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -393.51 | $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ (quartz) | -910.94 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -84.68 | b $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -325.1 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 0 | $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}(\mathrm{l})$ | -511.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -103.85 | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -296.83 |
| $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -126.15 | $\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -395.72 |
| $\mathrm{HgS}(\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{red}$ | -58.2 | $\mathrm{SiH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})$ | + 34 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 0 | $\mathrm{SiCl}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -657.0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ | -241.82 | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{g})$ | +716.68 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ | -285.83 | H(g) | +217.97 |
| $\mathrm{HF}(\mathrm{g})$ | -271.1 | $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g})$ | +121.68 |
| $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g})$ | -92.31 | Fe2 ${ }_{2}$ (s) | -824.2 |
| $\mathrm{HBr}(\mathrm{g})$ | -36.40 |  |  |

## Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathrm{H} & =-7.56 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}+\left(-6.00 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \\
& =-13.56 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

There is negligible change in the volume during the change form liquid to solid state.

Therefore, $\mathrm{p} \Delta \mathrm{v}=\Delta \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{RT}=0$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{U}=-13.56 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
(c) Standard Enthalpy of Formation

The standard enthalpy change for the formation of one mole of a compound from its elements in their most stable states of
aggregation (also known as reference states) is called Standard Molar Enthalpy of Formation. Its symbol is $\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}$, where the subscript ' $f$ ' indicates that one mole of the compound in question has been formed in its standard state from its elements in their most stable states of aggregation. The reference state of an element is its most stable state of aggregation at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 bar pressure. For example, the reference state of dihydrogen is $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas and those of dioxygen, carbon and sulphur are $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ gas, $\mathrm{C}_{\text {graphite }}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\text {rhombic }}$ respectively. Some reactions with standard molar enthalpies of formation are as follows.
$\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1) ;$
$\Delta_{f} \mathrm{H}^{\ominus}=-285.8 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
C (graphite, s) $+2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ch}_{4}$ (g);
$\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}=-74.81 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
2 C (graphite, s) $+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(1)$;

$$
\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}=-277.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

It is important to understand that a standard molar enthalpy of formation, $\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}$, is just a special case of $\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}$, where one mole of a compound is formed from its constituent elements, as in the above three equations, where 1 mol of each, water, methane and ethanol is formed. In contrast, the enthalpy change for an exothermic reaction:
$\mathrm{CaO}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CaCo}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) ;$

$$
\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=-178.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

is not an enthalpy of formation of calcium carbonate, since calcium carbonate has been formed from other compounds, and not from its constituent elements. Also, for the reaction given below, enthalpy change is not standard enthalpy of formation, $\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}$ for $\mathrm{HBr}(\mathrm{g})$.
$\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HBr}(\mathrm{g})$;

$$
\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=-178.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

Here two moles, instead of one mole of the product is formed from the elements, i.e., $\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=2 \Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}$

Therefore, by dividing all coefficients in the balanced equation by 2 , expression for enthalpy of formation of $\mathrm{HBr}(\mathrm{g})$ is written as $1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{Br}_{2}(1) \rightarrow \mathrm{HBr}(\mathrm{g})$;

$$
\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}=-36.4 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

Standard enthalpies of formation of some common substances are given in Table 6.2.

By convention, standard enthalpy for formation, $\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}$, of an element in reference state, i.e., its most stable state of aggregation is taken as zero.

Suppose, you are a chemical engineer and want to know how much heat is required to decompose calcium carbonate to lime and carbon dioxide, with all the substances in their standard state.

$$
\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CaO}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=?
$$

Here, we can make use of standard enthalpy of formation and calculate the enthalpy change for the reaction. The following general equation can be used for the enthalpy change calculation.
$\Delta_{r} H^{\rho}=\sum_{i} \mathrm{a}_{i} \Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}$ (products) $-\sum_{i} \mathrm{~b}_{i} \Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}$ (reactants)
where a and b represent the coefficients of the products and reactants in the balanced equation. Let us apply the above equation for decomposition of calcium carbonate. Here, coefficients 'a' and 'b' are 1 each. Therefore,
$\Delta_{\mathrm{r}} H^{\ominus}=\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}=[\mathrm{CaO}(\mathrm{s})]+\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]$

$$
-\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}=\left[\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})\right]
$$

$=1\left(-635.1 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)+1\left(-393.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$

$$
-1\left(-1206.9 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)
$$

$=178.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
Thus, the decomposition of $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ is an endothermic process and you have to heat it for getting the desired products.

## (d) Thermochemical Equations

A balanced chemical equation together with the value of its $\Delta_{r} H$ is called a thermochemical equation. We specify the physical state (alongwith allotropic state) of the substance in an equation. For example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) ; \\
& \Delta_{r} \mathrm{H}^{\ominus}=-1367 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The above equation describes the combustion of liquid ethanol at constant temperature and pressure. The negative sign of enthalpy change indicates that this is an exothermic reaction.

It would be necessary to remember the following conventions regarding thermochemical equations.

1. The coefficients in a balanced thermochemical equation refer to the number of moles (never molecules) of reactants and products involved in the reaction.
2. The numerical value of $\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}$ refers to the number of moles of substances specified by an equation. Standard enthalpy change $\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}$ will have units as $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$.

To illustrate the concept, let us consider the calculation of heat of reaction for the following reaction:
$\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$,
From the Table (6.2) of standard enthalpy of formation ( $\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}$ ), we find :
$\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{l}\right)=-285.83 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$;
$\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{~s}\right)=-824.2 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} ;$
Also $\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}(\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{s})=0$ and
$\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{~g}\right)=0$ as per convention
Then,
$\Delta_{f} H_{1}^{\ominus}=3\left(-285.83 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$
$-1\left(-824.2 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$
$=(-857.5+824.2) \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
$=-33.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
Note that the coefficients used in these calculations are pure numbers, which are equal to the respective stoichiometric coefficients. The unit for $\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}$ is $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$, which means per mole of reaction. Once we balance the chemical equation in a particular way, as above, this defines the mole of reaction. If we had balanced the equation differently, for example,
$\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s})+\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
then this amount of reaction would be one mole of reaction and $\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}$ would be
$\Delta_{f} H_{2}^{\ominus}=\frac{3}{2}\left(-285.83 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$
$-\frac{1}{2}\left(-824.2 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$
$=(-428.7+412.1) \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
$=-16.6 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}=1 / 2 \Delta_{r} H_{1}^{\ominus}$
It shows that enthalpy is an extensive quantity.
3. When a chemical equation is reversed, the value of $\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}$ is reversed in sign. For example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \\
& \Delta_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{H}^{\ominus}=-91.8 \mathrm{kJ.} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ;$

$$
\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=+91.8 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

## (e) Hess's Law of Constant Heat Summation

We know that enthalpy is a state function, therefore the change in enthalpy is independent of the path between initial state (reactants) and final state (products). In other words, enthalpy change for a reaction is the same whether it occurs in one step or in a series of steps. This may be stated as follows in the form of Hess's Law.

If a reaction takes place in several steps then its standard reaction enthalpy is the sum of the standard enthalpies of the intermediate reactions into which the overall reaction may be divided at the same temperature.

Let us understand the importance of this law with the help of an example.

Consider the enthalpy change for the reaction

C (graphite,s) $+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=$ ?
Although $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})$ is the major product, some $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas is always produced in this reaction. Therefore, we cannot measure enthalpy change for the above reaction directly. However, if we can find some other reactions involving related species, it is possible to calculate the enthalpy change for the above reaction.

Let us consider the following reactions:
C (graphite,s) $+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ;$

$$
\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=-393.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}(\mathrm{i})
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g})+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow & \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \\
& \Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=-283.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}(\mathrm{ii})
\end{aligned}
$$

We can combine the above two reactions in such a way so as to obtain the desired reaction. To get one mole of $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})$ on the right, we reverse equation (ii). In this, heat is absorbed instead of being released, so we change sign of $\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}$ value

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g})+ \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \\
& \Delta_{\mathrm{r}} H^{\ominus}=+283.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}(\mathrm{iii})
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding equation (i) and (iii), we get the desired equation,

$$
\mathrm{C}(\text { graphite, } \mathrm{s})+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

for which $\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=(-393.5+283.0)$
$=-110.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
In general, if enthalpy of an overall reaction $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ along one route is $\Delta_{r} H$ and $\Delta_{r} H_{1}, \Delta_{r} H_{2}$, $\Delta_{r} H_{3} \ldots$. representing enthalpies of reactions leading to same product, $B$ along another route, then we have
$\Delta_{r} H=\Delta_{r} H_{1}+\Delta_{r} H_{2}+\Delta_{r} H_{3} \ldots$
It can be represented as:


### 6.5 ENTHALPIES FOR DIFFERENT TYPES OF REACTIONS

It is convenient to give name to enthalpies specifying the types of reactions.

## (a) Standard Enthalpy of Combustion (symbol : $\Delta_{c} H^{\ominus}$ )

Combustion reactions are exothermic in nature. These are important in industry, rocketry, and other walks of life. Standard enthalpy of combustion is defined as the enthalpy change per mole (or per unit amount) of a substance, when it undergoes combustion and all the reactants and products being in their standard states at the specified temperature.

Cooking gas in cylinders contains mostly butane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)$. During complete combustion of one mole of butane, 2658 kJ of heat is released. We can write the thermochemical reactions for this as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathrm{~g})+\frac{13}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1) \\
\Delta_{C} H^{\ominus}=-2658.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol} \\
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, combustion of glucose gives out $2802.0 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ of heat, for which the overall equation is :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \\
\Delta_{C} H^{\ominus}=-2802.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{array}
$$

Our body also generates energy from food by the same overall process as combustion, although the final products are produced after a series of complex bio-chemical reactions involving enzymes.

## Problem 6.9

The combustion of one mole of benzene takes place at 298 K and 1 atm . After combustion, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1) are produced and 3267.0 kJ of heat is liberated. Calculate the standard enthalpy of formation, $\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}$ of benzene. Standard enthalpies of formation of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ are $-393.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $-285.83 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ respectively.

## Solution

The formation reaction of benezene is given by :

$$
\begin{aligned}
6 \mathrm{C}(\text { graphite })+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow & \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{l}) \\
& \Delta_{f} \mathrm{H}^{\ominus}=? \ldots \text { (i) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The enthalpy of combustion of 1 mol of benzene is :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{l})+\frac{15}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} & \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \\
\Delta_{C} H^{\ominus} & =-3267 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \ldots \tag{ii}
\end{align*}
$$

The enthalpy of formation of 1 mol of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ :

$$
\mathrm{C}(\text { graphite })+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

$$
\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}=-393.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \ldots \text { (iii) }
$$

The enthalpy of formation of 1 mol of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ is :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \\
\Delta_{C} H^{\ominus} & =-285.83 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \ldots \text { (iv) }
\end{aligned}
$$

multiplying eqn. (iii) by 6 and eqn. (iv) by 3 we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
6 \mathrm{C}(\text { graphite })+6 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \\
\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus} & =-2361 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

$3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) ;$

$$
\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}=-857.49 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

Summing up the above two equations :

$$
\begin{aligned}
6 \mathrm{C}(\text { graphite })+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\frac{15}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \\
& +3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}=-3218.49 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \ldots \text { (v) }
$$

Reversing equation (ii);

$$
\begin{array}{r}
6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{l})+\frac{15}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \\
\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}=-3267.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \ldots \text { (vi) }
\end{array}
$$

Adding equations (v) and (vi), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6 \mathrm{C}(\text { graphite })+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(1) ; \\
& \Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}=-48.51 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \ldots \text { (iv) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## (b) Enthalpy of Atomization

 (symbol: $\Delta_{a} H^{\ominus}$ )Consider the following example of atomization of dihydrogen
$\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta_{a} H^{\ominus}=435.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
You can see that $H$ atoms are formed by breaking $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds in dihydrogen. The enthalpy change in this process is known as enthalpy of atomization, $\Delta_{a} H^{\ominus}$. It is the enthalpy change on breaking one mole of bonds completely to obtain atoms in the gas phase.

In case of diatomic molecules, like dihydrogen (given above), the enthalpy of atomization is also the bond dissociation enthalpy. The other examples of enthalpy of atomization can be
$\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{g})+4 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta_{a} H^{\ominus}=1665 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
Note that the products are only atoms of C and H in gaseous phase. Now see the following reaction:
$\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta_{a} H^{\ominus}=108.4 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

In this case, the enthalpy of atomization is same as the enthalpy of sublimation.

## (c) Bond Enthalpy (symbol: $\Delta_{\text {bond }} H^{\ominus}$ )

Chemical reactions involve the breaking and making of chemical bonds. Energy is required to break a bond and energy is released when a bond is formed. It is possible to relate heat of reaction to changes in energy associated with breaking and making of chemical bonds. With reference to the enthalpy changes associated with chemical bonds, two different terms are used in thermodynamics.
(i) Bond dissociation enthalpy
(ii) Mean bond enthalpy

Let us discuss these terms with reference to diatomic and polyatomic molecules.
Diatomic Molecules: Consider the following process in which the bonds in one mole of dihydrogen gas $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ are broken:
$\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}} H^{\ominus}=435.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
The enthalpy change involved in this process is the bond dissociation enthalpy of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ bond. The bond dissociation enthalpy is the change in enthalpy when one mole of covalent bonds of a gaseous covalent compound is broken to form products in the gas phase.

Note that it is the same as the enthalpy of atomization of dihydrogen. This is true for all diatomic molecules. For example:
$\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta_{\mathrm{Cl-Cl}} H^{\ominus}=242 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta_{\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{o}} H^{\ominus}=428 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

In the case of polyatomic molecules, bond dissociation enthalpy is different for different bonds within the same molecule.
Polyatomic Molecules: Let us now consider a polyatomic molecule like methane, $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$. The overall thermochemical equation for its atomization reaction is given below:

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~g}) ;
$$

$$
\Delta_{a} H^{\ominus}=1665 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

In methane, all the four $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds are identical in bond length and energy. However, the energies required to break the individual $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds in each successive step differ :
$\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta_{\text {bond }} H^{\ominus}=+427 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{CH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta_{\text {bond }} H^{\ominus}=+439 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta_{\text {bond }} H^{\ominus}=+452 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta_{\text {bond }} H^{\ominus}=+347 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ Therefore,
$\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{g})+4 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta_{a} H^{\ominus}=1665 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
In such cases we use mean bond enthalpy of $\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{H}$ bond.
For example in $\mathrm{CH}_{4}, \Delta_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H}^{\ominus}$ is calculated as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}} H^{\ominus}=1 / 4\left(\Delta_{a} H^{\ominus}\right) & =1 / 4\left(1665 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \\
& =416 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We find that mean $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond enthalpy in methane is $416 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$. It has been found that mean $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond enthalpies differ slightly from compound to compound, as in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, etc, but it does not differ in a great deal*. Using Hess's law, bond enthalpies can be calculated. Bond enthalpy values of some single and multiple bonds are
given in Table 6.3. The reaction enthalpies are very important quantities as these arise from the changes that accompany the breaking of old bonds and formation of the new bonds. We can predict enthalpy of a reaction in gas phase, if we know different bond enthalpies. The standard enthalpy of reaction, $\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}$ is related to bond enthalpies of the reactants and products in gas phase reactions as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=\sum \text { bond enthalpies }_{\text {reactants }} \\
&-\sum \text { bond enthalpies }  \tag{6.17}\\
& \text { products }
\end{align*}
$$

This relationship is particularly more useful when the required values of $\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}$ are not available. The net enthalpy change of a reaction is the amount of energy required to break all the bonds in the reactant molecules minus the amount of energy required to break all the bonds in the product molecules. Remember that this relationship is

Table 6.3(a) Some Mean Single Bond Enthalpies in $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ at 298 K

| H | C | N | O | F | Si | P | S | Cl | Br | I |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 435.8 | 414 | 389 | 464 | 569 | 293 | 318 | 339 | 431 | 368 | 297 | H |
|  | 347 | 293 | 351 | 439 | 289 | 264 | 259 | 330 | 276 | 238 | C |
|  |  | 159 | 201 | 272 | - | 209 | - | 201 | 243 | - | N |
|  |  |  | 138 | 184 | 368 | 351 | - | 205 | - | 201 | O |
|  |  |  |  | 155 | 540 | 490 | 327 | 255 | 197 | - | F |
|  |  |  |  |  | 176 | 213 | 226 | 360 | 289 | 213 | Si |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 213 | 230 | 331 | 272 | 213 | P |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 213 | 251 | 213 | - | S |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 243 | 218 | 209 | Cl |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 192 | 180 | Br |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 151 | I |  |  |

Table 6.3(b) Some Mean Multiple Bond Enthalpies in $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ at 298 K

| $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$ | 418 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | 611 | $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}$ | 498 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} \equiv \mathrm{~N}$ | 946 | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}$ | 837 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ | 615 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 741 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ | 891 | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$ | 1070 |  |  |

[^4]approximate and is valid when all substances (reactants and products) in the reaction are in gaseous state.

## (d) Lattice Enthalpy

The lattice enthalpy of an ionic compound is the enthalpy change which occurs when one mole of an ionic compound dissociates into its ions in gaseous state.
$\mathrm{Na}^{+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) ;$

$$
\Delta_{\text {lattice }} H^{\ominus}=+788 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

Since it is impossible to determine lattice enthalpies directly by experiment, we use an indirect method where we construct an enthalpy diagram called a Born-Haber Cycle (Fig. 6.9).

Let us now calculate the lattice enthalpy of $\mathrm{Na}^{+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{s})$ by following steps given below :

1. $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{g})$, sublimation of sodium metal, $\Delta_{\text {sub }} H^{\ominus}=108.4 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$


Fig. 6.9 Enthalpy diagram for lattice enthalpy of NaCl
2. $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-1}(\mathrm{~g})$, the ionization of sodium atoms, ionization enthalpy
$\Delta_{i} H^{\ominus}=496 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
3. $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g})$, the dissociation of chlorine, the reaction enthalpy is half the bond dissociation enthalpy.
$\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\text {bond }} H^{\ominus}=121 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
4. $\quad \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-1}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g})$ electron gained by chlorine atoms. The electron gain enthalpy, $\Delta_{e g} H^{\ominus}=-348.6 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.
You have learnt about ionization enthalpy and electron gain enthalpy in Unit 3. In fact, these terms have been taken from thermodynamics. Earlier terms, ionization energy and electron affinity were in practice in place of the above terms (see the box for justification).

## Ionization Energy and Electron Affinity

Ionization energy and electron affinity are defined at absolute zero. At any other temperature, heat capacities for the reactants and the products have to be taken into account. Enthalpies of reactions for
$\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \quad$ (for ionization)
$\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$ (for electron gain) at temperature, $T$ is
$\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}(T)=\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}(0)+\int_{0}^{T} \Delta_{r} C_{P}^{\ominus} d T$
The value of $C_{p}$ for each species in the above reaction is $5 / 2 \mathrm{R}\left(C_{V}=3 / 2 \mathrm{R}\right)$
So, $\Delta_{r} C_{p}^{\ominus}=+5 / 2 \mathrm{R}$ (for ionization)
$\Delta_{\Delta_{r}^{r}}^{C_{p}^{p}}{ }_{p}^{\ominus}=-5 / 2 \mathrm{R}$ (for electron gain)
Therefore,
$\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}$ (ionization enthalpy)
$=E_{0}$ (ionization energy) $+5 / 2 \mathrm{R} T$
$\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}$ (electron gain enthalpy)

$$
=-\mathrm{A}(\text { electron affinity })-5 / 2 \mathrm{R} T
$$

5. $\mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{s})$

The sequence of steps is shown in Fig. 6.9, and is known as a Born-Haber cycle. The
importance of the cycle is that, the sum of the enthalpy changes round a cycle is zero. Applying Hess's law, we get,
$\Delta_{\text {lattice }} H^{\ominus}=411.2+108.4+121+496-348.6$
$\Delta_{\text {lattice }} H^{\ominus}=+788 \mathrm{~kJ}$
for $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$
Internal energy is smaller by 2RT ( because $\Delta n_{g}=2$ ) and is equal to $+783 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

Now we use the value of lattice enthalpy to calculate enthalpy of solution from the expression:
$\Delta_{\text {sol }} H^{\ominus}=\Delta_{\text {latice }} H^{\ominus}+\Delta_{\text {hyd }} H^{\ominus}$
For one mole of $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{s})$,
lattice enthalpy $=+788 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta_{\text {hyd }} H^{\ominus}=-784 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (from the

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\text {sol }} H^{\ominus} & =+788 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}-784 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \\
& =+4 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The dissolution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{s})$ is accompanied by very little heat change.

## (e) Enthalpy of Solution (symbol : $\Delta_{\text {sol }} H^{\ominus}$ )

 Enthalpy of solution of a substance is the enthalpy change when one mole of it dissolves in a specified amount of solvent. The enthalpy of solution at infinite dilution is the enthalpy change observed on dissolving the substance in an infinite amount of solvent when the interactions between the ions (or solute molecules) are negligible.When an ionic compound dissolves in a solvent, the ions leave their ordered positions on the crystal lattice. These are now more free in solution. But solvation of these ions (hydration in case solvent is water) also occurs at the same time. This is shown diagrammatically, for an ionic compound, AB (s)


The enthalpy of solution of $\mathrm{AB}(\mathrm{s}), \Delta_{\text {sol }} H^{\ominus}$, in water is, therefore, determined by the selective values of the lattice enthalpy, $\Delta_{\text {lattice }} H^{\ominus}$ and enthalpy of hydration of ions, $\Delta_{\text {hyd }} H^{\ominus}$ as

$$
\Delta_{\text {sol }} H^{\ominus}=\Delta_{\text {lattice }} H^{\ominus}+\Delta_{\text {hyd }} H^{\ominus}
$$

For most of the ionic compounds, $\Delta_{\text {sol }} H^{\ominus}$ is positive and the dissociation process is endothermic. Therefore the solubility of most salts in water increases with rise of temperature. If the lattice enthalpy is very high, the dissolution of the compound may not take place at all. Why do many fluorides tend to be less soluble than the corresponding chlorides? Estimates of the magnitudes of enthalpy changes may be made by using tables of bond energies (enthalpies) and lattice energies (enthalpies).

## (f) Enthalpy of Dilution

It is known that enthalpy of solution is the enthalpy change associated with the addition of a specified amount of solute to the specified amount of solvent at a constant temperature and pressure. This argument can be applied to any solvent with slight modification. Enthalpy change for dissolving one mole of gaseous hydrogen chloride in 10 mol of water can be represented by the following equation. For convenience we will use the symbol aq. for water
$\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g})+10$ aq. $\rightarrow \mathrm{HCl} .10$ aq.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}=-69.01 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

Let us consider the following set of enthalpy changes:
(S-1) $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g})+25$ aq. $\rightarrow \mathrm{HCl} .25$ aq.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}=-72.03 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

(S-2) $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g})+40 \mathrm{aq} . \rightarrow \mathrm{HCl} .40$ aq. $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-72.79 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
(S-3) $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g})+\infty$ aq. $\rightarrow \mathrm{HCl} . \infty$ aq. $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-74.85 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
The values of $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ show general dependence of the enthalpy of solution on amount of solvent. As more and more solvent is used, the enthalpy of solution approaches a limiting value, i.e, the value in infinitely dilute solution. For hydrochloric acid this value of $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is given above in equation (S-3).

If we subtract the first equation (equation $\mathrm{S}-1$ ) from the second equation (equation $\mathrm{S}-2$ ) in the above set of equations, we obtain-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HCl} .25 \text { aq. }+15 \text { aq. } \rightarrow \mathrm{HCl} .40 \text { aq. } \\
& \begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathrm{H} & =[-72.79-(-72.03)] \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \\
& =-0.76 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

This value $(-0.76 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ of $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is enthalpy of dilution. It is the heat withdrawn from the surroundings when additional solvent is added to the solution. The enthalpy of dilution of a solution is dependent on the original concentration of the solution and the amount of solvent added.

### 6.6 SPONTANEITY

The first law of thermodynamics tells us about the relationship between the heat absorbed and the work performed on or by a system. It puts no restrictions on the direction of heat flow. However, the flow of heat is unidirectional from higher temperature to lower temperature. In fact, all naturally occurring processes whether chemical or physical will tend to proceed spontaneously in one direction only. For example, a gas expanding to fill the available volume, burning carbon in dioxygen giving carbon dioxide.

But heat will not flow from colder body to warmer body on its own, the gas in a container will not spontaneously contract into one corner or carbon dioxide will not form carbon and dioxygen spontaneously. These and many other spontaneously occurring changes show unidirectional change. We may ask what is the driving force of spontaneously occurring changes? What determines the direction of a spontaneous change? In this section, we shall establish some criterion for these processes whether these will take place or not.

Let us first understand what do we mean by spontaneous reaction or change ? You may think by your common observation that spontaneous reaction is one which occurs immediately when contact is made between the reactants. Take the case of combination of hydrogen and oxygen. These gases may be mixed at room temperature and left for many years without observing any perceptible change. Although the reaction is taking place
between them, it is at an extremely slow rate. It is still called spontaneous reaction. So spontaneity means 'having the potential to proceed without the assistance of external agency'. However, it does not tell about the rate of the reaction or process. Another aspect of spontaneous reaction or process, as we see is that these cannot reverse their direction on their own. We may summarise it as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A spontaneous process is an } \\
& \text { irreversible process and may only be } \\
& \text { reversed by some external agency. } \\
& \text { (a) Is Decrease in Enthalpy a Criterion } \\
& \text { for Spontaneity? }
\end{aligned}
$$

If we examine the phenomenon like flow of water down hill or fall of a stone on to the ground, we find that there is a net decrease in potential energy in the direction of change. By analogy, we may be tempted to state that a chemical reaction is spontaneous in a given direction, because decrease in energy has taken place, as in the case of exothermic reactions. For example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})=\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \\
& \Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=-46.1 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})=\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g}) ;$

$$
\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=-92.32 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) ;
$$

$$
\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=-285.8 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

The decrease in enthalpy in passing from reactants to products may be shown for any exothermic reaction on an enthalpy diagram as shown in Fig. 6.10(a).

Thus, the postulate that driving force for a chemical reaction may be due to decrease in energy sounds 'reasonable' as the basis of evidence so far!

Now let us examine the following reactions: $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ;$

$$
\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=+33.2 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

$\mathrm{C}($ graphite, s$)+2 \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CS}_{2}(\mathrm{l})$;

$$
\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus} \stackrel{+128.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}}{=}
$$



Fig. 6.10 (a) Enthalpy diagram for exothermic reactions

These reactions though endothermic, are spontaneous. The increase in enthalpy may be represented on an enthalpy diagram as shown in Fig. 6.10(b).


Fig. 6.10 (b) Enthalpy diagram for endothermic reactions

Therefore, it becomes obvious that while decrease in enthalpy may be a contributory factor for spontaneity, but it is not true for all cases.

## (b) Entropy and Spontaneity

Then, what drives the spontaneous process in a given direction? Let us examine such a case in which $\Delta H=0$ i.e., there is no change in enthalpy, but still the process is spontaneous.

Let us consider diffusion of two gases into each other in a closed container which is isolated from the surroundings as shown in Fig. 6.11.

The two gases, say, gas A and gas B are represented by black dots and white dots


Fig. 6.11 Diffusion of two gases
respectively and separated by a movable partition [Fig. 6.11 (a)]. When the partition is withdrawn [Fig.6.11(b)], the gases begin to diffuse into each other and after a period of time, diffusion will be complete.

Let us examine the process. Before partition, if we were to pick up the gas molecules from left container, we would be sure that these will be molecules of gas A and similarly if we were to pick up the gas molecules from right container, we would be sure that these will be molecules of gas B. But, if we were to pick up molecules from container when partition is removed, we are not sure whether the molecules picked are of gas A or gas B. We say that the system has become less predictable or more chaotic.

We may now formulate another postulate: in an isolated system, there is always a tendency for the systems' energy to become more disordered or chaotic and this could be a criterion for spontaneous change!

At this point, we introduce another thermodynamic function, entropy denoted as $S$. The above mentioned disorder is the manifestation of entropy. To form a mental
picture, one can think of entropy as a measure of the degree of randomness or disorder in the system. The greater the disorder in an isolated system, the higher is the entropy. As far as a chemical reaction is concerned, this entropy change can be attributed to rearrangement of atoms or ions from one pattern in the reactants to another (in the products). If the structure of the products is very much disordered than that of the reactants, there will be a resultant increase in entropy. The change in entropy accompanying a chemical reaction may be estimated qualitatively by a consideration of the structures of the species taking part in the reaction. Decrease of regularity in structure would mean increase in entropy. For a given substance, the crystalline solid state is the state of lowest entropy (most ordered), The gaseous state is state of highest entropy.

Now let us try to quantify entropy. One way to calculate the degree of disorder or chaotic distribution of energy among molecules would be through statistical method which is beyond the scope of this treatment. Other way would be to relate this process to the heat involved in a process which would make entropy a thermodynamic concept. Entropy, like any other thermodynamic property such as internal energy $U$ and enthalpy $H$ is a state function and $\Delta S$ is independent of path.

Whenever heat is added to the system, it increases molecular motions causing increased randomness in the system. Thus heat ( $q$ ) has randomising influence on the system. Can we then equate $\Delta S$ with $q$ ? Wait ! Experience suggests us that the distribution of heat also depends on the temperature at which heat is added to the system. A system at higher temperature has greater randomness in it than one at lower temperature. Thus, temperature is the measure of average chaotic motion of particles in the system. Heat added to a system at lower temperature causes greater randomness than when the same quantity of heat is added to it at higher temperature. This suggests that the entropy change is inversely proportional to the temperature. $\Delta S$ is related with $q$ and $T$ for a reversible reaction as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{S}=\frac{q_{\text {rev }}}{T} \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total entropy change ( $\Delta S_{\text {total }}$ ) for the system and surroundings of a spontaneous process is given by
$\Delta S_{\text {total }}=\Delta S_{\text {system }}+\Delta S_{\text {surr }}>0$
When a system is in equilibrium, the entropy is maximum, and the change in entropy, $\Delta S=0$.

We can say that entropy for a spontaneous process increases till it reaches maximum and at equilibrium the change in entropy is zero. Since entropy is a state property, we can calculate the change in entropy of a reversible process by


We find that both for reversible and irreversible expansion for an ideal gas, under isothermal conditions, $\Delta U=0$, but $\Delta S_{\text {total }}$ i.e., $\Delta S_{\text {sys }}+\Delta S_{\text {surr }}$ is not zero for irreversible process. Thus, $\Delta U$ does not discriminate between reversible and irreversible process, whereas $\Delta S$ does.

## Problem 6.10

Predict in which of the following, entropy increases/decreases :
(i) A liquid crystallizes into a solid.
(ii) Temperature of a crystalline solid is raised from 0 K to 115 K .
(iii) $2 \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+$

$$
\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

(iv) $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g})$

## Solution

(i) After freezing, the molecules attain an ordered state and therefore, entropy decreases.
(ii) At 0 K , the contituent particles are static and entropy is minimum. If temperature is raised to 115 K , these
begin to move and oscillate about their equilibrium positions in the lattice and system becomes more disordered, therefore entropy increases.
(iii) Reactant, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ is a solid and it has low entropy. Among products there are one solid and two gases. Therefore, the products represent a condition of higher entropy.
(iv) Here one molecule gives two atoms i.e., number of particles increases leading to more disordered state. Two moles of H atoms have higher entropy than one mole of dihydrogen molecule.

## Problem 6.11

For oxidation of iron,

$$
4 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

entropy change is $-549.4 \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ at 298 K . Inspite of negative entropy change of this reaction, why is the reaction spontaneous?
( $\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}$ for this reaction is $-1648 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ )

## Solution

One decides the spontaneity of a reaction by considering
$\Delta S_{\text {total }}\left(\Delta S_{\text {sys }}+\Delta S_{\text {surr }}\right)$. For calculating $\Delta S_{\text {surr }}$, we have to consider the heat absorbed by the surroundings which is equal to $-\Delta_{t} H^{\ominus}$. At temperature T, entropy change of the surroundings is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta S_{\text {surr }}=-\frac{\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}}{T}(\text { at constant pressure }) \\
& =-\frac{\left(-1648 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)}{298 \mathrm{~K}} \\
& =5530 \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, total entropy change for this reaction

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~S}_{\text {total }} & =5530 \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}+ \\
& \left(-549.4 \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =4980.6 \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \\
& \text { This shows that the above reaction is } \\
& \text { spontaneous. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## (c) Gibbs Energy and Spontaneity

We have seen that for a system, it is the total entropy change, $\Delta S_{\text {total }}$ which decides the spontaneity of the process. But most of the chemical reactions fall into the category of either closed systems or open systems. Therefore, for most of the chemical reactions there are changes in both enthalpy and entropy. It is clear from the discussion in previous sections that neither decrease in enthalpy nor increase in entropy alone can determine the direction of spontaneous change for these systems.

For this purpose, we define a new thermodynamic function the Gibbs energy or Gibbs function, $G$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=H-T S \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Gibbs function, $G$ is an extensive property and a state function.

The change in Gibbs energy for the system, $\Delta G_{\text {sus }}$ can be written as

$$
\Delta G_{\text {sys }}=\Delta H_{\text {sys }}-T \Delta S_{s y s}-S_{s y s} \Delta T
$$

At constant temperature, $\Delta T=0$

$$
\therefore \Delta G_{\text {sys }}=\Delta H_{\text {sys }}-T \Delta S_{\text {sys }}
$$

Usually the subscript 'system' is dropped and we simply write this equation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G=\Delta H-T \Delta S \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, Gibbs energy change $=$ enthalpy change - temperature $\times$ entropy change, and is referred to as the Gibbs equation, one of the most important equations in chemistry. Here, we have considered both terms together for spontaneity: energy (in terms of $\Delta H$ ) and entropy ( $\Delta S$, a measure of disorder) as indicated earlier. Dimensionally if we analyse, we find that $\Delta G$ has units of energy because, both $\Delta H$ and the $T \Delta S$ are energy terms, since $T \Delta S=(K)(J / K)=J$.

Now let us consider how $\Delta G$ is related to reaction spontaneity.

We know,
$\Delta S_{\text {total }}=\Delta S_{\text {sys }}+\Delta S_{\text {surr }}$
If the system is in thermal equilibrium with the surrounding, then the temperature of the surrounding is same as that of the system. Also, increase in enthalpy of the surrounding is equal to decrease in the enthalpy of the system.

Therefore, entropy change of surroundings,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta S_{\text {surr }}=\frac{\Delta H_{\text {surr }}}{T}=-\frac{\Delta H_{\text {sys }}}{T} \\
& \Delta S_{\text {total }}=\Delta S_{\text {sys }}+\left(-\frac{\Delta H_{\text {sys }}}{T}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Rearranging the above equation:
$T \Delta S_{\text {total }}=T \Delta S_{s y s}-\Delta H_{s y s}$
For spontaneous process, $\Delta S_{\text {total }}>0$, so

$$
\begin{array}{r}
T \Delta S_{s y s}-\Delta H_{s y s}>\mathrm{O} \\
\Rightarrow-\left(\Delta H_{s y s}-T \Delta S_{s y s}\right)>0
\end{array}
$$

Using equation 6.21, the above equation can be written as
$-\Delta G>\mathrm{O}$
$\Delta G=\Delta H-T \Delta S<0$
$\Delta H_{s y s}$ is the enthalpy change of a reaction, $T \Delta S_{s y s}$ is the energy which is not available to do useful work. So $\Delta G$ is the net energy available to do useful work and is thus a measure of the 'free energy'. For this reason, it is also known as the free energy of the reaction.
$\Delta G$ gives a criteria for spontaneity at constant pressure and temperature.
(i) If $\Delta G$ is negative $(<0)$, the process is spontaneous.
(ii) If $\Delta G$ is positive ( $>0$ ), the process is non spontaneous.
Note : If a reaction has a positive enthalpy change and positive entropy change, it can be spontaneous when $T \Delta S$ is large enough to outweigh $\Delta H$. This can happen in two ways; (a) The positive entropy change of the system
can be 'small' in which case $T$ must be large. (b) The positive entropy change of the system can be 'large', in which case $T$ may be small. The former is one of the reasons why reactions are often carried out at high temperature. Table 6.4 summarises the effect of temperature on spontaneity of reactions.
(d) Entropy and Second Law of Thermodynamics
We know that for an isolated system the change in energy remains constant. Therefore, increase in entropy in such systems is the natural direction of a spontaneous change. This, in fact is the second law of thermodynamics. Like first law of thermodynamics, second law can also be stated in several ways. The second law of thermodynamics explains why spontaneous exothermic reactions are so common. In exothermic reactions heat released by the reaction increases the disorder of the surroundings and overall entropy change is positive which makes the reaction spontaneous.
(e) Absolute Entropy and Third Law of Thermodynamics
Molecules of a substance may move in a straight line in any direction, they may spin like a top and the bonds in the molecules may stretch and compress. These motions of the molecule are called translational, rotational and vibrational motion respectively. When temperature of the system rises, these motions become more vigorous and entropy increases. On the other hand when temperature is lowered, the entropy decreases. The entropy of any pure crystalline substance approaches zero as the temperature approaches absolute zero. This is called third law of thermodynamics. This is so because there is perfect order in a crystal at absolute zero. The statement is confined to pure crystalline solids because theoretical arguments and practical evidences have shown that entropy of solutions and super cooled liquids is not zero at 0 K . The importance of the third law lies in the fact that
it permits the calculation of absolute values of entropy of pure substance from thermal data alone. For a pure substance, this can be done by summing $\frac{q_{\text {rev }}}{T}$ increments from 0 K to 298 K. Standard entropies can be used to calculate standard entropy changes by a Hess's law type of calculation.

### 6.7 GIBBS ENERGY CHANGE AND EQUILIBRIUM

We have seen how a knowledge of the sign and magnitude of the free energy change of a chemical reaction allows:
(i) Prediction of the spontaneity of the chemical reaction.
(ii) Prediction of the useful work that could be extracted from it.
So far we have considered free energy changes in irreversible reactions. Let us now examine the free energy changes in reversible reactions.
'Reversible’ under strict thermodynamic sense is a special way of carrying out a process such that system is at all times in perfect equilibrium with its surroundings. When applied to a chemical reaction, the term 'reversible' indicates that a given reaction can proceed in either direction simultaneously, so that a dynamic equilibrium is set up. This means that the reactions in both the directions should
proceed with a decrease in free energy, which seems impossible. It is possible only if at equilibrium the free energy of the system is minimum. If it is not, the system would spontaneously change to configuration of lower free energy.

So, the criterion for equilibrium
$\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{D}$; is
$\Delta_{r} G=0$
Gibbs energy for a reaction in which all reactants and products are in standard state, $\Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}$ is related to the equilibrium constant of the reaction as follows:

$$
0=\Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}+\mathrm{R} T \ln K
$$

or $\quad \Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}=-\mathrm{R} T \ln K$
or $\Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}=-2.303 \mathrm{R} T \log K$
We also know that
$\Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}=\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}-T \Delta_{r} S^{\ominus}=-\mathrm{R} T \ln K$
For strongly endothermic reactions, the value of $\Delta_{r} H^{\rho}$ may be large and positive. In such a case, value of $K$ will be much smaller than 1 and the reaction is unlikely to form much product. In case of exothermic reactions, $\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}$ is large and negative, and $\Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}$ is likely to be large and negative too. In such cases, $K$ will be much larger than 1 . We may expect strongly exothermic reactions to have a large $K$, and hence can go to near completion. $\Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}$ also depends upon $\Delta_{r} S^{\ominus}$, if the changes in the entropy of reaction is also taken into account, the value of $K$ or extent of chemical reaction will also be affected,

Table 6.4 Effect of Temperature on Spontaneity of Reactions

| $\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}$ | $\Delta_{r} S^{\ominus}$ | $\Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}$ | Description* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | + | - | Reaction spontaneous at all temperatures |
| - | - | $-($ at low $T)$ | Reaction spontaneous at low temperature |
| - | - | $+($ at high $T)$ | Reaction nonspontaneous at high temperature |
| + | + | $-($ at high $T)$ | Reaction nonspontaneous at low temperature |
| + | - | Reaction spontaneous at high temperature |  |
| + | at all $T)$ | Reaction nonspontaneous at all temperatures |  |

[^5]depending upon whether $\Delta_{r} S^{\ominus}$ is positive or negative.

Using equation (6.24),
(i) It is possible to obtain an estimate of $\Delta G^{\ominus}$ from the measurement of $\Delta H^{\ominus}$ and $\Delta S^{\ominus}$, and then calculate $K$ at any temperature for economic yields of the products.
(ii) If K is measured directly in the laboratory, value of $\Delta G^{\ominus}$ at any other temperature can be calculated.
Using equation (6.24),

## Problem 6.12

Calculate $\Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}$ for conversion of oxygen to ozone, $3 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ at 298 K . if $K_{p}$ for this conversion is $2.47 \times 10^{-29}$.

## Solution

We know $\Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}=-2.303 \mathrm{R} T \log K_{p}$ and $\mathrm{R}=8.314 \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
Therefore, $\Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}=$
-2.303 ( $8.314 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) $\times(298 \mathrm{~K})\left(\log 2.47 \times 10^{-29}\right)$
$=163000 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$=163 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

## Problem 6.13

Find out the value of equilibrium constant for the following reaction at 298 K .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \leftrightharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
&+ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
\end{aligned}
$$

Standard Gibbs energy change, $\Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}$ at the given temperature is $-13.6 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

## Solution

We know, $\log K=\frac{-\Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}}{2.303 R T}$

$$
=\frac{\left(-13.6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)}{2.303\left(8.314 \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)(298 \mathrm{~K})}
$$

$$
=2.38
$$

Hence $K=$ antilog $2.38=2.4 \times 10^{2}$.

## Problem 6.14

At $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, dinitrogen tetroxide is 50 per cent dissociated. Calculate the standard free energy change at this temperature and at one atmosphere.

## Solution

$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
If $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ is $50 \%$ dissociated, the mole fraction of both the substances is given by

$$
x_{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}=\frac{1-0.5}{1+0.5}: x_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}=\frac{2 \times 0.5}{1+0.5}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}=\frac{0.5}{1.5} \times 1 \mathrm{~atm}, p_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}} & = \\
& \frac{1}{1.5} \times 1 \mathrm{~atm}
\end{aligned}
$$

The equilibrium constant $K_{p}$ is given by

$$
K_{p}=\frac{\left(p_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}\right)^{2}}{p_{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}}=\frac{1.5}{(1.5)^{2}(0.5)}
$$

$=1.33 \mathrm{~atm}$.
Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}=-\mathrm{R} T \ln K_{p} \\
& \Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}=\left(-8.314 \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \times(333 \mathrm{~K}) \\
& \times(2.303) \times(0.1239)
\end{aligned}
$$

$=-763.8 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

## SUMMARY

Thermodynamics deals with energy changes in chemical or physical processes and enables us to study these changes quantitatively and to make useful predictions. For these purposes, we divide the universe into the system and the surroundings. Chemical or physical processes lead to evolution or absorption of heat ( $q$ ), part of which may be converted into work (w). These quantities are related through the first law of thermodynamics via $\Delta U=q+w . \Delta U$, change in internal energy, depends on initial and final states only and is a state function, whereas $q$ and w depend on the path and are not the state functions. We follow sign conventions of $q$ and w by giving the positive sign to these quantities when these are added to the system. We can measure the transfer of heat from one system to another which causes the change in temperature. The magnitude of rise in temperature depends on the heat capacity ( $C$ ) of a substance. Therefore, heat absorbed or evolved is $q=C \Delta T$. Work can be measured by $w=-p_{e x} \Delta V$, in case of expansion of gases. Under reversible process, we can put $p_{e x}=p$ for infinitesimal changes in the volume making $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{rev}}=-p \mathrm{~d} V$. In this condition, we can use gas equation, $p V=n R T$.

At constant volume, $\mathrm{w}=0$, then $\Delta U=q_{V}$, heat transfer at constant volume. But in study of chemical reactions, we usually have constant pressure. We define another state function enthalpy. Enthalpy change, $\Delta H=\Delta U+\Delta n_{g} R T$, can be found directly from the heat changes at constant pressure, $\Delta H=q_{p}$.

There are varieties of enthalpy changes. Changes of phase such as melting, vaporization and sublimation usually occur at constant temperature and can be characterized by enthalpy changes which are always positive. Enthalpy of formation, combustion and other enthalpy changes can be calculated using Hess's law. Enthalpy change for chemical reactions can be determined by

$$
\Delta_{r} H=\sum_{f}\left(a_{i} \Delta_{f} H_{\text {products }}\right)-\sum_{i}\left(b_{i} \Delta_{f} H_{\text {reactions }}\right)
$$

and in gaseous state by
$\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=\Sigma$ bond enthalpies of the reactants $-\Sigma$ bond enthalpies of the products
First law of thermodynamics does not guide us about the direction of chemical reactions i.e., what is the driving force of a chemical reaction. For isolated systems, $\Delta U=0$. We define another state function, $S$, entropy for this purpose. Entropy is a measure of disorder or randomness. For a spontaneous change, total entropy change is positive. Therefore, for an isolated system, $\Delta U=0, \Delta S>0$, so entropy change distinguishes a spontaneous change, while energy change does not. Entropy changes can be measured by the equation $\Delta S=\frac{q_{\mathrm{rev}}}{T}$ for a reversible process. $\frac{q_{\mathrm{rev}}}{T}$ is independent of path.

Chemical reactions are generally carried at constant pressure, so we define another state function Gibbs energy, $G$, which is related to entropy and enthalpy changes of the system by the equation:

## $\Delta_{r} G=\Delta_{r} H-T \Delta_{r} S$

For a spontaneous change, $\Delta G_{\text {sys }}<0$ and at equilibrium, $\Delta G_{\text {sys }}=0$.
Standard Gibbs energy change is related to equilibrium constant by
$\Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}=-\mathrm{R} T \ln K$.
K can be calculated from this equation, if we know $\Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}$ which can be found from $\Delta_{r} G^{\ominus}=\Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}-T \Delta_{r} S^{\ominus}$. Temperature is an important factor in the equation. Many reactions which are non-spontaneous at low temperature, are made spontaneous at high temperature for systems having positive entropy of reaction.

## EXERCISES

6.1 Choose the correct answer. A thermodynamic state function is a quantity (i) used to determine heat changes
(ii) whose value is independent of path
(iii) used to determine pressure volume work
(iv) whose value depends on temperature only.
6.2 For the process to occur under adiabatic conditions, the correct condition is:
(i) $\Delta T=0$
(ii) $\Delta p=0$
(iii) $q=0$
(iv) $\mathrm{w}=0$
6.3 The enthalpies of all elements in their standard states are:
(i) unity
(ii) zero
(iii) <0
(iv) different for each element
$6.4 \Delta U^{\ominus}$ of combustion of methane is $-\mathrm{X} \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$. The value of $\Delta H^{\ominus}$ is
(i) $=\Delta U^{\ominus}$
(ii) $>\Delta U^{\ominus}$
(iii) $<\Delta U^{\ominus}$
(iv) $=0$
6.5 The enthalpy of combustion of methane, graphite and dihydrogen at 298 K are, $-890.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}-393.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, and $-285.8 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ respectively. Enthalpy of formation of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})$ will be

| (i) | $-74.8 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ | (ii) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (iii) $+74.8 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ | (iv) | $+52.27 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ |
| ( | $52.26 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. |  |

6.6 A reaction, $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{q}$ is found to have a positive entropy change. The reaction will be
(i) possible at high temperature
(ii) possible only at low temperature
(iii) not possible at any temperature
(v) possible at any temperature
6.7 In a process, 701 J of heat is absorbed by a system and 394 J of work is done by the system. What is the change in internal energy for the process?
6.8 The reaction of cyanamide, $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}(\mathrm{s})$, with dioxygen was carried out in a bomb calorimeter, and $\Delta U$ was found to be $-742.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ at 298 K . Calculate enthalpy change for the reaction at 298 K .
$\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}(\mathrm{g})+\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
6.9 Calculate the number of kJ of heat necessary to raise the temperature of 60.0 g of aluminium from $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Molar heat capacity of Al is $24 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$.
6.10 Calculate the enthalpy change on freezing of 1.0 mol of water at $10.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to ice at $-10.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\Delta_{\text {fus }} H=6.03 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$C_{p}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})\right]=75.3 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$C_{p}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})\right]=36.8 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
6.11 Enthalpy of combustion of carbon to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is $-393.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. Calculate the heat released upon formation of 35.2 g of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from carbon and dioxygen gas.
6.12 Enthalpies of formation of $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g}), \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}), \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})$ are $-110,-393,81$ and $9.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ respectively. Find the value of $\Delta_{r} H$ for the reaction:
$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})+3 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
6.13 Given
$\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=-92.4 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
What is the standard enthalpy of formation of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ gas?
6.14 Calculate the standard enthalpy of formation of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(1)$ from the following data:
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l})+\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) ; \Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=-726 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{C}($ graphite $)+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \Delta_{c} H^{\ominus}=-393 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) ; \Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}=-286 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.
6.15 Calculate the enthalpy change for the process
$\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{g})+4 \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g})$
and calculate bond enthalpy of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})$.
$\Delta_{\text {vap }} H^{\ominus}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)=30.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.
$\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)=-135.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.
$\Delta_{a} H^{\ominus}(\mathrm{C})=715.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, where $\Delta_{a} H^{\ominus}$ is enthalpy of atomisation
$\Delta_{a} H^{\ominus}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)=242 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
6.16 For an isolated system, $\Delta U=0$, what will be $\Delta S$ ?
6.17 For the reaction at 298 K ,
$2 \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$
$\Delta H=400 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S=0.2 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
At what temperature will the reaction become spontaneous considering $\Delta H$ and $\Delta S$ to be constant over the temperature range.
6.18 For the reaction,
$2 \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$, what are the signs of $\Delta H$ and $\Delta S$ ?
6.19 For the reaction
$2 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{g})$
$\Delta U^{\ominus}=-10.5 \mathrm{~kJ}$ and $\Delta S^{\ominus}=-44.1 \mathrm{JK}^{-1}$.
Calculate $\Delta G^{\ominus}$ for the reaction, and predict whether the reaction may occur spontaneously.
6.20 The equilibrium constant for a reaction is 10 . What will be the value of $\Delta G^{\ominus}$ ? $\mathrm{R}=8.314 \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, \mathrm{~T}=300 \mathrm{~K}$.
6.21 Comment on the thermodynamic stability of $\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})$, given
$\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g}) \quad ; \quad \Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=90 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad: \quad \Delta_{r} H^{\ominus}=-74 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
6.22 Calculate the entropy change in surroundings when 1.00 mol of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ is formed under standard conditions. $\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}=-286 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

# UNIT 7 

## EQUILIBRIUM

## Objectives

After studying this unit you will be able to

- identify dynamic nature of equilibrium involved in physical and chemical processes;
- state the law of equilibrium;
- explain characteristics of equilibria involved in physical and chemical processes;
- write expressions for equilibrium constants;
- establish a relationship between $K_{p}$ and $K_{c}$;
- explain various factors that affect the equilibrium state of a reaction;
- classify substances as acids or bases according to Arrhenius, Bronsted-Lowry and Lewis concepts;
- classify acids and bases as weak or strong in terms of their ionization constants;
- explain the dependence of degree of ionization on concentration of the electrolyte and that of the common ion;
- describe pH scale for representing hydrogen ion concentration;
- explain ionisation of water and its duel role as acid and base;
- describe ionic product $\left(K_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{w}}$ for water;
- appreciate use of buffer solutions;
- calculate solubility product constant.

Chemical equilibria are important in numerous biological and environmental processes. For example, equilibria involving $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecules and the protein hemoglobin play a crucial role in the transport and delivery of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ from our lungs to our muscles. Similar equilibria involving CO molecules and hemoglobin account for the toxicity of CO.

When a liquid evaporates in a closed container, molecules with relatively higher kinetic energy escape the liquid surface into the vapour phase and number of liquid molecules from the vapour phase strike the liquid surface and are retained in the liquid phase. It gives rise to a constant vapour pressure because of an equilibrium in which the number of molecules leaving the liquid equals the number returning to liquid from the vapour. We say that the system has reached equilibrium state at this stage. However, this is not static equilibrium and there is a lot of activity at the boundary between the liquid and the vapour. Thus, at equilibrium, the rate of evaporation is equal to the rate of condensation. It may be represented by

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\text { vap })
$$

The double half arrows indicate that the processes in both the directions are going on simultaneously. The mixture of reactants and products in the equilibrium state is called an equilibrium mixture.

Equilibrium can be established for both physical processes and chemical reactions. The reaction may be fast or slow depending on the experimental conditions and the nature of the reactants. When the reactants in a closed vessel at a particular temperature react to give products, the concentrations of the reactants keep on decreasing, while those of products keep on increasing for some time after which there is no change in the concentrations of either of the reactants or products. This stage of the system is the dynamic equilibrium and the rates of the forward and
reverse reactions become equal. It is due to this dynamic equilibrium stage that there is no change in the concentrations of various species in the reaction mixture. Based on the extent to which the reactions proceed to reach the state of chemical equilibrium, these may be classified in three groups.
(i) The reactions that proceed nearly to completion and only negligible concentrations of the reactants are left. In some cases, it may not be even possible to detect these experimentally.
(ii) The reactions in which only small amounts of products are formed and most of the reactants remain unchanged at equilibrium stage.
(iii) The reactions in which the concentrations of the reactants and products are comparable, when the system is in equilibrium.
The extent of a reaction in equilibrium varies with the experimental conditions such as concentrations of reactants, temperature, etc. Optimisation of the operational conditions is very important in industry and laboratory so that equilibrium is favorable in the direction of the desired product. Some important aspects of equilibrium involving physical and chemical processes are dealt in this unit along with the equilibrium involving ions in aqueous solutions which is called as ionic equilibrium.

### 7.1 EQUILIBRIUM IN PHYSICAL PROCESSES

The characteristics of system at equilibrium are better understood if we examine some physical processes. The most familiar examples are phase transformation processes, e.g.,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { solid } & \rightleftharpoons \text { liquid } \\
\text { liquid } & \rightleftharpoons \text { gas } \\
\text { solid } & \rightleftharpoons \text { gas }
\end{array}
$$

### 7.1.1 Solid-Liquid Equilibrium

Ice and water kept in a perfectly insulated thermos flask (no exchange of heat between its contents and the surroundings) at 273 K
and the atmospheric pressure are in equilibrium state and the system shows interesting characteristic features. We observe that the mass of ice and water do not change with time and the temperature remains constant. However, the equilibrium is not static. The intense activity can be noticed at the boundary between ice and water. Molecules from the liquid water collide against ice and adhere to it and some molecules of ice escape into liquid phase. There is no change of mass of ice and water, as the rates of transfer of molecules from ice into water and of reverse transfer from water into ice are equal at atmospheric pressure and 273 K .

It is obvious that ice and water are in equilibrium only at particular temperature and pressure. For any pure substance at atmospheric pressure, the temperature at which the solid and liquid phases are at equilibrium is called the normal melting point or normal freezing point of the substance. The system here is in dynamic equilibrium and we can infer the following:
(i) Both the opposing processes occur simultaneously.
(ii) Both the processes occur at the same rate so that the amount of ice and water remains constant.

### 7.1.2 Liquid-Vapour Equilibrium

This equilibrium can be better understood if we consider the example of a transparent box carrying a U-tube with mercury (manometer). Drying agent like anhydrous calcium chloride (or phosphorus penta-oxide) is placed for a few hours in the box. After removing the drying agent by tilting the box on one side, a watch glass (or petri dish) containing water is quickly placed inside the box. It will be observed that the mercury level in the right limb of the manometer slowly increases and finally attains a constant value, that is, the pressure inside the box increases and reaches a constant value. Also the volume of water in the watch glass decreases (Fig. 7.1). Initially there was no water vapour (or very less) inside the box. As water evaporated the pressure in the box increased due to addition of water


Fig.7.1 Measuring equilibrium vapour pressure of water at a constant temperature
molecules into the gaseous phase inside the box. The rate of evaporation is constant. However, the rate of increase in pressure decreases with time due to condensation of vapour into water. Finally it leads to an equilibrium condition when there is no net evaporation. This implies that the number of water molecules from the gaseous state into the liquid state also increases till the equilibrium is attained i.e.,
rate of evaporation= rate of condensation

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{vap})
$$

At equilibrium the pressure exerted by the water molecules at a given temperature remains constant and is called the equilibrium vapour pressure of water (or just vapour pressure of water); vapour pressure of water increases with temperature. If the above experiment is repeated with methyl alcohol, acetone and ether, it is observed that different liquids have different equilibrium vapour pressures at the same temperature, and the liquid which has a higher vapour pressure is more volatile and has a lower boiling point.

If we expose three watch glasses containing separately 1 mL each of acetone, ethyl alcohol, and water to atmosphere and repeat the experiment with different volumes of the liquids in a warmer room, it is observed that in all such cases the liquid eventually disappears and the time taken for complete evaporation depends on (i) the nature of the liquid, (ii) the amount of the liquid and (iii) the temperature. When the watch glass is open to the atmosphere, the rate of evaporation remains constant but the molecules are
dispersed into large volume of the room. As a consequence the rate of condensation from vapour to liquid state is much less than the rate of evaporation. These are open systems and it is not possible to reach equilibrium in an open system.

Water and water vapour are in equilibrium position at atmospheric pressure (1.013 bar) and at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a closed vessel. The boiling point of water is $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 1.013 bar pressure. For any pure liquid at one atmospheric pressure ( 1.013 bar), the temperature at which the liquid and vapours are at equilibrium is called normal boiling point of the liquid. Boiling point of the liquid depends on the atmospheric pressure. It depends on the altitude of the place; at high altitude the boiling point decreases.

### 7.1.3 Solid - Vapour Equilibrium

Let us now consider the systems where solids sublime to vapour phase. If we place solid iodine in a closed vessel, after sometime the vessel gets filled up with violet vapour and the intensity of colour increases with time. After certain time the intensity of colour becomes constant and at this stage equilibrium is attained. Hence solid iodine sublimes to give iodine vapour and the iodine vapour condenses to give solid iodine. The equilibrium can be represented as,
$\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (solid) $\quad \mathrm{I}_{2}$ (vapour)
Other examples showing this kind of equilibrium are,

Camphor (solid) $\rightleftharpoons$ Camphor (vapour)
$\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (solid) $\rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (vapour)

### 7.1.4 Equilibrium Involving Dissolution of Solid or Gases in Liquids <br> Solids in liquids

We know from our experience that we can dissolve only a limited amount of salt or sugar in a given amount of water at room temperature. If we make a thick sugar syrup solution by dissolving sugar at a higher temperature, sugar crystals separate out if we cool the syrup to the room temperature. We call it a saturated solution when no more of solute can be dissolved in it at a given temperature. The concentration of the solute in a saturated solution depends upon the temperature. In a saturated solution, a dynamic equilibrium exits between the solute molecules in the solid state and in the solution:
Sugar (solution) $\rightleftharpoons$ Sugar (solid), and
the rate of dissolution of sugar $=$ rate of crystallisation of sugar.

Equality of the two rates and dynamic nature of equilibrium has been confirmed with the help of radioactive sugar. If we drop some radioactive sugar into saturated solution of non-radioactive sugar, then after some time radioactivity is observed both in the solution and in the solid sugar. Initially there were no radioactive sugar molecules in the solution but due to dynamic nature of equilibrium, there is exchange between the radioactive and non-radioactive sugar molecules between the two phases. The ratio of the radioactive to nonradioactive molecules in the solution increases till it attains a constant value.

## Gases in liquids

When a soda water bottle is opened, some of the carbon dioxide gas dissolved in it fizzes out rapidly. The phenomenon arises due to difference in solubility of carbon dioxide at different pressures. There is equilibrium between the molecules in the gaseous state and the molecules dissolved in the liquid under pressure i.e.,

$$
\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\text { gas }) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CO}_{2} \text { (in solution) }
$$

This equilibrium is governed by Henry's law, which states that the mass of a gas dissolved in a given mass of a solvent at any temperature is proportional to the
pressure of the gas above the solvent. This amount decreases with increase of temperature. The soda water bottle is sealed under pressure of gas when its solubility in water is high. As soon as the bottle is opened, some of the dissolved carbon dioxide gas escapes to reach a new equilibrium condition required for the lower pressure, namely its partial pressure in the atmosphere. This is how the soda water in bottle when left open to the air for some time, turns 'flat'. It can be generalised that:
(i) For solid $\rightleftharpoons$ liquid equilibrium, there is only one temperature (melting point) at 1 atm ( 1.013 bar ) at which the two phases can coexist. If there is no exchange of heat with the surroundings, the mass of the two phases remains constant.
(ii) For liquid $\rightleftharpoons$ vapour equilibrium, the vapour pressure is constant at a given temperature.
(iii) For dissolution of solids in liquids, the solubility is constant at a given temperature.
(iv) For dissolution of gases in liquids, the concentration of a gas in liquid is proportional to the pressure (concentration) of the gas over the liquid. These observations are summarised in Table 7.1

Table 7.1 Some Features of Physical Equilibria

| Process | Conclusion |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Liquid } \rightleftharpoons \text { Vapour } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) \end{aligned}$ | $p_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ constant at given temperature |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Solid } \rightleftharpoons \text { Liquid } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \end{aligned}$ | Melting point is fixed at constant pressure |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Solute(s) } \underset{\text { (solution) }}{\rightleftharpoons} \text { Solute } \\ & \text { Sugar(s) } \underset{\text { (solution) }}{\rightleftharpoons} \text { Sugar } \end{aligned}$ | Concentration of solute in solution is constant at a given temperature |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Gas}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Gas}(\mathrm{aq}) \\ & \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \end{aligned}$ | [gas(aq)]/[gas(g)] is constant at a given temperature $\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})\right] /\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]$ is constant at a given temperature |

### 7.1.5 General Characteristics of Equilibria Involving Physical Processes

For the physical processes discussed above, following characteristics are common to the system at equilibrium:
(i) Equilibrium is possible only in a closed system at a given temperature.
(ii) Both the opposing processes occur at the same rate and there is a dynamic but stable condition.
(iii) All measurable properties of the system remain constant.
(iv) When equilibrium is attained for a physical process, it is characterised by constant value of one of its parameters at a given temperature. Table 7.1 lists such quantities.
(v) The magnitude of such quantities at any stage indicates the extent to which the physical process has proceeded before reaching equilibrium.

### 7.2 EQUILIBRIUM IN CHEMICAL PROCESSES - DYNAMIC EQUILIBRIUM

Analogous to the physical systems chemical reactions also attain a state of equilibrium. These reactions can occur both in forward and backward directions. When the rates of the forward and reverse reactions become equal, the concentrations of the reactants and the products remain constant. This is the stage of chemical equilibrium. This equilibrium is dynamic in nature as it consists of a forward reaction in which the reactants give product(s) and reverse reaction in which product(s) gives the original reactants.

For a better comprehension, let us consider a general case of a reversible reaction,

$$
\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{D}
$$

With passage of time, there is accumulation of the products C and D and depletion of the reactants A and B (Fig. 7.2). This leads to a decrease in the rate of forward reaction and an increase in he rate of the reverse reaction,


Fig. 7.2 Attainment of chemical equilibrium.
Eventually, the two reactions occur at the same rate and the system reaches a state of equilibrium.

Similarly, the reaction can reach the state of equilibrium even if we start with only C and D ; that is, no $A$ and $B$ being present initially, as the equilibrium can be reached from either direction.

The dynamic nature of chemical equilibrium can be demonstrated in the synthesis of ammonia by Haber's process. In a series of experiments, Haber started with known amounts of dinitrogen and dihydrogen maintained at high temperature and pressure and at regular intervals determined the amount of ammonia present. He was successful in determining also the concentration of unreacted dihydrogen and dinitrogen. Fig. 7.4 (page 191) shows that after a certain time the composition of the mixture remains the same even though some of the reactants are still present. This constancy in composition indicates that the reaction has reached equilibrium. In order to understand the dynamic nature of the reaction, synthesis of ammonia is carried out with exactly the same starting conditions (of partial pressure and temperature) but using $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ (deuterium) in place of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. The reaction mixtures starting either with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ reach equilibrium with the same composition, except that $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{ND}_{3}$ are present instead of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$. After equilibrium is attained, these two mixtures

## Dynamic Equilibrium - A Student's Activity

Equilibrium whether in a physical or in a chemical system, is always of dynamic nature. This can be demonstrated by the use of radioactive isotopes. This is not feasible in a school laboratory. However this concept can be easily comprehended by performing the following activity. The activity can be performed in a group of 5 or 6 students.

Take two 100 mL measuring cylinders (marked as 1 and 2) and two glass tubes each of 30 cm length. Diameter of the tubes may be same or different in the range of $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Fill nearly half of the measuring cylinder-1 with coloured water (for this purpose add a crystal of potassium permanganate to water) and keep second cylinder (number 2) empty.

Put one tube in cylinder 1 and second in cylinder 2 . Immerse one tube in cylinder 1 , close its upper tip with a finger and transfer the coloured water contained in its lower portion to cylinder 2 . Using second tube, kept in $2^{\text {nd }}$ cylinder, transfer the coloured water in a similar manner from cylinder 2 to cylinder 1 . In this way keep on transferring coloured water using the two glass tubes from cylinder 1 to 2 and from 2 to 1 till you notice that the level of coloured water in both the cylinders becomes constant.

If you continue intertransferring coloured solution between the cylinders, there will not be any further change in the levels of coloured water in two cylinders. If we take analogy of 'level' of coloured water with 'concentration' of reactants and products in the two cylinders, we can say the process of transfer, which continues even after the constancy of level, is indicative of dynamic nature of the process. If we repeat the experiment taking two tubes of different diameters we find that at equilibrium the level of coloured water in two cylinders is different. How far diameters are responsible for change in levels in two cylinders? Empty cylinder (2) is an indicator of no product in it at the beginning.


Fig.7.3 Demonstrating dynamic nature of equilibrium. (a) initial stage (b) final stage after the equilibrium is attained.


Fig 7.4 Depiction of equilibrium for the reaction

$$
\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{ND}_{3}\right)$ are mixed together and left for a while. Later, when this mixture is analysed, it is found that the concentration of ammonia is just the same as before. However, when this mixture is analysed by a mass spectrometer, it is found that ammonia and all deuterium containing forms of ammonia $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{NHD}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{ND}_{3}\right)$ and dihydrogen and its deutrated forms $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{HD}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ are present. Thus one can conclude that scrambling of H and D atoms in the molecules must result from a continuation of the forward and reverse reactions in the mixture. If the reaction had simply stopped when they reached equilibrium, then there would have been no mixing of isotopes in this way.

Use of isotope (deuterium) in the formation of ammonia clearly indicates that chemical reactions reach a state of dynamic equilibrium in which the rates of forward and reverse reactions are equal and there is no net change in composition.

Equilibrium can be attained from both sides, whether we start reaction by taking, $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ and get $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ or by taking $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ and decomposing it into $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$.

$$
\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

$$
2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

Similarly let us consider the reaction, $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})$. If we start with equal initial concentration of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, the reaction proceeds in the forward direction and the concentration of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ decreases while that of HI increases, until all of these become constant at equilibrium (Fig. 7.5). We can also start with HI alone and make the reaction to proceed in the reverse direction; the concentration of HI will decrease and concentration of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ will increase until they all become constant when equilibrium is reached (Fig.7.5). If total number of H and I atoms are same in a given volume, the same equilibrium mixture is obtained whether we start it from pure reactants or pure product.


Fig.7.5 Chemical equilibrium in the reaction $\mathrm{H}_{2}(g)+\mathrm{I}_{2}(g) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{HI}(g)$ can be attained from either direction

### 7.3 LAW OF CHEMICAL EQUILIBRIUM AND EQUILIBRIUM CONSTANT

A mixture of reactants and products in the equilibrium state is called an equilibrium mixture. In this section we shall address a number of important questions about the composition of equilibrium mixtures: What is the relationship between the concentrations of reactants and products in an equilibrium mixture? How can we determine equilibrium concentrations from initial concentrations?

What factors can be exploited to alter the composition of an equilibrium mixture? The last question in particular is important when choosing conditions for synthesis of industrial chemicals such as $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{CaO}$ etc.

To answer these questions, let us consider a general reversible reaction:

$$
\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{D}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are the reactants, $C$ and $D$ are the products in the balanced chemical equation. On the basis of experimental studies of many reversible reactions, the Norwegian chemists Cato Maximillian Guldberg and Peter Waage proposed in 1864 that the concentrations in an equilibrium mixture are related by the following equilibrium equation,

$$
K_{c}=\frac{[\mathrm{C}][\mathrm{D}]}{[\mathrm{A}][\mathrm{B}]}
$$

(7.1) where $K_{c}$ is the equilibrium constant and the expression on the right side is called the equilibrium constant expression.

The equilibrium equation is also known as the law of mass action because in the early days of chemistry, concentration was called "active mass". In order to appreciate their work better, let us consider reaction between gaseous $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ carried out in a sealed vessel at 731 K .

$$
\underset{\substack{\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})} \underset{2 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})} \rightleftharpoons \underset{2 \mathrm{~mol}}{2 \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{~g})}}{\underset{2}{\mathrm{I}^{2}}}
$$

Six sets of experiments with varying initial conditions were performed, starting with only gaseous $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ in a sealed reaction vessel in first four experiments (1,2,3 and 4) and only HI in other two experiments ( 5 and 6 ). Experiment 1, 2, 3 and 4 were performed taking different concentrations of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and / or $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, and with time it was observed that intensity of the purple colour remained constant and equilibrium was attained. Similarly, for experiments 5 and 6 , the equilibrium was attained from the opposite direction.

Data obtained from all six sets of experiments are given in Table 7.2.

It is evident from the experiments $1,2,3$ and 4 that number of moles of dihydrogen reacted $=$ number of moles of iodine reacted $=$ $1 / 2$ (number of moles of HI formed). Also, experiments 5 and 6 indicate that,

$$
\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}=\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}
$$

Knowing the above facts, in order to establish a relationship between concentrations of the reactants and products, several combinations can be tried. Let us consider the simple expression,

$$
[\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{~g})]_{\mathrm{eq}} /\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}
$$

It can be seen from Table 7.3 that if we put the equilibrium concentrations of the reactants and products, the above expression

Table 7.2 Initial and Equilibrium Concentrations of $H_{2}, I_{2}$ and $\mathbf{H I}$

| Experiment <br> number | Initial concentration/mol L |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]$ | $\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]$ | $[\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})]$ | $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]$ | $\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]$ | $[\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})]$ |
| 1 | $2.4 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.38 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0 | $1.14 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.12 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.52 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 2 | $2.4 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.68 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0 | $0.92 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.20 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.96 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 3 | $2.44 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.98 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0 | $0.77 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.31 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.34 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 4 | $2.46 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.76 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0 | $0.92 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.22 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.08 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | $3.04 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.345 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.345 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.35 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | $7.58 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.86 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.86 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.86 \times 10^{-2}$ |

Table 7.3 Expression Involving the Equilibrium Concentration of Reactants
$\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \leftrightharpoons 2 \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})$

| Experiments <br> Number | $\frac{[\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})]_{\mathrm{eq}}}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}}$ | $\frac{[\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})]_{\mathrm{eq}}^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1840 | 46.4 |
| 2 | 1610 | 47.6 |
| 3 | 1400 | 46.7 |
| 4 | 1520 | 46.9 |
| 5 | 1970 | 46.4 |
| 6 | 790 | 46.4 |

is far from constant. However, if we consider the expression,

$$
[\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{~g})]_{\mathrm{eq}}^{2} /\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}\left[\mathrm{II}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}
$$

we find that this expression gives constant value (as shown in Table 7.3) in all the six cases. It can be seen that in this expression the power of the concentration for reactants and products are actually the stoichiometric coefficients in the equation for the chemical reaction. Thus, for the reaction $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons$ $2 \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})$, following equation 7.1 , the equilibrium constant $K_{c}$ is written as,
$K_{c}=[\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})]_{\mathrm{eq}}^{2} /\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}$
Generally the subscript 'eq' (used for equilibrium) is omitted from the concentration terms. It is taken for granted that the concentrations in the expression for $K_{c}$ are equilibrium values. We, therefore, write,
$K_{c}=[\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})]^{2} /\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]$
The subscript ' $c$ ' indicates that $K_{c}$ is expressed in concentrations of $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$.

At a given temperature, the product of concentrations of the reaction products raised to the respective stoichiometric coefficient in the balanced chemical equation divided by the product of concentrations of the reactants raised to their individual stoichiometric coefficients has a constant value. This is known as the Equilibrium Law or Law of Chemical Equilibrium.

The equilibrium constant for a general reaction,

$$
\mathrm{a} A+\mathrm{bB} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{c} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{dD}
$$

is expressed as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{c}=[\mathrm{C}]^{\mathrm{c}}[\mathrm{D}]^{\mathrm{d}} /[\mathrm{A}]^{\mathrm{a}}[\mathrm{~B}]^{\mathrm{b}} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[\mathrm{A}],[\mathrm{B}],[\mathrm{C}]$ and $[\mathrm{D}]$ are the equilibrium concentrations of the reactants and products.

Equilibrium constant for the reaction,
$4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 4 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ is written as

$$
K_{c}=\left[\mathrm{NO}^{4}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{6} /\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{4}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{5}\right.
$$

Molar concentration of different species is indicated by enclosing these in square bracket and, as mentioned above, it is implied that these are equilibrium concentrations. While writing expression for equilibrium constant, symbol for phases ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{g}$ ) are generally ignored.

Let us write equilibrium constant for the reaction, $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})$
as, $K_{c}=[\mathrm{HI}]^{2} /\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]=\mathrm{x}$
The equilibrium constant for the reverse reaction, $2 \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$, at the same temperature is,

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{c}^{\prime}=\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right] /[\mathrm{HI}]^{2}=1 / \mathrm{x}=1 / K_{c}  \tag{7.7}\\
\text { Thus, } K_{c}^{\prime}=1 / K_{c} \tag{7.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equilibrium constant for the reverse reaction is the inverse of the equilibrium constant for the reaction in the forward direction.

If we change the stoichiometric coefficients in a chemical equation by multiplying throughout by a factor then we must make sure that the expression for equilibrium constant also reflects that change. For example, if the reaction (7.5) is written as,
$1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})$
the equilibrium constant for the above reaction is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{c}^{\prime \prime}=[\mathrm{HI}] /\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]^{1 / 2} & =\left\{[\mathrm{HI}]^{2} /\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& =\mathrm{x}^{1 / 2}=K_{c}^{1 / 2} \tag{7.10}
\end{align*}
$$

On multiplying the equation (7.5) by n , we get
$\mathrm{nH}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{nI}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \mathrm{D} \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{nHI}(\mathrm{g})$
Therefore, equilibrium constant for the reaction is equal to $K_{c}{ }^{n}$. These findings are summarised in Table 7.4. It should be noted that because the equilibrium constants $K_{c}$ and $K_{c}^{\prime}$ have different numerical values, it is important to specify the form of the balanced chemical equation when quoting the value of an equilibrium constant.

Table 7.4 Relations between Equilibrium Constants for a General Reaction and its Multiples.

| Chemical equation | Equilibrium <br> constant |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a} \mathrm{A}+\mathrm{b} \mathrm{B} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{c} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{dD}$ | $K_{c}$ |
| $\mathrm{c} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{d} \mathrm{D} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{a} \mathrm{A}+\mathrm{b} \mathrm{B}$ | $K_{c}^{\prime}=\left(1 / K_{c}\right)$ |
| na $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{nb} \mathrm{B} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{ncC}+\mathrm{ndD}$ | $K_{c}^{\prime \prime}=\left(K_{c}^{n}\right)$ |

## Problem 7.1

The following concentrations were obtained for the formation of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ from $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ at equilibrium at 500 K . $\left[\mathrm{N}_{2}\right]=1.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} .\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]=3.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ and $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]=1.2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$. Calculate equilibrium constant.

## Solution

The equilibrium constant for the reaction, $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ can be written as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{c} & =\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]^{3}} \\
& =\frac{\left(1.2 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1.5 \times 10^{-2}\right)\left(3.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{3}} \\
& =0.106 \times 10^{4}=1.06 \times 10^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Problem 7.2

At equilibrium, the concentrations of $\mathrm{N}_{2}=3.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{O}_{2}=4.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{NO}=2.8 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ in a sealed vessel at

800K. What will be $K_{\mathrm{c}}$ for the reaction

$$
\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

## Solution

For the reaction equilibrium constant, $K_{c}$ can be written as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{c} & =\frac{[\mathrm{NO}]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]} \\
& =\frac{\left(2.8 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)^{2}}{\left(3.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)\left(4.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=0.622
$$

### 7.4 HOMOGENEOUS EGUILIBRIA

In a homogeneous system, all the reactants and products are in the same phase. For example, in the gaseous reaction, $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$, reactants and products are in the homogeneous phase. Similarly, for the reactions,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}(\mathrm{aq})+ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \\
\rightleftharpoons & \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& +\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{aq})
\end{aligned}
$$

and, $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SCN}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{SCN})^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$
all the reactants and products are in homogeneous solution phase. We shall now consider equilibrium constant for some homogeneous reactions.

### 7.4.1 Equilibrium Constant in Gaseous Systems

So far we have expressed equilibrium constant of the reactions in terms of molar concentration of the reactants and products, and used symbol, $K_{c}$ for it. For reactions involving gases, however, it is usually more convenient to express the equilibrium constant in terms of partial pressure.

The ideal gas equation is written as,
$p V=n \mathrm{R} T$
$\Rightarrow \quad p=\frac{n}{V} \mathrm{R} T$
Here, $p$ is the pressure in $\mathrm{Pa}, n$ is the number of moles of the gas, $V$ is the volume in $m^{3}$ and $T$ is the temperature in Kelvin

Therefore,
$n / V$ is concentration expressed in $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
If concentration c , is in $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ or $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$, and $p$ is in bar then
$p=c \mathrm{R} T$,
We can also write $p=$ [gas]RT.
Here, R=0.0831 bar litre $/ \mathrm{mol}$ K
At constant temperature, the pressure of the gas is proportional to its concentration i.e., $p \propto$ [gas]

For reaction in equilibrium
$\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})$
We can write either

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{[\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{~g})]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]} \\
& \text { or } K_{c}=\frac{\left(p_{\mathrm{HI}}\right)^{2}}{\left(p_{H_{2}}\right)\left(p_{I_{2}}\right)} \tag{7.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, since $p_{\mathrm{HI}}=[\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})] \mathrm{R} T$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{\mathrm{I}_{2}} & =\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right] \mathrm{R} T \\
p_{\mathrm{H}_{2}} & =\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right] \mathrm{R} T
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{p}= & \frac{\left(p_{\mathrm{HI}^{2}}\right)^{2}}{\left(p_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}\right)\left(p_{\mathrm{I}_{2}}\right)}=\frac{[\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{~g})]^{2}[\mathrm{R} T]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right] \mathrm{R} T \cdot\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right] \mathrm{R} T} \\
& =\frac{[\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{~g})]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]}=K_{c} \tag{7.13}
\end{align*}
$$

In this example, $K_{p}=K_{c}$ i.e., both equilibrium constants are equal. However, this is not always the case. For example in reaction $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{p} & =\frac{\left(p_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}\right)^{2}}{\left(p_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}\right)\left(p_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}\right)^{3}} \\
& =\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})\right]^{2}[\mathrm{R} T]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right] \mathrm{R} T \cdot\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]^{3}(\mathrm{R} T)^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})\right]^{2}[\mathrm{R} T]^{-2}}{\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]^{3}}=K_{c}(\mathrm{R} T)^{-2} \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $K_{p}=K_{c}(\mathrm{R} T)^{-2}$
Similarly, for a general reaction

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a} \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{b} \mathrm{~B} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{c} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{d} \mathrm{D} \\
& \begin{aligned}
K_{p} & =\frac{\left(p_{C}^{c}\right)\left(p_{D}^{d}\right)}{\left(p_{A}^{a}\right)\left(p_{B}^{b}\right)}=\frac{[\mathrm{C}]^{c}[\mathrm{D}]^{d}(\mathrm{R} T)^{(c+d)}}{[\mathrm{A}]^{a}[\mathrm{~B}]^{b}(\mathrm{R} T)^{(a+b)}} \\
& =\frac{[\mathrm{C}]^{c}[\mathrm{D}]^{d}}{[\mathrm{~A}]^{a}[\mathrm{~B}]^{b}}(\mathrm{R} T)^{(c+d)-(a+b)} \\
& =\frac{[\mathrm{C}]^{c}[\mathrm{D}]^{d}}{[\mathrm{~A}]^{a}[\mathrm{~B}]^{b}}(\mathrm{R} T)^{\Delta n}=K_{c}(\mathrm{R} T)^{\Delta n}
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta n=$ (number of moles of gaseous products) - (number of moles of gaseous reactants) in the balanced chemical equation.
It is necessary that while calculating the value of $K_{p}$, pressure should be expressed in bar because standard state for pressure is 1 bar. We know from Unit 1 that :

1 pascal, $\mathrm{Pa}=1 \mathrm{Nm}^{-2}$, and $1 \mathrm{bar}=10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}$
$K_{p}$ values for a few selected reactions at different temperatures are given in Table 7.5

Table 7.5 Equilibrium Constants, $K_{p}$ for a Few Selected Reactions

| Reaction | Temperature/K | $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \leftrightharpoons 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 298 | $6.8 \times 10^{5}$ |
|  | 400 | 41 |
| $2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \leftrightharpoons 2 \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 500 | $3.6 \times 10^{-2}$ |
|  | 298 | $4.0 \times 10^{24}$ |
|  | 500 | $2.5 \times 10^{10}$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \leftrightharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 700 | $3.0 \times 10^{4}$ |
|  | 298 | 0.98 |
|  | 400 | 47.9 |
|  | 500 | 1700 |

## Problem 7.3

$\mathrm{PCl}_{5}, \mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ are at equilibrium at 500 K and having concentration 1.59 M $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}, 1.59 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $1.41 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{PCl}_{5}$.

Calculate $K_{c}$ for the reaction,

$$
\mathrm{PCl}_{5} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{PCl}_{3}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}
$$

## Solution

The equilibrium constant $K_{c}$ for the above reaction can be written as,

$$
K_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{PCl}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{PCl}_{5}\right]}=\frac{(1.59)^{2}}{(1.41)}=1.79
$$

## Problem 7.4

The value of $K_{c}=4.24$ at 800 K for the reaction, $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
Calculate equilibrium concentrations of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$, CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at 800 K , if only CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are present initially at concentrations of 0.10 M each.

## Solution

For the reaction,
$\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ Initial concentration:
$0.1 \mathrm{M} \quad 0.1 \mathrm{M} \quad 0$
0
Let x mole per litre of each of the product be formed.
At equilibrium:
(0.1-x) M
(0.1-x) M
x M
x M
where $x$ is the amount of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ at equilibrium.
Hence, equilibrium constant can be written as,
$K_{c}=\mathrm{x}^{2} /(0.1-\mathrm{x})^{2}=4.24$
$\mathrm{x}^{2}=4.24\left(0.01+\mathrm{x}^{2}-0.2 \mathrm{x}\right)$
$\mathrm{x}^{2}=0.0424+4.24 \mathrm{x}^{2}-0.848 \mathrm{x}$
$3.24 x^{2}-0.848 x+0.0424=0$
$\mathrm{a}=3.24, \mathrm{~b}=-0.848, \mathrm{c}=0.0424$
(for quadratic equation $\mathrm{ax}^{2}+\mathrm{bx}+\mathrm{c}=0$,
$\mathrm{x}=\frac{\left(-\mathrm{b} \pm \sqrt{\mathrm{b}^{2}-4 \mathrm{ac}}\right)}{2 \mathrm{a}}$
$x=0.848 \pm \sqrt{ }(0.848)^{2}-4(3.24)(0.0424) /$
(3.24×2)
$x=(0.848 \pm 0.4118) / 6.48$
$\mathrm{x}_{1}=(0.848-0.4118) / 6.48=0.067$
$\mathrm{x}_{2}=(0.848+0.4118) / 6.48=0.194$
the value 0.194 should be neglected because it will give concentration of the reactant which is more than initial concentration.
Hence the equilibrium concentrations are,
$\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]=\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]=\mathrm{x}=0.067 \mathrm{M}$
$[\mathrm{CO}]=\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]=0.1-0.067=0.033 \mathrm{M}$

## Problem 7.5

For the equilibrium,
$2 \mathrm{NOCl}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
the value of the equilibrium constant, $K_{c}$ is $3.75 \times 10^{-6}$ at 1069 K . Calculate the $K_{p}^{c}$ for the reaction at this temperature?

## Solution

We know that,

$$
K_{p}=K_{c}(\mathrm{R} T)^{\Delta n}
$$

For the above reaction,
$\Delta n=(2+1)-2=1$
$K_{p}=3.75 \times 10^{-6}(0.0831 \times 1069)$
$K_{p}=0.033$

### 7.5 HETEROGENEOUS EQUILIBRIA

Equilibrium in a system having more than one phase is called heterogeneous equilibrium. The equilibrium between water vapour and liquid water in a closed container is an example of heterogeneous equilibrium.

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

In this example, there is a gas phase and a liquid phase. In the same way, equilibrium between a solid and its saturated solution,
$\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ is a heterogeneous equilibrium.

Heterogeneous equilibria often involve pure solids or liquids. We can simplify equilibrium expressions for the heterogeneous equilibria involving a pure liquid or a pure solid, as the molar concentration of a pure solid or liquid is constant (i.e., independent of the amount present). In other words if a substance ' $X$ ' is involved, then [ $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{s})]$ and $[\mathrm{X}(1)]$ are constant, whatever the amount of ' X ' is taken. Contrary
to this, $[\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{g})]$ and $[\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{aq})]$ will vary as the amount of X in a given volume varies. Let us take thermal dissociation of calcium carbonate which is an interesting and important example of heterogeneous chemical equilibrium.
$\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \stackrel{\Delta}{\rightleftharpoons} \mathrm{CaO}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
On the basis of the stoichiometric equation, we can write,

$$
K_{c}=\frac{[\mathrm{CaO}(\mathrm{~s})]\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]}{\left[\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})\right]}
$$

Since $\left[\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})\right]$ and $[\mathrm{CaO}(\mathrm{s})]$ are both constant, therefore modified equilibrium constant for the thermal decomposition of calcium carbonate will be

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{c}^{\prime}=\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]  \tag{7.17}\\
& \text { or } K_{p}=p_{C O_{2}} \tag{7.18}
\end{align*}
$$

## Units of Equilibrium Constant

The value of equilibrium constant $K_{\mathrm{c}}$ can be calculated by substituting the concentration terms in $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ and for $K_{p}$ partial pressure is substituted in $\mathrm{Pa}, \mathrm{kPa}$, bar or atm. This results in units of equilibrium constant based on molarity or pressure, unless the exponents of both the numerator and denominator are same. For the reactions,
$\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{HI}, K_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $K_{p}$ have no unit. $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}), K_{\mathrm{c}}$ has unit mol/L and $K_{p}$ has unit bar
Equilibrium constants can also be expressed as dimensionless quantities if the standard state of reactants and products are specified. For a pure gas, the standard state is lbar. Therefore a pressure of 4 bar in standard state can be expressed as 4 bar/l bar $=4$, which is a dimensionless number. Standard state ( $\mathrm{c}_{0}$ ) for a solute is 1 molar solution and all concentrations can be measured with respect to it. The numerical value of equilibrium constant depends on the standard state chosen. Thus, in this system both $K_{p}$ and $K_{\mathrm{c}}$ are dimensionless quantities but have different numerical values due to different standard states.

This shows that at a particular temperature, there is a constant concentration or pressure of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in equilibrium with $\mathrm{CaO}(\mathrm{s})$ and $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$. Experimentally it has been found that at 1100 K , the pressure of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in equilibrium with $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ and CaO (s), is $2.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}$. Therefore, equilibrium constant at 1100 K for the above reaction is:
$K_{p}=P_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=2 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa} / 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}=2.00$
Similarly, in the equilibrium between nickel, carbon monoxide and nickel carbonyl (used in the purification of nickel),

$$
\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{~s})+4 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

the equilibrium constant is written as

$$
K_{c}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]}{[\mathrm{CO}]^{4}}
$$

It must be remembered that for the existence of heterogeneous equilibrium pure solids or liquids must also be present (however small the amount may be) at equilibrium, but their concentrations or partial pressures do not appear in the expression of the equilibrium constant. In the reaction,
$\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
$K_{c}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right]^{2}}$

## Problem 7.6

The value of $K_{p}$ for the reaction,
$\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{s}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})$
is 3.0 at 1000 K . If initially $P_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=0.48 \mathrm{bar}$ and $P_{C O}=0$ bar and pure graphite is present, calculate the equilibrium partial pressures of CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

## Solution

For the reaction,
let ' $x$ ' be the decrease in pressure of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, then

$$
\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

Initial
pressure: 0.48 bar
0

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { At equilibrium: } \\
& (0.48-\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{bar} \quad 2 \mathrm{x} \text { bar } \\
& K_{p}=\frac{p_{C O}^{2}}{p_{C O_{2}}} \\
& K_{p}=(2 \mathrm{x})^{2} /(0.48-\mathrm{x})=3 \\
& 4 \mathrm{x}^{2}=3(0.48-\mathrm{x}) \\
& 4 \mathrm{x}^{2}=1.44-\mathrm{x} \\
& 4 \mathrm{x}^{2}+3 \mathrm{x}-1.44=0 \\
& \mathrm{a}=4, \mathrm{~b}=3, \mathrm{c}=-1.44 \\
& \mathrm{x}=\frac{\left(-\mathrm{b} \pm \sqrt{\mathrm{b}^{2}-4 \mathrm{ac}}\right)}{2 \mathrm{a}} \\
& =\left[-3 \pm \sqrt{ }(3)^{2}-4(4)(-1.44)\right] / 2 \times 4 \\
& =(-3 \pm 5.66) / 8 \\
& =(-3+5.66) / 8(\mathrm{as} \text { value of } \mathrm{x} \text { cannot be } \\
& \text { negative hence we neglect that value }) \\
& \mathrm{x}=2.66 / 8=0.33 \\
& \text { The equilibrium partial pressures are, } \\
& p_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=2 \mathrm{x}=2 \times 0.33=0.66 \text { bar } \\
& p_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=0.48-\mathrm{x}=0.48-0.33=0.15 \text { bar }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.6 APPLICATIONS OF EQUILIBRIUM CONSTANTS

Before considering the applications of equilibrium constants, let us summarise the important features of equilibrium constants as follows:

1. Expression for equilibrium constant is applicable only when concentrations of the reactants and products have attained constant value at equilibrium state.
2. The value of equilibrium constant is independent of initial concentrations of the reactants and products.
3. Equilibrium constant is temperature dependent having one unique value for a particular reaction represented by a balanced equation at a given temperature.
4. The equilibrium constant for the reverse reaction is equal to the inverse of the equilibrium constant for the forward reaction.
5. The equilibrium constant $K$ for a reaction is related to the equilibrium constant of the corresponding reaction, whose equation is obtained by multiplying or dividing the equation for the original reaction by a small integer.
Let us consider applications of equilibrium constant to:

- predict the extent of a reaction on the basis of its magnitude,
- predict the direction of the reaction, and
- calculate equilibrium concentrations.


### 7.6.1 Predicting the Extent of a Reaction

 The numerical value of the equilibrium constant for a reaction indicates the extent of the reaction. But it is important to note that an equilibrium constant does not give any information about the rate at which the equilibrium is reached. The magnitude of $K_{c}$ or $K_{p}$ is directly proportional to the concentrations of products (as these appear in the numerator of equilibrium constant expression) and inversely proportional to the concentrations of the reactants (these appear in the denominator). This implies that a high value of $K$ is suggestive of a high concentration of products and vice-versa.We can make the following generalisations concerning the composition of equilibrium mixtures:

- If $K_{\mathrm{c}}>10^{3}$, products predominate over reactants, i.e., if $K_{c}$ is very large, the reaction proceeds nearly to completion. Consider the following examples:
(a) The reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ at 500 K has a very large equilibrium constant, $K_{\mathrm{c}}=2.4 \times 10^{47}$.
(b) $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g})$ at 300 K has $K_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}=4.0 \times 10^{31}$.
(c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{HBr}(\mathrm{g})$ at 300 K , $K_{c}=5.4 \times 10^{18}$
- If $K_{c}<10^{-3}$, reactants predominate over products, i.e., if $K_{c}$ is very small, the reaction proceeds rarely. Consider the following examples:
(a) The decomposition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ into $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ at 500 K has a very small equilibrium constant, $K_{c}=4.1 \times 10^{-48}$
(b) $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})$, at 298 K has $K_{c}=4.8 \times 10^{-31}$.
- If $K_{c}$ is in the range of $10^{-3}$ to $10^{3}$, appreciable concentrations of both reactants and products are present. Consider the following examples:
(a) For reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ to give HI, $K_{c}=57.0$ at 700 K .
(b) Also, gas phase decomposition of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ to $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ is another reaction with a value of $K_{c}=4.64 \times 10^{-3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ which is neither too small nor too large. Hence, equilibrium mixtures contain appreciable concentrations of both $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$.
These generarlisations are illustrated in Fig. 7.6


Fig.7.6 Dependence of extent of reaction on $K_{c}$

### 7.6.2 Predicting the Direction of the Reaction

The equilibrium constant helps in predicting the direction in which a given reaction will proceed at any stage. For this purpose, we calculate the reaction quotient $\boldsymbol{Q}$. The reaction quotient, $Q$ ( $Q_{c}$ with molar concentrations and $Q_{P}$ with partial pressures) is defined in the same way as the equilibrium constant $K_{c}$ except that the concentrations in $Q_{c}$ are not necessarily equilibrium values. For a general reaction:
$\mathrm{a} \mathrm{A}+\mathrm{bB} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{cC}+\mathrm{dD}$
$\mathcal{B}_{c}=[\mathrm{C}]^{\mathrm{c}}[\mathrm{D}]^{\mathrm{d}} /[\mathrm{A}]^{\mathrm{a}}[\mathrm{B}]^{\mathrm{b}}$
Then,
If $Q_{c}>K_{c}$, the reaction will proceed in the direction of reactants (reverse reaction).

If $Q_{c}<K_{c}$, the reaction will proceed in the direction of the products (forward reaction).

If $Q_{c}=K_{c}$, the reaction mixture is already at equilibrium.

Consider the gaseous reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{I}_{2}$,
$\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g}) ; K_{c}=57.0$ at 700 K.
Suppose we have molar concentrations $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{t}}=0.10 \mathrm{M},\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{t}}=0.20 \mathrm{M}$ and $[\mathrm{HI}]_{\mathrm{t}}=0.40 \mathrm{M}$. (the subscript t on the concentration symbols means that the concentrations were measured at some arbitrary time $t$, not necessarily at equilibrium).

Thus, the reaction quotient, $Q_{c}$ at this stage of the reaction is given by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{c}=[\mathrm{HI}]_{\mathrm{t}}^{2} /\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{t}}\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{t}} & =(0.40)^{2} /(0.10) \times(0.20) \\
& =8.0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, in this case, $Q_{c}$ (8.0) does not equal $K_{c}(57.0)$, so the mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}), \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})$ is not at equilibrium; that is, more $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ will react to form more $\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})$ and their concentrations will decrease till $Q_{c}=K_{c}$.

The reaction quotient, $\mathcal{Q}_{c}$ is useful in predicting the direction of reaction by comparing the values of $Q_{c}$ and $K_{c}$.

Thus, we can make the following generalisations concerning the direction of the reaction (Fig. 7.7) :


Fig. 7.7 Predicting the direction of the reaction

- If $Q_{\mathrm{c}}<K_{c}$, net reaction goes from left to right
- If $Q_{c}>K_{c}$, net reaction goes from right to left.
- If $Q_{c}=K_{c}$, no net reaction occurs.


## Problem 7.7

The value of $K_{c}$ for the reaction
$2 \mathrm{~A} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{C}$ is $2 \times 10^{-3}$. At a given time, the composition of reaction mixture is $[\mathrm{A}]=[\mathrm{B}]=[\mathrm{C}]=3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$. In which direction the reaction will proceed?

## Solution

For the reaction the reaction quotient $Q_{c}$ is given by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{c}=[\mathrm{B}][\mathrm{C}] /[\mathrm{A}]^{2} \\
& \text { as }[\mathrm{A}]=[\mathrm{B}]=[\mathrm{C}]=3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} \\
& Q_{c}=\left(3 \times 10^{-4}\right)\left(3 \times 10^{-4}\right) /\left(3 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{2}=1 \\
& \text { as } Q_{c}>K_{c} \text { so the reaction will proceed in } \\
& \text { the reverse direction. }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.6.3 Calculating Equilibrium Concentrations

In case of a problem in which we know the initial concentrations but do not know any of the equilibrium concentrations, the following three steps shall be followed:
Step 1. Write the balanced equation for the reaction.
Step 2. Under the balanced equation, make a table that lists for each substance involved in the reaction:
(a) the initial concentration,
(b) the change in concentration on going to equilibrium, and
(c) the equilibrium concentration.

In constructing the table, define $x$ as the concentration ( $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) of one of the substances that reacts on going to equilibrium, then use the stoichiometry of the reaction to determine the concentrations of the other substances in terms of $\mathbf{x}$.
Step 3. Substitute the equilibrium concentrations into the equilibrium equation for the reaction and solve for $x$. If you are to solve a quadratic equation choose the mathematical solution that makes chemical sense.
Step 4. Calculate the equilibrium concentrations from the calculated value of $x$.
Step 5. Check your results by substituting them into the equilibrium equation.

## Problem 7.8

13.8 g of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ was placed in a 1 L reaction vessel at 400 K and allowed to attain equilibrium
$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$

The total pressure at equilbrium was found to be 9.15 bar. Calculate $K_{c}, K_{p}$ and partial pressure at equilibrium.

## Solution

We know $p V=n R T$
Total volume $(V)=1 \mathrm{~L}$
Molecular mass of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}=92 \mathrm{~g}$
Number of moles $=13.8 \mathrm{~g} / 92 \mathrm{~g}=0.15$
of the gas ( $n$ )
Gas constant $(\mathrm{R})=0.083$ bar $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
Temperature $(T)=400 \mathrm{~K}$
$p V=n \mathrm{R} T$
$p \times 1 \mathrm{~L}=0.15 \mathrm{~mol} \times 0.083$ bar $_{\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}} \mathrm{m}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ $\times 400 \mathrm{~K}$
$p=4.98 \mathrm{bar}$

$$
\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}
$$

Initial pressure: 4.98 bar 0
At equilibrium: $(4.98-x)$ bar $2 x$ bar Hence,
$p_{\text {total }}$ at equilibrium $=p_{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}+p_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}$
$9.15=(4.98-x)+2 x$
$9.15=4.98+x$
$x=9.15-4.98=4.17$ bar
Partial pressures at equilibrium are,
$p_{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}=4.98-4.17=0.81 \mathrm{bar}$
$p_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}=2 \mathrm{x}=2 \times 4.17=8.34 \mathrm{bar}$
$K_{p}=\left(p_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}\right)^{2} / p_{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}$
$=(8.34)^{2} / 0.81=85.87$
$K_{p}=K_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{R} T)^{\Delta n}$
$85.87=K_{c}(0.083 \times 400)^{1}$
$K_{c}=2.586=2.6$

## Problem 7.9

3.00 mol of $\mathrm{PCl}_{5} \mathrm{kept}$ in 1 L closed reaction vessel was allowed to attain equilibrium at 380 K . Calculate composition of the mixture at equilibrium. $K_{c}=1.80$

## Solution

$$
\mathrm{PCl}_{5} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{PCl}_{3}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}
$$

Initial
concentration: $3.0 \quad 0$

Let x mol per litre of $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ be dissociated, At equilibrium:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad(3-\mathrm{x}) \\
& K_{c}=\left[\mathrm{PCl}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] /\left[\mathrm{PCl}_{5}\right] \\
& 1.8=\mathrm{x}^{2} /(3-\mathrm{x}) \\
& \mathrm{x}^{2}+1.8 \mathrm{x}-5.4=0 \\
& \mathrm{x}=\left[-1.8 \pm \sqrt{ }(1.8)^{2}-4(-5.4)\right] / 2 \\
& \mathrm{x}=[-1.8 \pm \sqrt{ } 3.24+21.6] / 2 \\
& \mathrm{x}=[-1.8 \pm 4.98] / 2 \\
& \mathrm{x}=[-1.8+4.98] / 2=1.59 \\
& {\left[\mathrm{PCl}_{5}\right]=3.0-\mathrm{x}=3-1.59=1.41 \mathrm{M}} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{PCl}_{3}\right]=\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]=\mathrm{x}=1.59 \mathrm{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.7 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN

 EQUILIBRIUM CONSTANT K, REACTION QUOTIENT $Q$ AND GIBBS ENERGY GThe value of $K_{c}$ for a reaction does not depend on the rate of the reaction. However, as you have studied in Unit 6, it is directly related to the thermodynamics of the reaction and in particular, to the change in Gibbs energy, $\Delta G$. If,

- $\Delta G$ is negative, then the reaction is spontaneous and proceeds in the forward direction.
- $\Delta G$ is positive, then reaction is considered non-spontaneous. Instead, as reverse reaction would have a negative $\Delta G$, the products of the forward reaction shall be converted to the reactants.
- $\Delta G$ is 0 , reaction has achieved equilibrium; at this point, there is no longer any free energy left to drive the reaction.
A mathematical expression of this thermodynamic view of equilibrium can be described by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G=\Delta G^{\ominus}+\mathrm{RT} \ln Q \tag{7.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $G^{\ominus}$ is standard Gibbs energy.
At equilibrium, when $\Delta G=0$ and $Q=K_{c}$, the equation (7.21) becomes,
$\Delta G=\Delta G^{\ominus}+\mathrm{R} T \ln K=0$
$\Delta G^{\ominus}=-\mathrm{R} T \ln K$
$\ln K=-\Delta G^{\ominus} / \mathrm{R} T$
Taking antilog of both sides, we get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\mathrm{e}^{-\Delta G \ominus / \mathrm{RT}} \tag{7.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, using the equation (7.23), the reaction spontaneity can be interpreted in terms of the value of $\Delta G^{\ominus}$.

- If $\Delta G^{\ominus}<0$, then $-\Delta G^{\ominus} / \mathrm{R} T$ is positive, and $\mathrm{e}^{-\Delta \mathrm{DG}^{\ominus} / \mathrm{RT}}>1$, making $K>1$, which implies a spontaneous reaction or the reaction which proceeds in the forward direction to such an extent that the products are present predominantly.
- If $\Delta G^{\ominus}>0$, then $-\Delta G^{\ominus} / \mathrm{R} T$ is negative, and $\mathrm{e}^{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ominus} / \mathrm{RT}}<1$, that is,$K<1$, which implies a non-spontaneous reaction or a reaction which proceeds in the forward direction to such a small degree that only a very minute quantity of product is formed.


## Problem 7.10

The value of $\Delta G^{\ominus}$ for the phosphorylation of glucose in glycolysis is $13.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$. Find the value of $K_{c}$ at 298 K.

## Solution

$\Delta G^{\ominus}=13.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}=13.8 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}$
Also, $\Delta G^{\ominus}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln K_{c}$
Hence, $\ln K_{c}=-13.8 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}$

$$
{ }^{c}\left(8.314 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)
$$

$\ln K_{\mathrm{c}}=-5.569$
$K_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{e}^{-5.569}$
$K_{\mathrm{c}}=3.81 \times 10^{-3}$

## Problem 7.11

Hydrolysis of sucrose gives,
Sucrose $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons$ Glucose + Fructose
Equilibrium constant $K_{c}$ for the reaction is $2 \times 10^{13}$ at 300 K . Calculate $\Delta G^{\ominus}$ at 300K.

## Solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta G^{\ominus}=-\mathrm{R} T \ln K_{c} \\
& \Delta G^{\ominus}=-8.314 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1 \times} \times 300 \mathrm{~K} \times \ln \left(2 \times 10^{13}\right) \\
& \Delta G^{\ominus}=-7.64 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.8 FACTORS AFFECTING EQUILIBRIA

One of the principal goals of chemical synthesis is to maximise the conversion of the reactants
to products while minimizing the expenditure of energy. This implies maximum yield of products at mild temperature and pressure conditions. If it does not happen, then the experimental conditions need to be adjusted. For example, in the Haber process for the synthesis of ammonia from $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, the choice of experimental conditions is of real economic importance. Annual world production of ammonia is about hundred million tones, primarily for use as fertilizers.

Equilibrium constant, $K_{c}$ is independent of initial concentrations. But if a system at equilibrium is subjected to a change in the concentration of one or more of the reacting substances, then the system is no longer at equilibrium; and net reaction takes place in some direction until the system returns to equilibrium once again. Similarly, a change in temperature or pressure of the system may also alter the equilibrium. In order to decide what course the reaction adopts and make a qualitative prediction about the effect of a change in conditions on equilibrium we use
Le Chatelier's principle. It states that a change in any of the factors that determine the equilibrium conditions of a system will cause the system to change in such a manner so as to reduce or to counteract the effect of the change. This is applicable to all physical and chemical equilibria.

We shall now be discussing factors which can influence the equilibrium.

### 7.8.1 Effect of Concentration Change

In general, when equilibrium is disturbed by the addition/removal of any reactant/ products, Le Chatelier's principle predicts that:

- The concentration stress of an added reactant/product is relieved by net reaction in the direction that consumes the added substance.
- The concentration stress of a removed reactant/product is relieved by net reaction in the direction that replenishes the removed substance.
or in other words,
"When the concentration of any of the reactants or products in a reaction at equilibrium is changed, the composition of the equilibrium mixture changes so as to minimize the effect of concentration changes".
Let us take the reaction,
$\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})$
If $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is added to the reaction mixture at equilibrium, then the equilibrium of the reaction is disturbed. In order to restore it, the reaction proceeds in a direction wherein $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is consumed, i.e., more of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ react to form HI and finally the equilibrium shifts in right (forward) direction (Fig.7.8). This is in accordance with the Le Chatelier's principle which implies that in case of addition of a reactant/product, a new equilibrium will be set up in which the concentration of the reactant/product should be less than what it was after the addition but more than what it was in the original mixture.


Fig. 7.8 Effect of addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ on change of concentration for the reactants and products in the reaction, $\mathrm{H}_{2}(g)+I_{2}(g) \rightleftharpoons 2 H I(g)$

The same point can be explained in terms of the reaction quotient, $\Theta_{c}$,

$$
Q_{c}=[\mathrm{HI}]^{2} /\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]
$$

Addition of hydrogen at equilibrium results in value of $Q_{c}$ being less than $K_{c}$. Thus, in order to attain equilibrium again reaction moves in the forward direction. Similarly, we can say that removal of a product also boosts the forward reaction and increases the concentration of the products and this has great commercial application in cases of reactions, where the product is a gas or a volatile substance. In case of manufacture of ammonia, ammonia is liquified and removed from the reaction mixture so that reaction keeps moving in forward direction. Similarly, in the large scale production of CaO (used as important building material) from $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$, constant removal of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from the kiln drives the reaction to completion. It should be remembered that continuous removal of a product maintains $Q_{c}$ at a value less than $K_{c}$ and reaction continues to move in the forward direction.
Effect of Concentration - An experiment
This can be demonstrated by the following reaction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SCN}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{SCN})]^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \tag{7.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

yellow colourless deep red
$K_{c}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{SCN})^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})\right]\left[\mathrm{SCN}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})\right]}$
A reddish colour appears on adding two drops of 0.002 M potassium thiocynate solution to 1 mL of 0.2 M iron(III) nitrate solution due to the formation of $[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{SCN})]^{2+}$. The intensity of the red colour becomes constant on attaining equilibrium. This equilibrium can be shifted in either forward or reverse directions depending on our choice of adding a reactant or a product. The equilibrium can be shifted in the opposite direction by adding reagents that remove $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ or $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$ions. For example, oxalic acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$, reacts with $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ ions to form the stable complex ion $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3}\right]^{3-}$, thus decreasing the concentration of free $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})$. In accordance with the Le Chatelier's principle, the concentration stress of removed $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ is relieved by dissociation of $[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{SCN})]^{2+}$ to
replenish the $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ ions. Because the concentration of $[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{SCN})]^{2+}$ decreases, the intensity of red colour decreases.

Addition of aq. $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ also decreases red colour because $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ reacts with $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$ions to form stable complex ion $\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SCN})_{4} 1^{2-}\right.$. Removal of free $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$(aq) shifts the equilibrium in equation (7.24) from right to left to replenish $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$ions. Addition of potassium thiocyanate on the other hand increases the colour intensity of the solution as it shift the equilibrium to right.

### 7.8.2 Effect of Pressure Change

A pressure change obtained by changing the volume can affect the yield of products in case of a gaseous reaction where the total number of moles of gaseous reactants and total number of moles of gaseous products are different. In applying Le Chatelier's principle to a heterogeneous equilibrium the effect of pressure changes on solids and liquids can be ignored because the volume (and concentration) of a solution/liquid is nearly independent of pressure.

Consider the reaction,

$$
\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

Here, 4 mol of gaseous reactants $\left(\mathrm{CO}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ become 2 mol of gaseous products $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right.$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). Suppose equilibrium mixture (for above reaction) kept in a cylinder fitted with a piston at constant temperature is compressed to one half of its original volume. Then, total pressure will be doubled (according to $p V=$ constant). The partial pressure and therefore, concentration of reactants and products have changed and the mixture is no longer at equilibrium. The direction in which the reaction goes to re-establish equilibrium can be predicted by applying the Le Chatelier's principle. Since pressure has doubled, the equilibrium now shifts in the forward direction, a direction in which the number of moles of the gas or pressure decreases (we know pressure is proportional to moles of the gas). This can also be understood by using reaction quotient, $Q_{c}$. Let $[\mathrm{CO}],\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right],\left[\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right]$ and [ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ] be the molar concentrations at equilibrium for methanation reaction. When
volume of the reaction mixture is halved, the partial pressure and the concentration are doubled. We obtain the reaction quotient by replacing each equilibrium concentration by double its value.

$$
\Theta_{c}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g})\right]}{[\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g})]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]^{3}}
$$

As $Q_{c}<K_{c}$, the reaction proceeds in the forward direction.

In reaction $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad 2 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})$, when pressure is increased, the reaction goes in the reverse direction because the number of moles of gas increases in the forward direction.

### 7.8.3 Effect of Inert Gas Addition

If the volume is kept constant and an inert gas such as argon is added which does not take part in the reaction, the equilibrium remains undisturbed. It is because the addition of an inert gas at constant volume does not change the partial pressures or the molar concentrations of the substance involved in the reaction. The reaction quotient changes only if the added gas is a reactant or product involved in the reaction.

### 7.8.4 Effect of Temperature Change

Whenever an equilibrium is disturbed by a change in the concentration, pressure or volume, the composition of the equilibrium mixture changes because the reaction quotient, $\Theta_{\mathrm{c}}$ no longer equals the equilibrium constant, $K_{c}$. However, when a change in temperature occurs, the value of equilibrium constant, $K_{c}$ is changed.

In general, the temperature dependence of the equilibrium constant depends on the sign of $\Delta H$ for the reaction.

- The equilibrium constant for an exothermic reaction (negative $\Delta H$ ) decreases as the temperature increases.
- The equilibrium constant for an endothermic reaction (positive $\Delta H$ ) increases as the temperature increases.
Temperature changes affect the equilibrium constant and rates of reactions.

Production of ammonia according to the reaction,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \\
& \Delta H=-92.38 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

is an exothermic process. According to Le Chatelier's principle, raising the temperature shifts the equilibrium to left and decreases the equilibrium concentration of ammonia. In other words, low temperature is favourable for high yield of ammonia, but practically very low temperatures slow down the reaction and thus a catalyst is used.

## Effect of Temperature - An experiment

Effect of temperature on equilibrium can be demonstrated by taking $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ gas (brown in colour) which dimerises into $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ gas (colourless).

$$
2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \Delta H=-57.2 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

$\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ gas prepared by addition of Cu turnings to conc. $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ is collected in two 5 mL test tubes (ensuring same intensity of colour of gas in each tube) and stopper sealed with araldite. Three 250 mL beakers 1, 2 and 3 containing freezing mixture, water at room temperature and hot water (363K), respectively, are taken (Fig. 7.9). Both the test tubes are placed in beaker 2 for 8-10 minutes. After this one is placed in beaker 1 and the other in beaker 3 . The effect of temperature on direction of reaction is depicted very well in this experiment. At low temperatures in beaker 1, the forward reaction of formation of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ is preferred, as reaction is exothermic, and thus, intensity of brown colour due to $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ decreases. While in beaker 3, high temperature favours the reverse reaction of


Fig. 7.9 Effect of temperature on equilibrium for the reaction, $2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})$
formation of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ and thus, the brown colour intensifies.

Effect of temperature can also be seen in an endothermic reaction,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+4 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons\left[\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}\right]^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+} \\
& \text { pink } \quad \text { colourless } \quad \text { blue }
\end{aligned}
$$

At room temperature, the equilibrium mixture is blue due to $\left[\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}\right]^{2-}$. When cooled in a freezing mixture, the colour of the mixture turns pink due to $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$.

### 7.8.5 Effect of a Catalyst

A catalyst increases the rate of the chemical reaction by making available a new low energy pathway for the conversion of reactants to products. It increases the rate of forward and reverse reactions that pass through the same transition state and does not affect equilibrium. Catalyst lowers the activation energy for the forward and reverse reactions by exactly the same amount. Catalyst does not affect the equilibrium composition of a reaction mixture. It does not appear in the balanced chemical equation or in the equilibrium constant expression.

Let us consider the formation of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ from dinitrogen and dihydrogen which is highly exothermic reaction and proceeds with decrease in total number of moles formed as compared to the reactants. Equilibrium constant decreases with increase in temperature. At low temperature rate decreases and it takes long time to reach at equilibrium, whereas high temperatures give satisfactory rates but poor yields.

German chemist, Fritz Haber discovered that a catalyst consisting of iron catalyse the reaction to occur at a satisfactory rate at temperatures, where the equilibrium concentration of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is reasonably favourable. Since the number of moles formed in the reaction is less than those of reactants, the yield of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ can be improved by increasing the pressure.

Optimum conditions of temperature and pressure for the synthesis of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ using catalyst are around $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 200 atm .

Similarly, in manufacture of sulphuric acid by contact process,
$2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) ; K_{c}=1.7 \times 10^{26}$
though the value of $K$ is suggestive of reaction going to completion, but practically the oxidation of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ is very slow. Thus, platinum or divanadium penta-oxide $\left(\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ is used as catalyst to increase the rate of the reaction.
Note: If a reaction has an exceedingly small $K$, a catalyst would be of little help.

### 7.9 IONIC EQUILIBRIUM IN SOLUTION

Under the effect of change of concentration on the direction of equilibrium, you have incidently come across with the following equilibrium which involves ions:

## $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SCN}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{SCN})]^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$

There are numerous equilibria that involve ions only. In the following sections we will study the equilibria involving ions. It is well known that the aqueous solution of sugar does not conduct electricity. However, when common salt (sodium chloride) is added to water it conducts electricity. Also, the conductance of electricity increases with an increase in concentration of common salt. Michael Faraday classified the substances into two categories based on their ability to conduct electricity. One category of substances conduct electricity in their aqueous solutions and are called electrolytes while the other do not and are thus, referred to as nonelectrolytes. Faraday further classified electrolytes into strong and weak electrolytes. Strong electrolytes on dissolution in water are ionized almost completely, while the weak electrolytes are only partially dissociated. For example, an aqueous solution of sodium chloride is comprised entirely of sodium ions and chloride ions, while that of acetic acid mainly contains unionized acetic acid molecules and only some acetate ions and hydronium ions. This is because there is almost $100 \%$ ionization in case of sodium chloride as compared to less than $5 \%$ ionization of acetic acid which is a weak electrolyte. It should be noted that in weak electrolytes, equilibrium is
established between ions and the unionized molecules. This type of equilibrium involving ions in aqueous solution is called ionic equilibrium. Acids, bases and salts come under the category of electrolytes and may act as either strong or weak electrolytes.

### 7.10 ACIDS, BASES AND SALTS

Acids, bases and salts find widespread occurrence in nature. Hydrochloric acid present in the gastric juice is secreted by the lining of our stomach in a significant amount of 1.2-1.5 L/day and is essential for digestive processes. Acetic acid is known to be the main constituent of vinegar. Lemon and orange juices contain citric and ascorbic acids, and tartaric acid is found in tamarind paste. As most of the acids taste sour, the word "acid" has been derived from a latin word "acidus" meaning sour. Acids are known to turn blue litmus paper into red and liberate dihydrogen on reacting with some metals. Similarly, bases are known to turn red litmus paper blue, taste bitter and feel soapy. A common example of a base is washing soda used for washing purposes. When acids and bases are mixed in the right proportion they react with each other to give salts. Some commonly known examples of salts are sodium chloride, barium sulphate, sodium nitrate. Sodium chloride (common salt) is an important component of our diet and is formed by reaction between hydrochloric acid and sodium hydroxide. It
exists in solid state as a cluster of positively charged sodium ions and negatively charged chloride ions which are held together due to electrostatic interactions between oppositely charged species (Fig.7.10). The electrostatic forces between two charges are inversely proportional to dielectric constant of the medium. Water, a universal solvent, possesses a very high dielectric constant of 80 . Thus, when sodium chloride is dissolved in water, the electrostatic interactions are reduced by a factor of 80 and this facilitates the ions to move freely in the solution. Also, they are wellseparated due to hydration with water molecules.


Fig.7.10 Dissolution of sodium chloride in water. $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions are stablised by their hydration with polar water molecules.

Comparing, the ionization of hydrochloric acid with that of acetic acid in water we find that though both of them are polar covalent

Faraday was born near London into a family of very limited means. At the age of 14 he was an apprentice to a kind bookbinder who allowed Faraday to read the books he was binding. Through a fortunate chance he became laboratory assistant to Davy, and during 1813-4, Faraday accompanied him to the Continent. During this trip he gained much from the experience of coming into contact with many of the leading scientists of the time. In 1825, he succeeded Davy as Director of the Royal Institution laboratories, and in 1833 he also became the first Fullerian Professor of Chemistry. Faraday's first important work was on analytical chemistry. After 1821 much of his work was on


Michael Faraday (1791-1867) electricity and magnetism and different electromagnetic phenomena. His ideas have led to the establishment of modern field theory. He discovered his two laws of electrolysis in 1834. Faraday was a very modest and kind hearted person. He declined all honours and avoided scientific controversies. He preferred to work alone and never had any assistant. He disseminated science in a variety of ways including his Friday evening discourses, which he founded at the Royal Institution. He has been very famous for his Christmas lecture on the 'Chemical History of a Candle'. He published nearly 450 scientific papers.
molecules, former is completely ionized into its constituent ions, while the latter is only partially ionized ( $<5 \%$ ). The extent to which ionization occurs depends upon the strength of the bond and the extent of solvation of ions produced. The terms dissociation and ionization have earlier been used with different meaning. Dissociation refers to the process of separation of ions in water already existing as such in the solid state of the solute, as in sodium chloride. On the other hand, ionization corresponds to a process in which a neutral molecule splits into charged ions in the solution. Here, we shall not distinguish between the two and use the two terms interchangeably.

### 7.10.1 Arrhenius Concept of Acids and Bases

According to Arrhenius theory, acids are substances that dissociates in water to give hydrogen ions $H^{+}(a q)$ and bases are substances that produce hydroxyl ions $\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$. The ionization of an acid $\mathrm{HX}(\mathrm{aq})$ can be represented by the following equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{HX}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{X}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
\text { or } \\
\mathrm{HX}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{X}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
\end{gathered}
$$

A bare proton, $\mathrm{H}^{+}$is very reactive and cannot exist freely in aqueous solutions. Thus, it bonds to the oxygen atom of a solvent water molecule to give trigonal pyramidal hydronium ion, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\left\{\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{+}\right\}$(see box). In this chapter we shall use $\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ interchangeably to mean the same i.e., a hydrated proton.

Similarly, a base molecule like MOH ionizes in aqueous solution according to the equation:

$$
\mathrm{MOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

The hydroxyl ion also exists in the hydrated form in the aqueous solution. Arrhenius concept of acid and base, however, suffers from the limitation of being applicable only to aqueous solutions and also, does not account for the basicity of substances like, ammonia which do not possess a hydroxyl group.

## Hydronium and Hydroxyl Ions

Hydrogen ion by itself is a bare proton with very small size ( $\sim 10^{-15} \mathrm{~m}$ radius) and intense electric field, binds itself with the water molecule at one of the two available lone pairs on it giving $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$. This species has been detected in many compounds (e.g., $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$) in the solid state. In aqueous solution the hydronium ion is further hydrated to give species like $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}, \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}^{+}$and $\mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{+}$. Similarly the hydroxyl ion is hydrated to give several ionic species like $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}^{-}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{-}$etc.


### 7.10.2 The Brönsted-Lowry Acids and

 BasesThe Danish chemist, Johannes Brönsted and the English chemist, Thomas M. Lowry gave a more general definition of acids and bases. According to Brönsted-Lowry theory, acid is a substance that is capable of donating a hydrogen ion $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and bases are substances capable of accepting a hydrogen ion, $\mathrm{H}^{+}$. In short, acids are proton donors and bases are proton acceptors.

Consider the example of dissolution of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ represented by the following equation:


The basic solution is formed due to the presence of hydroxyl ions. In this reaction, water molecule acts as proton donor and ammonia molecule acts as proton acceptor and are thus, called Lowry-Brönsted acid and


Svante Arrhenius (1859-1927)

Arrhenius was born near Uppsala, Sweden. He presented his thesis, on the conductivities of electrolyte solutions, to the University of Uppsala in 1884. For the next five years he travelled extensively and visited a number of research centers in Europe. In 1895 he was appointed professor of physics at the newly formed University of Stockholm, serving its rector from 1897 to 1902. From 1905 until his death he was Director of physical chemistry at the Nobel Institute in Stockholm. He continued to work for many years on electrolytic solutions. In 1899 he discussed the temperature dependence of reaction rates on the basis of an equation, now usually known as Arrhenius equation.

He worked in a variety of fields, and made important contributions to immunochemistry, cosmology, the origin of life, and the causes of ice age. He was the first to discuss the 'green house effect' calling by that name. He received Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 1903 for his theory of electrolytic dissociation and its use in the development of chemistry.
base, respectively. In the reverse reaction, $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ is transferred from $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$to $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$. In this case, $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$acts as a Bronsted acid while $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$acted as a Brönsted base. The acid-base pair that differs only by one proton is called a conjugate acid-base pair. Therefore, $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$is called the conjugate base of an acid $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$is called conjugate acid of the base $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$. If Brönsted acid is a strong acid then its conjugate base is a weak base and viceversa. It may be noted that conjugate acid has one extra proton and each conjugate base has one less proton.

Consider the example of ionization of hydrochloric acid in water. $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})$ acts as an acid by donating a proton to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecule which acts as a base.


It can be seen in the above equation, that water acts as a base because it accepts the proton. The species $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$is produced when water accepts a proton from HCl . Therefore, $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is a conjugate base of HCl and HCl is the conjugate acid of base $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. Similarly, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is a conjugate base of an acid $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$is a conjugate acid of base $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

It is interesting to observe the dual role of water as an acid and a base. In case of reaction with HCl water acts as a base while in case of
ammonia it acts as an acid by donating a proton.

## Problem 7.12

What will be the conjugate bases for the following Brönsted acids: $\mathrm{HF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}$?

## Solution

The conjugate bases should have one proton less in each case and therefore the corresponding conjugate bases are: $\mathrm{F}^{-}$, $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}$ respectively.

## Problem 7.13

Write the conjugate acids for the following Brönsted bases: $\mathrm{NH}_{2}^{-}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{HCOO}^{-}$.

## Solution

The conjugate acid should have one extra proton in each case and therefore the corresponding conjugate acids are: $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$and HCOOH respectively.

## Problem 7.14

The species: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ can act both as Bronsted acids and bases. For each case give the corresponding conjugate acid and conjugate base.

## Solution

The answer is given in the following Table:
Species $\left.\begin{array}{lcc}\text { Conjugate } \\ \text { acid }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Conjugate } \\ \text { base }\end{array}\right]$ OH

### 7.10.3 Lewis Acids and Bases

G.N. Lewis in 1923 defined an acid as a species which accepts electron pair and base which donates an electron pair. As far as bases are concerned, there is not much difference between Brönsted-Lowry and Lewis concepts, as the base provides a lone pair in both the cases. However, in Lewis concept many acids do not have proton. A typical example is reaction of electron deficient species $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$.
$\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ does not have a proton but still acts as an acid and reacts with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ by accepting its lone pair of electrons. The reaction can be represented by,

$$
\mathrm{BF}_{3}+: \mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{BF}_{3}: \mathrm{NH}_{3}
$$

Electron deficient species like $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Co}^{3+}$, $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$, etc. can act as Lewis acids while species like $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{OH}^{-}$etc. which can donate a pair of electrons, can act as Lewis bases.

## Problem 7.15

Classify the following species into Lewis acids and Lewis bases and show how these act as such:
(a) $\mathrm{HO}^{-}$
(b) $\mathrm{F}^{-}$
(c) $\mathrm{H}^{+}$
(d) $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$

## Solution

(a) Hydroxyl ion is a Lewis base as it can donate an electron lone pair (: $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$).
(b) Flouride ion acts as a Lewis base as it can donate any one of its four electron lone pairs.
(c) A proton is a Lewis acid as it can accept a lone pair of electrons from bases like hydroxyl ion and fluoride ion.
(d) $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ acts as a Lewis acid as it can accept a lone pair of electrons from species like ammonia or amine molecules.

### 7.11 IONIZATION OF ACIDS AND BASES

Arrhenius concept of acids and bases becomes useful in case of ionization of acids and bases as mostly ionizations in chemical and biological systems occur in aqueous medium. Strong acids like perchloric acid $\left(\mathrm{HClO}_{4}\right)$,
hydrochloric acid ( HCl ), hydrobromic acid $(\mathrm{HBr})$, hyrdoiodic acid ( HI ), nitric acid $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)$ and sulphuric acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ are termed strong because they are almost completely dissociated into their constituent ions in an aqueous medium, thereby acting as proton $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$donors. Similarly, strong bases like lithium hydroxide ( LiOH ), sodium hydroxide $(\mathrm{NaOH})$, potassium hydroxide $(\mathrm{KOH})$, caesium hydroxide ( CsOH ) and barium hydroxide $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ are almost completely dissociated into ions in an aqueous medium giving hydroxyl ions, $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$. According to Arrhenius concept they are strong acids and bases as they are able to completely dissociate and produce $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ions respectively in the medium. Alternatively, the strength of an acid or base may also be gauged in terms of BrönstedLowry concept of acids and bases, wherein a strong acid means a good proton donor and a strong base implies a good proton acceptor. Consider, the acid-base dissociation equilibrium of a weak acid HA ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HA}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \\
& \text { acid } \quad \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
\text { conjugate }
\end{array}+\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{A}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
\text { conjugate } \\
\text { base }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

In section 7.10.2 we saw that acid (or base) dissociation equilibrium is dynamic involving a transfer of proton in forward and reverse directions. Now, the question arises that if the equilibrium is dynamic then with passage of time which direction is favoured? What is the driving force behind it? In order to answer these questions we shall deal into the issue of comparing the strengths of the two acids (or bases) involved in the dissociation equilibrium. Consider the two acids HA and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$present in the above mentioned acid-dissociation equilibrium. We have to see which amongst them is a stronger proton donor. Whichever exceeds in its tendency of donating a proton over the other shall be termed as the stronger acid and the equilibrium will shift in the direction of weaker acid. Say, if HA is a stronger acid than $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$, then HA will donate protons and not $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$, and the solution will mainly contain $\mathrm{A}^{-}$and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ions. The equilibrium moves in the direction of formation of weaker acid and weaker base

## because the stronger acid donates a proton to the stronger base.

It follows that as a strong acid dissociates completely in water, the resulting base formed would be very weak i.e., strong acids have very weak conjugate bases. Strong acids like perchloric acid $\left(\mathrm{HClO}_{4}\right)$, hydrochloric acid $(\mathrm{HCl})$, hydrobromic acid $(\mathrm{HBr})$, hydroiodic acid ( HI ), nitric acid $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)$ and sulphuric acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ will give conjugate base ions $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}, \mathrm{Cl}$, $\mathrm{Br}^{-}, \Gamma, \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$and $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$, which are much weaker bases than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Similarly a very strong base would give a very weak conjugate acid. On the other hand, a weak acid say HA is only partially dissociated in aqueous medium and thus, the solution mainly contains undissociated HA molecules. Typical weak acids are nitrous acid $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\right)$, hydrofluoric acid (HF) and acetic acid $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$. It should be noted that the weak acids have very strong conjugate bases. For example, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}^{-}, \mathrm{O}^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{-}$are very good proton acceptors and thus, much stronger bases than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Certain water soluble organic compounds like phenolphthalein and bromothymol blue behave as weak acids and exhibit different colours in their acid (HIn) and conjugate base ( $\mathrm{In}^{-}$) forms.
$\mathrm{HIn}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{In}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ acid conjugate conjugate indicator acid base colour A colourB
Such compounds are useful as indicators in acid-base titrations, and finding out $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ion concentration.

### 7.11.1 The Ionization Constant of Water and its Ionic Product

Some substances like water are unique in their ability of acting both as an acid and a base. We have seen this in case of water in section 7.10.2. In presence of an acid, HA it accepts a proton and acts as the base while in the presence of a base, $\mathrm{B}^{-}$it acts as an acid by donating a proton. In pure water, one $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecule donates proton and acts as an acid and another water molecules accepts a proton and acts as a base at the same time. The following equilibrium exists:
$\underset{\text { acid }}{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})}+\underset{\text { base }}{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})} \underset{\text { conjugate }}{\rightleftharpoons} \underset{\text { acid }}{\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})}+\underset{\text { conjugate }}{\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})}$
The dissociation constant is represented by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] /\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] \tag{7.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The concentration of water is omitted from the denominator as water is a pure liquid and its concentration remains constant. $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ is incorporated within the equilibrium constant to give a new constant, $K_{w}$, which is called the ionic product of water.

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{w}}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] \tag{7.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The concentration of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$has been found out experimentally as $1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$ at 298 K . And, as dissociation of water produces equal number of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ions, the concentration of hydroxyl ions, $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$. Thus, the value of $K_{\mathrm{w}}$ at 298 K ,
$K_{\mathrm{w}}=\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\left(1 \times 10^{-7}\right)^{2}=1 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{M}^{2}$
The value of $K_{w}$ is temperature dependent as it is an equilibrium constant.

The density of pure water is $1000 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ and its molar mass is $18.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. From this the molarity of pure water can be given as,
$\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]=(1000 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})(1 \mathrm{~mol} / 18.0 \mathrm{~g})=55.55 \mathrm{M}$. Therefore, the ratio of dissociated water to that of undissociated water can be given as:
$10^{-7} /(55.55)=1.8 \times 10^{-9}$ or $\sim 2$ in $10^{-9}$ (thus, equilibrium lies mainly towards undissociated water)

We can distinguish acidic, neutral and basic aqueous solutions by the relative values of the $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$concentrations:

Acidic: $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]>\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$
Neutral: $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$
Basic : $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]<\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$

### 7.11.2 The pH Scale

Hydronium ion concentration in molarity is more conveniently expressed on a logarithmic scale known as the $\mathbf{p H}$ scale. The pH of a solution is defined as the negative logarithm to base 10 of the activity $\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}\right)$of hydrogen
ion. In dilute solutions ( $<0.01 \mathrm{M}$ ), activity of hydrogen ion $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$is equal in magnitude to molarity represented by $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$. It should be noted that activity has no units and is defined as:

$$
=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}
$$

From the definition of pH , the following can be written,

$$
\mathrm{pH}=-\log \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}=-\log \left\{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right\}
$$

Thus, an acidic solution of $\mathrm{HCl}\left(10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$ will have a $\mathrm{pH}=2$. Similarly, a basic solution of NaOH having $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ and $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]=$ $10^{-10} \mathrm{M}$ will have a $\mathrm{pH}=10$. At $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, pure water has a concentration of hydrogen ions, $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$. Hence, the pH of pure water is given as:

$$
\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(10^{-7}\right)=7
$$

Acidic solutions possess a concentration of hydrogen ions, $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]>10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$, while basic solutions possess a concentration of hydrogen ions, $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]<10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$. thus, we can summarise that
Acidic solution has $\mathrm{pH}<7$
Basic solution has $\mathrm{pH}>7$ Neutral solution has pH = 7

Now again, consider the equation (7.28) at 298 K

$$
K_{\mathrm{w}}=\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-14}
$$

Taking negative logarithm on both sides of equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
-\log K_{\mathrm{w}} & =-\log \left\{\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]\right\} \\
& =-\log \left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]-\log \left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] \\
& =-\log 10^{-14} \\
\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{w}}= & \mathrm{pH}+\mathrm{pOH}=14 \tag{7.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that although $K_{\mathrm{w}}$ may change with temperature the variations in pH with temperature are so small that we often ignore it.
$\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{w}}$ is a very important quantity for aqueous solutions and controls the relative concentrations of hydrogen and hydroxyl ions as their product is a constant. It should be noted that as the pH scale is logarithmic, a
change in pH by just one unit also means change in $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$by a factor of 10 . Similarly, when the hydrogen ion concentration, $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$changes by a factor of 100 , the value of pH changes by 2 units. Now you can realise why the change in pH with temperature is often ignored.

Measurement of pH of a solution is very essential as its value should be known when dealing with biological and cosmetic applications. The pH of a solution can be found roughly with the help of pH paper that has different colour in solutions of different pH . Now-a-days pH paper is available with four strips on it. The different strips have different colours (Fig. 7.11) at the same pH . The pH in the range of $1-14$ can be determined with an accuracy of $\sim 0.5$ using pH paper.


Fig.7.11 pH-paper with four strips that may have different colours at the same pH

For greater accuracy pH meters are used. pH meter is a device that measures the pH -dependent electrical potential of the test solution within 0.001 precision. pH meters of the size of a writing pen are now available in the market. The pH of some very common substances are given in Table 7.5 (page 212).

## Problem 7.16

The concentration of hydrogen ion in a sample of soft drink is $3.8 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$. what is its pH ?

## Solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{pH} & =-\log \left[3.8 \times 10^{-3}\right] \\
& =-\left\{\log [3.8]+\log \left[10^{-3}\right]\right\} \\
& =-\{(0.58)+(-3.0)\}=-\{-2.42\}=2.42
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the pH of the soft drink is 2.42 and it can be inferred that it is acidic.

## Problem 7.17

Calculate pH of a $1.0 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{M}$ solution of HCl .

Table 7.5 The pH of Some Common Substances

| Name of the Fluid | pH | Name of the Fluid | pH |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| Saturated solution of NaOH | $\sim 15$ | Black Coffee | 5.0 |
| O.1 M NaOH solution | 13 | Tomato juice | $\sim \sim 4.2$ |
| Lime water | 10.5 | Soft drinks and vinegar | $\sim 3.0$ |
| Milk of magnesia | 10 | Lemon juice | $\sim 2.2$ |
| Egg white, sea water | 7.8 | Gastric juice | $\sim 1.2$ |
| Human blood | 7.4 | 1M HCl solution | $\sim 0$ |
| Milk | 6.8 | Concentrated HCl | $\sim-1.0$ |
| Human Saliva | 6.4 |  |  |

## Solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& K_{\mathrm{w}}=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right] \\
& =10^{-14}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let, $x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]$from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ concentration is generated (i) from the ionization of HCl dissolved i.e., $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$, and (ii) from ionization of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. In these very dilute solutions, both sources of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$must be considered:

$$
\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]=10^{-8}+\mathrm{x}
$$

$K_{\mathrm{w}}=\left(10^{-8}+\mathrm{x}\right)(\mathrm{x})=10^{-14}$
or $\mathrm{x}^{2}+10^{-8} \mathrm{x}-10^{-14}=0$
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\mathrm{x}=9.5 \times 10^{-8}$
So, $\mathrm{pOH}=7.02$ and $\mathrm{pH}=6.98$
7.11.3 Ionization Constants of Weak Acids

Consider a weak acid HX that is partially ionized in the aqueous solution. The equilibrium can be expressed by:
$\mathrm{HX}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{X}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
Initial
concentration (M)
c $0 \quad 0$
Let $\alpha$ be the extent of ionization
Change (M)
$-\mathrm{c} \alpha \quad+\mathrm{c} \alpha \quad+\mathrm{c} \alpha$
Equilibrium concentration (M)
$\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{c} \alpha \quad \mathrm{c} \alpha \quad \mathrm{c} \alpha$
Here, $c=$ initial concentration of the undissociated acid, HX at time, $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{O} . \alpha=$ extent up to which HX is ionized into ions. Using these notations, we can derive the equilibrium
constant for the above discussed aciddissociation equilibrium:

$$
K_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{c}^{2} \alpha^{2} / \mathrm{c}(1-\alpha)=\mathrm{c} \alpha^{2} / 1-\alpha
$$

$K_{\mathrm{a}}$ is called the dissociation or ionization
constant of acid HX. It can be represented alternatively in terms of molar concentration as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{a}}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{X}^{-}\right] /[\mathrm{HX}] \tag{7.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

At a given temperature $T, K_{\mathrm{a}}$ is a measure of the strength of the acid HX i.e., larger the value of $K_{a}$, the stronger is the acid. $K_{a}$ is a dimensionless quantity with the understanding that the standard state concentration of all species is 1 M .

The values of the ionization constants of some selected weak acids are given in Table 7.6.
Table 7.6 The Ionization Constants of Some Selected Weak Acids (at 298K)

| Acid | Ionization Constant, |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\boldsymbol{K}_{\mathbf{a}}$ |
| Hydrofluoric Acid (HF) | $3.5 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Nitrous Acid $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\right)$ | $4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Formic Acid (HCOOH) | $1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Niacin $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NCOOH}\right)$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Acetic Acid $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$ | $1.74 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Benzoic Acid $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$ | $6.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Hypochlorous Acid $(\mathrm{HCIO})$ | $3.0 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| Hydrocyanic Acid $(\mathrm{HCN})$ | $4.9 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| Phenol $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-10}$ |

The pH scale for the hydrogen ion concentration has been so useful that besides $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{w}}$, it has been extended to other species and
quantities. Thus, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=-\log \left(K_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \tag{7.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Knowing the ionization constant, $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of an acid and its initial concentration, c, it is possible to calculate the equilibrium concentration of all species and also the degree of ionization of the acid and the pH of the solution.

A general step-wise approach can be adopted to evaluate the pH of the weak electrolyte as follows:
Step 1. The species present before dissociation are identified as Brönsted-Lowry acids / bases.
Step 2. Balanced equations for all possible reactions i.e., with a species acting both as acid as well as base are written.
Step 3. The reaction with the higher $K_{a}$ is identified as the primary reaction whilst the other is a subsidiary reaction.
Step 4. Enlist in a tabular form the following values for each of the species in the primary reaction
(a) Initial concentration, $c$.
(b) Change in concentration on proceeding to equilibrium in terms of $\alpha$, degree of ionization.
(c) Equilibrium concentration.

Step 5. Substitute equilibrium concentrations into equilibrium constant equation for principal reaction and solve for $\alpha$.
Step 6. Calculate the concentration of species in principal reaction.
Step 7. Calculate $\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]$
The above mentioned methodology has been elucidated in the following examples.

## Problem 7.18

The ionization constant of HF is $3.2 \times 10^{-4}$. Calculate the degree of dissociation of HF in its 0.02 M solution. Calculate the concentration of all species present $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}, \mathrm{F}^{-}\right.$and HF$)$ in the solution and its pH .

## Solution

The following proton transfer reactions are possible:

1) $\mathrm{HF}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}+\mathrm{F}^{-}$

$$
K_{\mathrm{a}}=3.2 \times 10^{-4}
$$

2) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$

$$
K_{\mathrm{w}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14}
$$

As $K_{\mathrm{a}} \gg K_{\mathrm{w}}$, [1] is the principle reaction. $\mathrm{HF}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}+\mathrm{F}^{-}$ Initial
concentration (M)

| 0.02 <br> Change (M) <br> $-0.02 \alpha$ | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equilibrium <br> concentration (M) <br> $0.02-0.02 \alpha$ | $0.02 \alpha$ | $+0.02 \alpha$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | $0.02 \alpha$ |  |

Substituting equilibrium concentrations in the equilibrium reaction for principal reaction gives:
$K_{\mathrm{a}}=(0.02 \alpha)^{2} /(0.02-0.02 \alpha)$
$=0.02 \alpha^{2} /(1-\alpha)=3.2 \times 10^{-4}$
We obtain the following quadratic equation:
$\alpha^{2}+1.6 \times 10^{-2} \alpha-1.6 \times 10^{-2}=0$
The quadratic equation in $\alpha$ can be solved and the two values of the roots are:
$\alpha=+0.12$ and -0.12
The negative root is not acceptable and hence,
$\alpha=0.12$
This means that the degree of ionization, $\alpha=0.12$, then equilibrium concentrations of other species viz., $\mathrm{HF}, \mathrm{F}^{-}$and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]=[\mathrm{F}]=\mathrm{c} \alpha } & =0.02 \times 0.12 \\
& =2.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

$[\mathrm{HF}]=\mathrm{c}(1-\alpha)=0.02(1-0.12)$
$=17.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=-\log \left(2.4 \times 10^{-3}\right)=2.62$
Problem 7.19
The pH of 0.1 M monobasic acid is 4.50 . Calculate the concentration of species $\mathrm{H}^{+}$,
$\mathrm{A}^{-}$and HA at equilibrium. Also, determine the value of $K_{a}$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{a}$ of the monobasic acid.

## Solution

$$
\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]
$$

Therefore, $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-\mathrm{pH}}=10^{-4.50}$

$$
=3.16 \times 10^{-5}
$$

$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]=3.16 \times 10^{-5}$
Thus, $\quad K_{\mathrm{a}}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right][\mathrm{A}] /[\mathrm{HA}]$
$[\mathrm{HA}]_{\text {eqlbm }}=0.1-\left(3.16 \times 10^{-5}\right)=0.1$
$K_{\mathrm{a}}=\left(3.16 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2} / 0.1=1.0 \times 10^{-8}$
$\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=-\log \left(10^{-8}\right)=8$
Alternatively, "Percent dissociation" is another useful method for measure of strength of a weak acid and is given as: Percent dissociation

$$
\begin{equation*}
=[\mathrm{HA}]_{\text {dissociated }} /[\mathrm{HA}]_{\text {initital }} \times 100 \% \tag{7.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Problem 7.20

Calculate the pH of 0.08 M solution of hypochlorous acid, HOCl . The ionization constant of the acid is $2.5 \times 10^{-5}$. Determine the percent dissociation of HOCl.

## Solution

$\mathrm{HOCl}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{ClO}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ Initial concentration (M)
0.08 0 0

Change to reach equilibrium concentration
(M)
$-\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}$
equilibrium concentartion (M)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.08-\mathrm{x} \quad \frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}}=\left\{\left\{\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{ClO}^{-}\right] /[\mathrm{HOCl}]\right\} \\
& \\
& =\mathrm{x}^{2} /(0.08-\mathrm{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\mathrm{x} \ll 0.08$, therefore $0.08-\mathrm{x}=0.08$
$\mathrm{x}^{2} / 0.08=2.5 \times 10^{-5}$
$\mathrm{x}^{2}=2.0 \times 10^{-6}$, thus, $\mathrm{x}=1.41 \times 10^{-3}$
$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.41 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$.
Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Percent dissociation } \\
& =\left\{[\mathrm{HOCl}]_{\text {dissociated }} /[\mathrm{HOCl}]_{\text {initial }}\right\} \times 100 \\
& =1.41 \times 10^{-3} \times 10^{2} / 0.08=1.76 \% \\
& \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(1.41 \times 10^{-3}\right)=2.85
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.11.4 Ionization of Weak Bases

The ionization of base MOH can be represented by equation:

$$
\mathrm{MOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{M}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

In a weak base there is partial ionization of MOH into $\mathrm{M}^{+}$and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$, the case is similar to that of acid-dissociation equilibrium. The equilibrium constant for base ionization is called base ionization constant and is represented by $K_{b}$. It can be expressed in terms of concentration in molarity of various species in equilibrium by the following equation:
$K_{\mathrm{b}}=\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] /[\mathrm{MOH}]$
Alternatively, if $\mathrm{c}=$ initial concentration of base and $\alpha=$ degree of ionization of base i.e. the extent to which the base ionizes. When equilibrium is reached, the equilibrium constant can be written as:
$K_{b}=(\mathrm{c} \alpha)^{2} / \mathrm{c}(1-\alpha)=\mathrm{c} \alpha^{2} /(1-\alpha)$
The values of the ionization constants of some selected weak bases, $K_{b}$ are given in Table 7.7.

Table 7.7 The Values of the Ionization Constant of Some Weak Bases at 298 K

| Base | $\boldsymbol{K}_{\mathbf{b}}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dimethylamine, $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | $5.4 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Triethylamine, $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | $6.45 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Ammonia, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ | $1.77 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Quinine, (A plant product) | $1.10 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Pyridine, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ | $1.77 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| Aniline, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $4.27 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| Urea, $\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-14}$ |

Many organic compounds like amines are weak bases. Amines are derivatives of ammonia in which one or more hydrogen atoms are replaced by another group. For example, methylamine, codeine, quinine and
nicotine all behave as very weak bases due to their very small $K_{\mathrm{b}}$. Ammonia produces $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ in aqueous solution:
$\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
The pH scale for the hydrogen ion concentration has been extended to get:
$\mathrm{p} K_{b}=-\log \left(K_{b}\right)$

## Problem 7.21

The pH of 0.004 M hydrazine solution is 9.7. Calculate its ionization constant $K_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}}$.

## Solution

$\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$
From the pH we can calculate the hydrogen ion concentration. Knowing hydrogen ion concentration and the ionic product of water we can calculate the concentration of hydroxyl ions. Thus we have:

$$
\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\operatorname{antilog}(-\mathrm{pH})
$$

$=\operatorname{antilog}(-9.7)=1.67 \times 10^{-10}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=K_{\mathrm{w}} /\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] } & =1 \times 10^{-14} / 1.67 \times 10^{-10} \\
& =5.98 \times 10^{-5}
\end{aligned}
$$

The concentration of the corresponding hydrazinium ion is also the same as that of hydroxyl ion. The concentration of both these ions is very small so the concentration of the undissociated base can be taken equal to 0.004 M .
Thus,
$K_{\mathrm{b}}=\left[\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right][\mathrm{OH}] /\left[\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]$
$=\left(5.98 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2} / 0.004=8.96 \times 10^{-7}$
$\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}}=-\log K_{\mathrm{b}}=-\log \left(8.96 \times 10^{-7}\right)=6.04$.

## Problem 7.22

Calculate the pH of the solution in which $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ are present. The $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{b}}$ of ammonia solution is 4.75 .

## Solution

$$
\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}
$$

The ionization constant of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$,
$K_{\mathrm{b}}=\operatorname{antilog}\left(-\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\mathrm{b}}=10^{-4.75}=1.77 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} \\
& \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \\
& \text {Initial concentration (M) } \\
& 0.10 \\
& 0.20 \\
& 0 \\
& \text { Change to reach } \\
& \text { equilibrium ( } M \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

As $K_{\mathrm{b}}$ is small, we can neglect x in comparison to 0.1 M and 0.2 M . Thus,

$$
\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\mathrm{x}=0.88 \times 10^{-5}
$$

Therefore, $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.12 \times 10^{-9}$

$$
\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=8.95 .
$$

### 7.11.5 Relation between $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\boldsymbol{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$

As seen earlier in this chapter, $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{b}}$ represent the strength of an acid and a base, respectively. In case of a conjugate acid-base pair, they are related in a simple manner so that if one is known, the other can be deduced. Considering the example of $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ we see,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& K_{\mathrm{a}}=\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right] /\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]=5.6 \times 10^{-10} \\
& \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& K_{\mathrm{b}}=\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+} \mathrm{I} \mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] / \mathrm{NH}_{3}=1.8 \times 10^{-5} \\
& \mathrm{Net}: 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& K_{\mathrm{w}}=\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where, $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ represents the strength of $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$as an acid and $K_{\mathrm{b}}$ represents the strength of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ as a base.

It can be seen from the net reaction that the equilibrium constant is equal to the product of equilibrium constants $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{b}}$ for the reactions added. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\mathrm{a}} \times K_{\mathrm{b}}=\left\{\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right] /\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]\right\} \times\left\{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]\right. \\
& \left.=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] /\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]\right\} \\
& =\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=K_{\mathrm{w}} \\
& =\left(5.6 \times 10^{-10}\right) \times\left(1.8 \times 10^{-5}\right)=1.0 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

This can be extended to make a generalisation. The equilibrium constant for a net reaction obtained after adding two (or more) reactions equals the product of the equilibrium constants for individual reactions:
$K_{\mathrm{NET}}=K_{1} \times K_{2} \times \ldots \ldots$
Similarly, in case of a conjugate acid-base pair,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{a}} \times K_{\mathrm{b}}=K_{\mathrm{w}} \tag{7.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Knowing one, the other can be obtained. It should be noted that a strong acid will have a weak conjugate base and vice-versa.

Alternatively, the above expression $K_{\mathrm{w}}=K_{\mathrm{a}} \times K_{\mathrm{b}}$, can also be obtained by considering the base-dissociation equilibrium reaction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{BH}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& K_{\mathrm{b}}=\left[\mathrm{BH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] /[\mathrm{B}]
\end{aligned}
$$

As the concentration of water remains constant it has been omitted from the denominator and incorporated within the dissociation constant. Then multiplying and dividing the above expression by $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
K_{\mathrm{b}} & =\left[\mathrm{BH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] /[\mathrm{B}]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] \\
& =\left\{\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right\}\left\{\left[\mathrm{BH}^{+}\right] /[\mathrm{B}]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right\} \\
& =K_{\mathrm{w}} / K_{\mathrm{a}}
\end{aligned} \\
& \text { or } K_{\mathrm{a}} \times K_{\mathrm{b}}=K_{\mathrm{w}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It may be noted that if we take negative logarithm of both sides of the equation, then pK values of the conjugate acid and base are related to each other by the equation:

$$
\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{w}}=14 \text { (at } 298 \mathrm{~K} \text { ) }
$$

## Problem 7.23

Determine the degree of ionization and pH of a 0.05 M of ammonia solution. The ionization constant of ammonia can be taken from Table 7.7. Also, calculate the ionization constant of the conjugate acid of ammonia.

## Solution

The ionization of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in water is represented by equation:
$\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$
We use equation (7.33) to calculate hydroxyl ion concentration,
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\mathrm{c} \alpha=0.05 \alpha$
$K_{\mathrm{b}}=0.05 \alpha^{2} /(1-\alpha)$
The value of $\alpha$ is small, therefore the quadratic equation can be simplified by neglecting $\alpha$ in comparison to 1 in the denominator on right hand side of the equation,
Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{c} \alpha^{2} \text { or } \begin{aligned}
\alpha & =\sqrt{ }\left(1.77 \times 10^{-5} / 0.05\right) \\
& =0.018
\end{aligned} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\mathrm{c} \alpha=0.05 \times 0.018=9.4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=K_{\mathrm{w}} /\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] }=10^{-14} /\left(9.4 \times 10^{-4}\right) \\
&=1.06 \times 10^{-11} \\
& \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(1.06 \times 10^{-11}\right)=10.97
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, using the relation for conjugate acid-base pair,
$K_{\mathrm{a}} \times K_{\mathrm{b}}=K_{\mathrm{w}}$
using the value of $K_{\mathrm{b}}$ of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ from Table 7.7.

We can determine the concentration of conjugate acid $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\mathrm{a}}=K_{\mathrm{w}} / K_{\mathrm{b}} & =10^{-14} / 1.77 \times 10^{-5} \\
& =5.64 \times 10^{-10} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.11.6 Di- and Polybasic Acids and Di- and Polyacidic Bases

Some of the acids like oxalic acid, sulphuric acid and phosphoric acids have more than one ionizable proton per molecule of the acid. Such acids are known as polybasic or polyprotic acids.

The ionization reactions for example for a dibasic acid $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{X}$ are represented by the equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{HX}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& \mathrm{HX}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{X}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})
\end{aligned}
$$

And the corresponding equilibrium constants are given below:

$$
K_{a_{1}}=\left\{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{HX}^{-}\right]\right\} /\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{X}\right] \text { and }
$$

$$
K_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=\left\{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{X}^{2}\right]\right\} /[\mathrm{HX}]
$$

Here, $K_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}$ are called the first and second ionization constants respectively of the acid $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ X. Similarly, for tribasic acids like $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ we have three ionization constants. The values of the ionization constants for some common polyprotic acids are given in Table 7.8.
Table 7.8 The Ionization Constants of Some Common Polyprotic Acids (298K)

| Acid | $\boldsymbol{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{\mathbf{1}}}$ | $\boldsymbol{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{\mathbf{2}}}$ | $\boldsymbol{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{\mathbf{3}}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oxalic Acid | $5.9 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |  |
| Ascorbic Acid | $7.4 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-12}$ |  |
| Sulphurous Acid | $1.7 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.4 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |
| Sulphuric Acid | Very large | $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$ |  |
| Carbonic Acid | $4.3 \times 10^{-7}$ | $5.6 \times 10^{-11}$ |  |
| Citric Acid | $7.4 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.0 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| Phosphoric Acid | $7.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $6.2 \times 10^{-8}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-13}$ |

It can be seen that higher order ionization constants ( $K_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}, K_{\mathrm{a}_{3}}$ ) are smaller than the lower order ionization constant $\left(K_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}\right)$ of a polyprotic acid. The reason for this is that it is more difficult to remove a positively charged proton from a negative ion due to electrostatic forces. This can be seen in the case of removing a proton from the uncharged $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as compared from a negatively charged $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}$. Similarly, it is more difficult to remove a proton from a doubly charged $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}^{2-}$ anion as compared to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}$.

Polyprotic acid solutions contain a mixture of acids like $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{HA}^{-}$and $\mathrm{A}^{2-}$ in case of a diprotic acid. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}$ being a strong acid, the primary reaction involves the dissociation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$in the solution comes mainly from the first dissociation step.

### 7.11.7 Factors Affecting Acid Strength

Having discussed quantitatively the strengths of acids and bases, we come to a stage where we can calculate the pH of a given acid solution. But, the curiosity rises about why should some acids be stronger than others? What factors are responsible for making them stronger? The answer lies in its being a complex phenomenon. But, broadly speaking we can say that the extent of dissociation of an acid depends on the strength and polarity of the H -A bond.

In general, when strength of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}$ bond decreases, that is, the energy required to break the bond decreases, HA becomes a stronger acid. Also, when the H-A bond becomes more polar i.e., the electronegativity difference between the atoms H and A increases and there is marked charge separation, cleavage of the bond becomes easier thereby increasing the acidity.

But it should be noted that while comparing elements in the same group of the periodic table, H-A bond strength is a more important factor in determining acidity than its polar nature. As the size of A increases down the group, H-A bond strength decreases and so the acid strength increases. For example,


Similarly, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is stronger acid than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
But, when we discuss elements in the same row of the periodic table, H-A bond polarity becomes the deciding factor for determining the acid strength. As the electronegativity of A increases, the strength of the acid also increases. For example,

Electronegativity of A increases


### 7.11.8 Common Ion Effect in the Ionization of Acids and Bases

Consider an example of acetic acid dissociation equilibrium represented as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& \text { or } \mathrm{HAc}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Ac}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& K_{\mathrm{a}}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ac}^{-}\right] /[\mathrm{HAc}]
\end{aligned}
$$

Addition of acetate ions to an acetic acid solution results in decreasing the concentration of hydrogen ions, $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$. Also, if $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ions are added from an external source then the equilibrium moves in the direction of undissociated acetic acid i.e., in a direction of reducing the concentration of hydrogen ions, $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$. This phenomenon is an example of
common ion effect. It can be defined as a shift in equilibrium on adding a substance that provides more of an ionic species already present in the dissociation equilibrium. Thus, we can say that common ion effect is a phenomenon based on the Le Chatelier's principle discussed in section 7.8.

In order to evaluate the pH of the solution resulting on addition of 0.05 M acetate ion to 0.05 M acetic acid solution, we shall consider the acetic acid dissociation equilibrium once again,

$$
\mathrm{HAc}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Ac}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial concentration (M)

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
0.05 & 0 & 0.05
\end{array}
$$

Let $x$ be the extent of ionization of acetic acid.

Change in concentration (M)

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
-\mathrm{x} & +\mathrm{x} & +\mathrm{x}
\end{array}
$$

Equilibrium concentration (M)

$$
0.05-x \quad x \quad 0.05+x
$$

Therefore,
$K_{\mathrm{a}}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Ac}^{-}\right] /[\mathrm{H} \mathrm{Ac}]=\{(0.05+\mathrm{x})(\mathrm{x})\} /(0.05-\mathrm{x})$ As $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ is small for a very weak acid, $\mathrm{x} \ll 0.05$.
Hence, $(0.05+x) \approx(0.05-x) \approx 0.05$
Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.8 \times 10^{-5}=(\mathrm{x})(0.05+\mathrm{x}) /(0.05-\mathrm{x}) \\
& =\mathrm{x}(0.05) /(0.05)=\mathrm{x}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.8 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} \\
& \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(1.8 \times 10^{-5}\right)=4.74
\end{aligned}
$$

## Problem 7.24

Calculate the pH of a 0.10 M ammonia solution. Calculate the pH after 50.0 mL of this solution is treated with 25.0 mL of 0.10 M HCl . The dissociation constant of ammonia, $K_{\mathrm{b}}=1.77 \times 10^{-5}$

## Solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \\
& K_{\mathrm{b}}=\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] /\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]=1.77 \times 10^{-5}
\end{aligned}
$$

Before neutralization,
$\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\mathrm{x}$
$\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]=0.10-\mathrm{x} \simeq 0.10$
$\mathrm{x}^{2} / 0.10=1.77 \times 10^{-5}$

Thus, $\mathrm{x}=1.33 \times 10^{-3}=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$
Therefore, $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=K_{\mathrm{w}} /\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-14} /$

$$
\left(1.33 \times 10^{-3}\right)=7.51 \times 10^{-12}
$$

$\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(7.5 \times 10^{-12}\right)=11.12$
On addition of 25 mL of 0.1 M HCl solution (i.e., 2.5 mmol of HCl ) to 50 mL of 0.1 M ammonia solution (i.e., 5 mmol of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), 2.5 mmol of ammonia molecules are neutralized. The resulting 75 mL solution contains the remaining unneutralized 2.5 mmol of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ molecules and 2.5 mmol of $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\underset{2.5}{\mathrm{HCl}} \underset{2.5}{2.5} \underset{0}{\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \\
& \mathrm{Cl}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

At equilibrium
0
0
2.5
2.5

The resulting 75 mL of solution contains 2.5 mmol of $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$ions (i.e., 0.033 M ) and 2.5 mmol (i.e., 0.033 M ) of uneutralised $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ molecules. This $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ exists in the following equilibrium:

| $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ |
| :--- |
| $0.033 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{y}$ |$\rightleftharpoons$| $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$ |
| :---: |
| y |$+$| $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ |
| :---: |
| y |

where, $\mathrm{y}=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]$
The final 75 mL solution after neutralisation already contains $2.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$ions (i.e. 0.033 M ), thus total concentration of $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$ions is given as:
$\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]=0.033+y$
As y is small, $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}\right]=0.033 \mathrm{M}$ and $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]=0.033 \mathrm{M}$.
We know,

```
\(K_{\mathrm{b}}=\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] /\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}\right]\)
    \(=y(0.033) /(0.033)=1.77 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\)
```

Thus, $\mathrm{y}=1.77 \times 10^{-5}=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$
$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-14} / 1.77 \times 10^{-5}=0.56 \times 10^{-9}$
Hence, $\mathrm{pH}=9.24$

### 7.11.9 Hydrolysis of Salts and the pH of their Solutions

Salts formed by the reactions between acids and bases in definite proportions, undergo ionization in water. The cations/anions formed
on ionization of salts either exist as hydrated ions in aqueous solutions or interact with water to reform corresponding acids/bases depending upon the nature of salts. The later process of interaction between water and cations/anions or both of salts is called hydrolysis. The pH of the solution gets affected by this interaction. The cations (e.g., $\mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{K}^{+}$, $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$, etc.) of strong bases and anions (e.g., $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}, \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$etc.) of strong acids simply get hydrated but do not hydrolyse, and therefore the solutions of salts formed from strong acids and bases are neutral i.e., their pH is 7 . However, the other category of salts do undergo hydrolysis.

We now consider the hydrolysis of the salts of the following types :
(i) salts of weak acid and strong base e.g., $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COONa}$.
(ii) salts of strong acid and weak base e.g., $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and
(iii) salts of weak acid and weak base, e.g., $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COONH}_{4}$.
In the first case, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COONa}$ being a salt of weak acid, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ and strong base, NaOH gets completely ionised in aqueous solution.
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COONa}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$
Acetate ion thus formed undergoes hydrolysis in water to give acetic acid and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ ions
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
Acetic acid being a weak acid ( $K_{\mathrm{a}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ ) remains mainly unionised in solution. This results in increase of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ion concentration in solution making it alkaline. The pH of such a solution is more than 7 .

Similarly, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ formed from weak base, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ and strong acid, HCl , in water dissociates completely.
$\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
Ammonium ions undergo hydrolysis with water to form $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ions
$\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$
Ammonium hydroxide is a weak base $\left(K_{\mathrm{b}}=1.77 \times 10^{-5}\right)$ and therefore remains almost unionised in solution. This results in
increased of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ion concentration in solution making the solution acidic. Thus, the pH of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution in water is less than 7 .

Consider the hydrolysis of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COONH}_{4}$ salt formed from weak acid and weak base. The ions formed undergo hydrolysis as follow:

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}^{-}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}+\underset{\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}}{+}
$$

$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, also remain into partially dissociated form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH} \rightleftharpoons \begin{array}{c|}
3 \\
\mathrm{COO}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \\
\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH} \rightleftharpoons \\
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}
\end{array}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

Without going into detailed calculation, it can be said that degree of hydrolysis is independent of concentration of solution, and pH of such solutions is determined by their pK values:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pH}=7+1 / 2\left(\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \tag{7.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pH of solution can be greater than 7, if the difference is positive and it will be less than 7 , if the difference is negative.

## Problem 7.25

The $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of acetic acid and $\mathrm{p} K_{b}$ of ammonium hydroxide are 4.76 and 4.75 respectively. Calculate the pH of ammonium acetate solution.

## Solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{pH} & =7+1 / 2\left[\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}}\right] \\
& =7+1 / 2[4.76-4.75] \\
& =7+1 / 2[0.01]=7+0.005=7.005
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.12 BUFFER SOLUTIONS

Many body fluids e.g., blood or urine have definite pH and any deviation in their pH indicates malfunctioning of the body. The control of pH is also very important in many chemical and biochemical processes. Many medical and cosmetic formulations require that these be kept and administered at a particular pH . The solutions which resist change in pH on dilution or with the addition of small amounts of acid or alkali are called Buffer Solutions. Buffer solutions
of known pH can be prepared from the knowledge of $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the acid or $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}}$ of base and by controlling the ratio of the salt and acid or salt and base. A mixture of acetic acid and sodium acetate acts as buffer solution around pH 4.75 and a mixture of ammonium chloride and ammonium hydroxide acts as a buffer around pH 9.25 . You will learn more about buffer solutions in higher classes.

### 7.12.1 Designing Buffer Solution

Knowledge of $\mathrm{p} K_{a}, \mathrm{p} K_{b}$ and equilibrium constant help us to prepare the buffer solution of known pH. Let us see how we can do this.

## Preparation of Acidic Buffer

To prepare a buffer of acidic pH we use weak acid and its salt formed with strong base. We develop the equation relating the pH , the equilibrium constant, $K_{a}$ of weak acid and ratio of concentration of weak acid and its conjugate base. For the general case where the weak acid HA ionises in water,

$$
\mathrm{HA}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightharpoons \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}+\mathrm{A}^{-}
$$

For which we can write the expression

$$
K_{a}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HA}]}
$$

Rearranging the expression we have,

$$
\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]=K_{a} \frac{[\mathrm{HA}]}{\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]}
$$

Taking logarithm on both the sides and rearranging the terms we get -

$$
\mathrm{p} K_{a}=\mathrm{pH}-\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HA}]}
$$

Or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{p} K_{a}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HA}]}  \tag{7.39}\\
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\text { Conjugate base, } \mathrm{A}^{-}\right]}{[\text {Acid, } \mathrm{HA}]} \tag{7.40}
\end{align*}
$$

The expression (7.40) is known as Henderson-Hasselbalch equation. The quantity $\frac{\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HA}]}$ is the ratio of concentration of
conjugate base (anion) of the acid and the acid present in the mixture. Since acid is a weak acid, it ionises to a very little extent and concentration of [HA] is negligibly different from concentration of acid taken to form buffer. Also, most of the conjugate base, $\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]$, comes from the ionisation of salt of the acid. Therefore, the concentration of conjugate base will be negligibly different from the concentration of salt. Thus, equation (7.40) takes the form:

$$
p \mathrm{H}=p K_{a}+\log \frac{[\text { Salt }]}{[\mathrm{Acid}]}
$$

In the equation (7.39), if the concentration of $\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]$is equal to the concentration of [HA], then $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{p} K_{a}$ because value of $\log 1$ is zero. Thus if we take molar concentration of acid and salt (conjugate base) same, the pH of the buffer solution will be equal to the $\mathrm{p} K_{a}$ of the acid. So for preparing the buffer solution of the required pH we select that acid whose $\mathrm{p} K_{a}$ is close to the required pH . For acetic acid $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}^{a}$ value is 4.76 , therefore pH of the buffer solution formed by acetic acid and sodium acetate taken in equal molar concentration will be around 4.76.

A similar analysis of a buffer made with a weak base and its conjugate acid leads to the result,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pOH}=\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}}+\log \frac{\left[\text { Conjugate acid, } \mathrm{BH}^{+}\right]}{[\text {Base }, \mathrm{B}]} \tag{7.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

pH of the buffer solution can be calculated by using the equation $\mathrm{pH}+\mathrm{pOH}=14$.

We know that $\mathrm{pH}+\mathrm{pOH}=\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{w}}$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{w}}$. On putting these values in equation (7.41) it takes the form as follows:

$\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{p} K_{a}+\log \frac{\text { [Conjugate acid, } \mathrm{BH}^{+} \text {] }}{[\text { Base, } \mathrm{B}]}$
If molar concentration of base and its conjugate acid (cation) is same then pH of the buffer solution will be same as $\mathrm{p} K_{a}$ for the base. $\mathrm{p} K_{\text {a }}$ value for ammonia is 9.25 ; therefore a buffer of pH close to 9.25 can be obtained by taking ammonia solution and ammonium
chloride solution of same molar concentration. For a buffer solution formed by ammonium chloride and ammonium hydroxide, equation (7.42) becomes:

$$
\mathrm{pH}=9.25+\log \frac{\left[\text { Conjugate acid, } \mathrm{BH}^{+}\right]}{[\text {Base, } \mathrm{B}]}
$$

pH of the buffer solution is not affected by dilution because ratio under the logarithmic term remains unchanged.

### 7.13 SOLUBILITY EQUILIBRIA OF SPARINGLY SOLUBLE SALTS

We have already known that the solubility of ionic solids in water varies a great deal. Some of these (like calcium chloride) are so soluble that they are hygroscopic in nature and even absorb water vapour from atmosphere. Others (such as lithium fluoride) have so little solubility that they are commonly termed as insoluble. The solubility depends on a number of factors important amongst which are the lattice enthalpy of the salt and the solvation enthalpy of the ions in a solution. For a salt to dissolve in a solvent the strong forces of attraction between its ions (lattice enthalpy) must be overcome by the ion-solvent interactions. The solvation enthalpy of ions is referred to in terms of solvation which is always negative i.e. energy is released in the process of solvation. The amount of solvation enthalpy depends on the nature of the solvent. In case of a non-polar (covalent) solvent, solvation enthalpy is small and hence, not sufficient to overcome lattice enthalpy of the salt. Consequently, the salt does not dissolve in non-polar solvent. As a general rule, for a salt to be able to dissolve in a particular solvent its solvation enthalpy must be greater than its lattice enthalpy so that the latter may be overcome by former. Each salt has its characteristic solubility which depends on temperature. We classify salts on the basis of their solubility in the following three categories.

| Category I | Soluble | Solubility $>0.1 \mathrm{M}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Category II | Slightly <br> Soluble | $0.01 \mathrm{M}<$ Solubility $<0.1 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Category III | Sparingly <br> Soluble | Solubility $<0.01 \mathrm{M}$ |

We shall now consider the equilibrium between the sparingly soluble ionic salt and its saturated aqueous solution.

### 7.13.1 Solubility Product Constant

Let us now have a solid like barium sulphate in contact with its saturated aqueous solution. The equilibrium between the undisolved solid and the ions in a saturated solution can be represented by the equation:
$\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \underset{\text { in water }}{\text { Saturated Solution }} \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$,
The equilibrium constant is given by the equation:

$$
K=\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}\right]\right\} /\left[\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}\right]
$$

For a pure solid substance the concentration remains constant and we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{sp}}=\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}\right]=\left[\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}\right] \tag{7.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $K_{\mathrm{sp}}$ the solubility product constant or simply solubility product. The experimental value of $K_{\text {sp }}$ in above equation at 298 K is $1.1 \times 10^{-10}$. This means that for solid barium sulphate in equilibrium with its saturated solution, the product of the concentrations of barium and sulphate ions is equal to its solubility product constant. The concentrations of the two ions will be equal to the molar solubility of the barium sulphate. If molar solubility is S , then
$1.1 \times 10^{-10}=(\mathrm{S})(\mathrm{S})=\mathrm{S}^{2}$
or $\quad \mathrm{S}=1.05 \times 10^{-5}$.
Thus, molar solubility of barium sulphate will be equal to $1.05 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$.

A salt may give on dissociation two or more than two anions and cations carrying different charges. For example, consider a salt like zirconium phosphate of molecular formula $\left(\mathrm{Zr}^{4+}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3}\right)_{4}$. It dissociates into 3 zirconium cations of charge +4 and 4 phosphate anions of charge -3 . If the molar solubility of zirconium phosphate is $S$, then it can be seen from the stoichiometry of the compound that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{Zr}^{4+}\right]=3 \mathrm{~S} \text { and }\left[\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}\right]=4 \mathrm{~S}} \\
& \text { and } K_{\mathrm{sp}}=(3 \mathrm{~S})^{3}(4 \mathrm{~S})^{4}=6912(\mathrm{~S})^{7} \\
& \text { or } \mathrm{S}=\left\{K_{\mathrm{sp}} /\left(3^{3} \times 4^{4}\right)\right\}^{1 / 7}=\left(K_{\mathrm{sp}} / 6912\right)^{1 / 7}
\end{aligned}
$$

A solid salt of the general formula $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{p}+} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{q}-}$ with molar solubility S in equilibrium with its saturated solution may be represented by the equation:
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{xM}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{yX}^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{aq})$
(where $\mathrm{x} \times \mathrm{p}^{+}=\mathrm{y} \times \mathrm{q}^{-}$)
And its solubility product constant is given by:

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{\text {sp }}=\left[M^{\mathrm{p}+}\right]^{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{q}-}\right]^{\mathrm{y}}=(\mathrm{xS})^{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{yS})^{\mathrm{y}}  \tag{7.44}\\
=\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{x}} \cdot \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{y}} \cdot \mathrm{~S}^{(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y})} \\
\mathrm{S}^{(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y})}=K_{\mathrm{sp}} / \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{x}} \cdot \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{y}}  \tag{7.45}\\
\mathrm{~S}=\left(K_{\mathrm{sp}} / \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{x}} \cdot \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{1 / \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}}
\end{gather*}
$$

The term $K_{\text {sp }}$ in equation is given by $Q_{\text {sp }}$ (section 7.6.2) when the concentration of one or more species is not the concentration under equilibrium. Obviously under equilibrium conditions $K_{\mathrm{sp}}=\Theta_{\mathrm{sp}}$ but otherwise it gives the direction of the processes of precipitation or dissolution. The solubility product constants of a number of common salts at 298 K are given in Table 7.9.

## Problem 7.26

Calculate the solubility of $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{3}$ in pure water, assuming that neither kind of ion reacts with water. The solubility product of $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{3}, K_{\mathrm{sp}}=1.1 \times 10^{-23}$.

## Solution

$\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{3} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~A}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{X}^{2-}$
$K_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{A}^{3+}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{X}^{2-}\right]^{3}=1.1 \times 10^{-23}$
If $S=$ solubility of $A_{2} X_{3}$, then
$\left[\mathrm{A}^{3+}\right]=2 \mathrm{~S} ;\left[\mathrm{X}^{2-}\right]=3 \mathrm{~S}$
therefore, $K_{\mathrm{sp}}=(2 \mathrm{~S})^{2}(3 \mathrm{~S})^{3}=108 \mathrm{~S}^{5}$

$$
=1.1 \times 10^{-23}
$$

thus, $S^{5}=1 \times 10^{-25}$
$\mathrm{S}=1.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$.

## Problem 7.27

The values of $K_{\text {sp }}$ of two sparingly soluble salts $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ and AgCN are $2.0 \times 10^{-15}$ and $6 \times 0^{-17}$ respectively. Which salt is more soluble? Explain.

## Solution

$\mathrm{AgCN} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{CN}^{-}$

Table 7.9 The Solubility Product Constants, $K_{\text {sp }}$ of Some Common Ionic Salts at 298K.

| Name of the Salt | Formula | $K_{\text {sp }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Silver Bromide | AgBr | $5.0 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| Silver Carbonate | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $8.1 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| Silver Chromate | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| Silver Chloride | AgCl | $1.8 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| Silver Iodide | AgI | $8.3 \times 10^{-17}$ |
| Silver Sulphate | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Aluminium Hydroxide | $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-33}$ |
| Barium Chromate | $\mathrm{BaCrO}_{4}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| Barium Fluoride | $\mathrm{BaF}_{2}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Barium Sulphate | $\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| Calcium Carbonate | $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ | $2.8 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| Calcium Fluoride | $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ | $5.3 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| Calcium Hydroxide | $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | $5.5 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Calcium Oxalate | $\mathrm{CaC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $4.0 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| Calcium Sulphate | $\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}$ | $9.1 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Cadmium Hydroxide | $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | $2.5 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| Cadmium Sulphide | CdS | $8.0 \times 10^{-27}$ |
| Chromic Hydroxide | $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ | $6.3 \times 10^{-31}$ |
| Cuprous Bromide | CuBr | $5.3 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| Cupric Carbonate | $\mathrm{CuCO}_{3}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| Cuprous Chloride | CuCl | $1.7 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Cupric Hydroxide | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-20}$ |
| Cuprous Iodide | CuI | $1.1 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| Cupric Sulphide | CuS | $6.3 \times 10^{-36}$ |
| Ferrous Carbonate | $\mathrm{FeCO}_{3}$ | $3.2 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| Ferrous Hydroxide | $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | $8.0 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| Ferric Hydroxide | $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-38}$ |
| Ferrous Sulphide | FeS | $6.3 \times 10^{-18}$ |
| Mercurous Bromide | $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ | $5.6 \times 10^{-23}$ |
| Mercurous Chloride | $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-18}$ |
| Mercurous Iodide | $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ | $4.5 \times 10^{-29}$ |
| Mercurous Sulphate | $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | $7.4 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| Mercuric Sulphide | HgS | $4.0 \times 10^{-53}$ |
| Magnesium Carbonate | $\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| Magnesium Fluoride | $\mathrm{MgF}_{2}$ | $6.5 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| Magnesium Hydroxide | $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| Magnesium Oxalate | $\mathrm{MgC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $7.0 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| Manganese Carbonate | $\mathrm{MnCO}_{3}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| Manganese Sulphide | MnS | $2.5 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| Nickel Hydroxide | $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-15}$ |
| Nickel Sulphide | NiS | $4.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Lead Bromide | $\mathrm{PbBr}_{2}$ | $4.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Lead Carbonate | $\mathrm{PbCO}_{3}$ | $7.4 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| Lead Chloride | $\mathrm{PbCl}_{2}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Lead Fluoride | $\mathrm{PbF}_{2}$ | $7.7 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| Lead Hydroxide | $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-15}$ |
| Lead Iodide | $\mathrm{PbI}_{2}$ | $7.1 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| Lead Sulphate | $\mathrm{PbSO}_{4}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| Lead Sulphide | PbS | $8.0 \times 10^{-28}$ |
| Stannous Hydroxide | $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-28}$ |
| Stannous Sulphide | SnS | $1.0 \times 10^{-25}$ |
| Strontium Carbonate | $\mathrm{SrCO}_{3}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| Strontium Fluoride | $\mathrm{SrF}_{2}$ | $2.5 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| Strontium Sulphate | $\mathrm{SrSO}_{4}$ | $3.2 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| Thallous Bromide | TlBr | $3.4 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Thallous Chloride | TlCl | $1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Thallous Iodide | TlI | $6.5 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| Zinc Carbonate | $\mathrm{ZnCO}_{3}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| Zinc Hydroxide | $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-15}$ |
| Zinc Sulphide | ZnS | $1.6 \times 10^{-24}$ |

$K_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{CN}^{-}\right]=6 \times 10^{-17}$
$\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$
$K_{\text {sp }}=\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}=2 \times 10^{-15}$
Let $\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]=\mathrm{S}_{1}$, then $[\mathrm{CN}]=\mathrm{S}_{1}$
Let $\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]=\mathrm{S}_{2}$, then $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=2 \mathrm{~S}_{2}$
$\mathrm{S}_{1}{ }^{2}=6 \times 10^{-17}, \mathrm{~S}_{1}=7.8 \times 10^{-9}$
$\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)\left(2 \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)^{2}=2 \times 10^{-15}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}=0.58 \times 10^{-4}$
$\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ is more soluble than AgCN .

### 7.13.2 Common Ion Effect on Solubility of Ionic Salts

It is expected from Le Chatelier's principle that if we increase the concentration of any one of the ions, it should combine with the ion of its opposite charge and some of the salt will be precipitated till once again $K_{\mathrm{sp}}=\Theta_{\mathrm{sp}}$. Similarly, if the concentration of one of the ions is decreased, more salt will dissolve to increase the concentration of both the ions till once again $K_{\text {sp }}=\Theta_{\text {sp }}$. This is applicable even to soluble salts like sodium chloride except that due to higher concentrations of the ions, we use their activities instead of their molarities in the expression for $Q_{\mathrm{sp}}$. Thus if we take a saturated solution of sodium chloride and pass HCl gas through it, then sodium chloride is precipitated due to increased concentration (activity) of chloride ion available from the dissociation of HCl. Sodium chloride thus obtained is of very high purity and we can get rid of impurities like sodium and magnesium sulphates. The common ion effect is also used for almost complete precipitation of a particular ion as its sparingly soluble salt, with very low value of solubility product for gravimetric estimation. Thus we can precipitate silver ion as silver chloride, ferric ion as its hydroxide (or hydrated ferric oxide) and barium ion as its sulphate for quantitative estimations.

## Problem 7.28

Calculate the molar solubility of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ in 0.10 M NaOH . The ionic product of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ is $2.0 \times 10^{-15}$.

## Solution

Let the solubility of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ be equal to S .

Dissolution of $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ provides $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ and $2 \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$, but the total concentration of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}=(0.10+$ $2 \mathrm{~S}) \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ because the solution already contains $0.10 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}^{2}$ of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$from NaOH .

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\mathrm{sp}}=2.0 \times 10^{-15} & =\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2} \\
& =(\mathrm{S})(0.10+2 \mathrm{~S})^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $K_{\text {sp }}$ is small, $2 \mathrm{~S} \ll 0.10$,
thus, $(0.10+2 S) \approx 0.10$
Hence,
$2.0 \times 10^{-15}=\mathrm{S}(0.10)^{2}$
$\mathrm{S}=2.0 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]$
The solubility of salts of weak acids like phosphates increases at lower pH . This is because at lower pH the concentration of the anion decreases due to its protonation. This in turn increase the solubility of the salt so that $K_{\mathrm{sp}}=\Theta_{\mathrm{sp}}$. We have to satisfy two equilibria simultaneously i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{X}^{-}\right], \\
& \mathrm{HX}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{X}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \\
& K_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})\right]\left[\mathrm{X}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})\right]}{[\mathrm{HX}(\mathrm{aq})]}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left[\mathrm{X}^{-}\right] /[\mathrm{HX}]=K_{\mathrm{a}} /\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$
Taking inverse of both side and adding 1 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{[\mathrm{HX}]}{\left[\mathrm{X}^{-}\right]}+1=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{K_{\mathrm{a}}}+1 \\
& \frac{[\mathrm{HX}]+\left[\mathrm{H}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{X}^{-}\right]}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]+K_{\mathrm{a}}}{K_{\mathrm{a}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, again taking inverse, we get
$[\mathrm{X}] /\{[\mathrm{X}]+[\mathrm{HX}]\}=\mathrm{f}=K_{\mathrm{a}} /\left(K_{\mathrm{a}}+\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)$and it can be seen that ' f decreases as pH decreases. If S is the solubility of the salt at a given pH then

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{\mathrm{sp}}=[\mathrm{S}][\mathrm{f} \mathrm{~S}]=\mathrm{S}^{2}\left\{K_{\mathrm{a}} /\left(K_{\mathrm{a}}+\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)\right\} \text {and } \\
& \mathrm{S}=\left\{K_{\mathrm{sp}}\left(\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]+K_{\mathrm{a}}\right) / K_{\mathrm{a}}\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{7.46}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus solubility S increases with increase in $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$or decrease in pH .

## SUMMARY

When the number of molecules leaving the liquid to vapour equals the number of molecules returning to the liquid from vapour, equilibrium is said to be attained and is dynamic in nature. Equilibrium can be established for both physical and chemical processes and at this stage rate of forward and reverse reactions are equal. Equilibrium constant, $\boldsymbol{K}_{c}$ is expressed as the concentration of products divided by reactants, each term raised to the stoichiometric coefficient.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For reaction, a A + b B } \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{c} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{d} \mathrm{D} \\
& K_{\mathrm{c}}=[\mathrm{C}]^{\mathrm{c}}[\mathrm{D}]^{\mathrm{d}} /[\mathrm{A}]^{\mathrm{a}}[\mathrm{~B}]^{\mathrm{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equilibrium constant has constant value at a fixed temperature and at this stage all the macroscopic properties such as concentration, pressure, etc. become constant. For a gaseous reaction equilibrium constant is expressed as $K_{p}$ and is written by replacing concentration terms by partial pressures in $K_{c}$ expression. The direction of reaction can be predicted by reaction quotient $Q_{c}$ which is equal to $K_{c}$ at equilibrium. Le Chatelier's principle states that the change in any factor such as temperature, pressure, concentration, etc. will cause the equilibrium to shift in such a direction so as to reduce or counteract the effect of the change. It can be used to study the effect of various factors such as temperature, concentration, pressure, catalyst and inert gases on the direction of equilibrium and to control the yield of products by controlling these factors. Catalyst does not effect the equilibrium composition of a reaction mixture but increases the rate of chemical reaction by making available a new lower energy pathway for conversion of reactants to products and vice-versa.

All substances that conduct electricity in aqueous solutions are called electrolytes. Acids, bases and salts are electrolytes and the conduction of electricity by their aqueous solutions is due to anions and cations produced by the dissociation or ionization of electrolytes in aqueous solution. The strong electrolytes are completely dissociated. In weak electrolytes there is equilibrium between the ions and the unionized electrolyte molecules. According to Arrhenius, acids give hydrogen ions while bases produce hydroxyl ions in their aqueous solutions. Brönsted-Lowry on the other hand, defined an acid as a proton donor and a base as a proton acceptor. When a Brönsted-Lowry acid reacts with a base, it produces its conjugate base and a conjugate acid corresponding to the base with which it reacts. Thus a conjugate pair of acid-base differs only by one proton. Lewis further generalised the definition of an acid as an electron pair acceptor and a base as an electron pair donor. The expressions for ionization (equilibrium) constants of weak acids $\left(K_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and weak bases $\left(K_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ are developed using Arrhenius definition. The degree of ionization and its dependence on concentration and common ion are discussed. The $\mathbf{p H}$ scale ( $\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$) for the hydrogen ion concentration (activity) has been introduced and extended to other quantities $\left(\mathrm{pOH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]\right) ; \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=-\log \left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\right]$; $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}}=-\log \left[K_{\mathrm{b}}\right]$; and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{w}}=-\log \left[K_{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ etc.). The ionization of water has been considered and we note that the equation: $\mathrm{pH}+\mathrm{pOH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{w}}$ is always satisfied. The salts of strong acid and weak base, weak acid and strong base, and weak acid and weak base undergo hydrolysis in aqueous solution. The definition of buffer solutions, and their importance are discussed briefly. The solubility equilibrium of sparingly soluble salts is discussed and the equilibrium constant is introduced as solubility product constant ( $K_{\mathrm{sp}}$ ). Its relationship with solubility of the salt is established. The conditions of precipitation of the salt from their solutions or their dissolution in water are worked out. The role of common ion and the solubility of sparingly soluble salts is also discussed.

## SUGGESTED ACTIVITIES FOR STUDENTS REGARDING THIS UNIT

(a) The student may use pH paper in determining the pH of fresh juices of various vegetables and fruits, soft drinks, body fluids and also that of water samples available.
(b) The pH paper may also be used to determine the pH of different salt solutions and from that he/she may determine if these are formed from strong/weak acids and bases.
(c) They may prepare some buffer solutions by mixing the solutions of sodium acetate and acetic acid and determine their pH using pH paper.
(d) They may be provided with different indicators to observe their colours in solutions of varying pH .
(e) They may perform some acid-base titrations using indicators.
(f) They may observe common ion effect on the solubility of sparingly soluble salts.
(g) If pH meter is available in their school, they may measure the pH with it and compare the results obtained with that of the pH paper.

## EXERCISES

7.1 A liquid is in equilibrium with its vapour in a sealed container at a fixed temperature. The volume of the container is suddenly increased.
a) What is the initial effect of the change on vapour pressure?
b) How do rates of evaporation and condensation change initially?
c) What happens when equilibrium is restored finally and what will be the final vapour pressure?
7.2 What is $K_{c}$ for the following equilibrium when the equilibrium concentration of each substance is: $\left[\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right]=0.60 \mathrm{M},\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=0.82 \mathrm{M}$ and $\left[\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]=1.90 \mathrm{M}$ ?

$$
2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

7.3 At a certain temperature and total pressure of $10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}$, iodine vapour contains $40 \%$ by volume of I atoms

$$
\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

Calculate $K_{p}$ for the equilibrium.
7.4 Write the expression for the equilibrium constant, $K_{c}$ for each of the following reactions:
(i) $2 \mathrm{NOCl}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
(ii) $2 \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{CuO}$ (s) $+4 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
(iii) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ (aq)
(iv) $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$
(v) $\quad \mathrm{I}_{2}$ (s) $+5 \mathrm{~F}_{2} \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{IF}_{5}$
7.5 Find out the value of $K_{c}$ for each of the following equilibria from the value of $K_{p}$ :
(i) $\quad 2 \mathrm{NOCl}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ; K_{p}=1.8 \times 10^{-2}$ at 500 K
(ii) $\quad \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CaO}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ; K_{p}=167$ at 1073 K
7.6 For the following equilibrium, $K_{c}=6.3 \times 10^{14}$ at 1000 K
$\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
Both the forward and reverse reactions in the equilibrium are elementary bimolecular reactions. What is $K_{c}$, for the reverse reaction?
7.7 Explain why pure liquids and solids can be ignored while writing the equilibrium constant expression?
7.8 Reaction between $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2-}$ takes place as follows:

$$
2 \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

If a mixture of $0.482 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ and 0.933 mol of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is placed in a 10 L reaction vessel and allowed to form $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at a temperature for which $K_{\mathrm{c}}=2.0 \times 10^{-37}$, determine the composition of equilibrium mixture.
7.9 Nitric oxide reacts with $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and gives nitrosyl bromide as per reaction given below:

$$
2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NOBr}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

When 0.087 mol of NO and 0.0437 mol of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ are mixed in a closed container at constant temperature, 0.0518 mol of NOBr is obtained at equilibrium. Calculate equilibrium amount of NO and $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$.
7.10 At $450 \mathrm{~K}, K_{p}=2.0 \times 10^{10} /$ bar for the given reaction at equilibrium.

$$
2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

What is $K_{c}$ at this temperature ?
7.11 A sample of $\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})$ is placed in flask at a pressure of 0.2 atm . At equilibrium the partial pressure of $\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})$ is 0.04 atm . What is $K_{p}$ for the given equilibrium ?

$$
2 \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

7.12 A mixture of 1.57 mol of $\mathrm{N}_{2}, 1.92 \mathrm{~mol}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and 8.13 mol of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is introduced into a 20 L reaction vessel at 500 K . At this temperature, the equilibrium constant, $K_{\mathrm{c}}$ for the reaction $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ is $1.7 \times 10^{2}$. Is the reaction mixture at equilibrium? If not, what is the direction of the net reaction?
7.13 The equilibrium constant expression for a gas reaction is,

$$
K_{c}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{4}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{5}}{\left[\mathrm{NO}^{4}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{6}\right.}
$$

Write the balanced chemical equation corresponding to this expression.
7.14 One mole of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and one mole of CO are taken in 10 L vessel and heated to 725 K . At equilibrium $40 \%$ of water (by mass) reacts with CO according to the equation,

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

Calculate the equilibrium constant for the reaction.
7.15 At 700 K , equilibrium constant for the reaction:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

is 54.8. If $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ of $\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})$ is present at equilibrium at 700 K , what are the concentration of $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ assuming that we initially started with $\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g})$ and allowed it to reach equilibrium at 700 K ?
7.16 What is the equilibrium concentration of each of the substances in the equilibrium when the initial concentration of ICl was 0.78 M ?
$2 \mathrm{ICl}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \quad K_{c}=0.14$
$7.17 \quad K_{p}=0.04 \mathrm{~atm}$ at 899 K for the equilibrium shown below. What is the equilibrium concentration of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ when it is placed in a flask at 4.0 atm pressure and allowed to come to equilibrium?

$$
\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

7.18 Ethyl acetate is formed by the reaction between ethanol and acetic acid and the equilibrium is represented as:

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
$$

(i) Write the concentration ratio (reaction quotient), $Q_{c}$, for this reaction (note: water is not in excess and is not a solvent in this reaction)
(ii) At 293 K , if one starts with 1.00 mol of acetic acid and 0.18 mol of ethanol, there is 0.171 mol of ethyl acetate in the final equilibrium mixture. Calculate the equilibrium constant.
(iii) Starting with 0.5 mol of ethanol and 1.0 mol of acetic acid and maintaining it at $293 \mathrm{~K}, 0.214 \mathrm{~mol}$ of ethyl acetate is found after sometime. Has equilibrium been reached?
7.19 A sample of pure $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ was introduced into an evacuated vessel at 473 K . After equilibrium was attained, concentration of $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ was found to be $0.5 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$. If value of $K_{\mathrm{c}}$ is $8.3 \times 10^{-3}$, what are the concentrations of $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at equilibrium?

$$
\mathrm{PCl}_{5}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{PCl}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

7.20 One of the reaction that takes place in producing steel from iron ore is the reduction of iron(II) oxide by carbon monoxide to give iron metal and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.
$\mathrm{FeO}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \quad K_{p}=0.265 \mathrm{~atm}$ at 1050 K
What are the equilibrium partial pressures of CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at 1050 K if the initial partial pressures are: $p_{\mathrm{CO}}=1.4 \mathrm{~atm}$ and $\quad=0.80 \mathrm{~atm}$ ?
7.21 Equilibrium constant, $K_{c}$ for the reaction

$$
\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \text { at } 500 \mathrm{~K} \text { is } 0.061
$$

At a particular time, the analysis shows that composition of the reaction mixture is $3.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$. Is the reaction at equilibrium? If not in which direction does the reaction tend to proceed to reach equilibrium?
7.22 Bromine monochloride, BrCl decomposes into bromine and chlorine and reaches the equilibrium:

$$
2 \mathrm{BrCl}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

for which $K_{\mathrm{c}}=32$ at 500 K . If initially pure BrCl is present at a concentration of $3.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, what is its molar concentration in the mixture at equilibrium?
7.23 At 1127 K and 1 atm pressure, a gaseous mixture of CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in equilibrium with soild carbon has $90.55 \%$ CO by mass

$$
\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

Calculate $K_{c}$ for this reaction at the above temperature.
7.24 Calculate a) $\Delta G^{\ominus}$ and b) the equilibrium constant for the formation of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ from NO and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ at 298 K

$$
\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

where
$\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} G^{\ominus}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)=52.0 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} G^{\ominus}(\mathrm{NO})=87.0 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} G^{\ominus}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)=0 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
7.25 Does the number of moles of reaction products increase, decrease or remain same when each of the following equilibria is subjected to a decrease in pressure by increasing the volume?
(a) $\quad \mathrm{PCl}_{5}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{PCl}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
(b) $\quad \mathrm{CaO}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$
(c) $\quad 3 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
7.26 Which of the following reactions will get affected by increasing the pressure? Also, mention whether change will cause the reaction to go into forward or backward direction.
(i) $\quad \mathrm{COCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
(ii) $\quad \mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CS}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~g})$
(iii) $\quad \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{s}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})$
(iv) $\quad 2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{g})$
(v) $\quad \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CaO}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
(vi) $\quad 4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 4 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$
7.27 The equilibrium constant for the following reaction is $1.6 \times 10^{5}$ at 1024 K
$\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{HBr}(\mathrm{g})$
Find the equilibrium pressure of all gases if 10.0 bar of HBr is introduced into a sealed container at 1024 K .
7.28 Dihydrogen gas is obtained from natural gas by partial oxidation with steam as per following endothermic reaction:
$\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
(a) Write as expression for $K_{p}$ for the above reaction.
(b) How will the values of $K_{p}$ and composition of equilibrium mixture be affected by
(i) increasing the pressure
(ii) increasing the temperature
(iii) using a catalyst?
7.29 Describe the effect of :
a) addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$
b) addition of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$
c) removal of CO
d) removal of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$
on the equilibrium of the reaction:

$$
2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

7.30 At 473 K , equilibrium constant $K_{c}$ for decomposition of phosphorus pentachloride, $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ is $8.3 \times 10^{-3}$. If decomposition is depicted as,
$\mathrm{PCl}_{5}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{PCl}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{r}} H^{\ominus}=124.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
a) write an expression for $K_{c}$ for the reaction.
b) what is the value of $K_{c}$ for the reverse reaction at the same temperature ?
c) what would be the effect on $K_{c}$ if (i) more $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ is added (ii) pressure is increased (iii) the temperature is increased?
7.31 Dihydrogen gas used in Haber's process is produced by reacting methane from natural gas with high temperature steam. The first stage of two stage reaction involves the formation of CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. In second stage, CO formed in first stage is reacted with more steam in water gas shift reaction,
$\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$

If a reaction vessel at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is charged with an equimolar mixture of CO and steam such that $p_{\mathrm{co}}=p_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=4.0$ bar, what will be the partial pressure of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ at equilibrium? $K_{p}=10.1$ at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
7.32 Predict which of the following reaction will have appreciable concentration of reactants and products:
a) $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g}) \quad K_{c}=5 \times 10^{-39}$
b) $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NOCl}(\mathrm{g}) \quad K_{\mathrm{c}}=3.7 \times 10^{8}$
c) $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g}) \quad K_{c}=1.8$
7.33 The value of $K_{c}$ for the reaction $3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ is $2.0 \times 10^{-50}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. If the equilibrium concentration of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in air at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $1.6 \times 10^{-2}$, what is the concentration of $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ ?
7.34 The reaction, $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$
is at equilibrium at 1300 K in a 1 L flask. It also contain 0.30 mol of $\mathrm{CO}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mol}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and 0.02 mol of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and an unknown amount of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ in the flask. Determine the concentration of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ in the mixture. The equilibrium constant, $K_{c}$ for the reaction at the given temperature is 3.90 .
7.35 What is meant by the conjugate acid-base pair? Find the conjugate acid/base for the following species:
$\mathrm{HNO}_{2}, \mathrm{CN}^{-}, \mathrm{HClO}_{4} \mathrm{~F}^{-}, \mathrm{OH}^{-}, \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$
7.36 Which of the followings are Lewis acids? $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{BF}_{3}, \mathrm{H}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$
7.37 What will be the conjugate bases for the Brönsted acids: $\mathrm{HF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}$?
7.38 Write the conjugate acids for the following Brönsted bases: $\mathrm{NH}_{2}^{-}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{HCOO}^{-}$.
7.39 The species: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ can act both as Brönsted acids and bases. For each case give the corresponding conjugate acid and base.
7.40 Classify the following species into Lewis acids and Lewis bases and show how these act as Lewis acid/base: (a) $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$(b) $\mathrm{F}^{-}$(c) $\mathrm{H}^{+}$(d) $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$.
7.41 The concentration of hydrogen ion in a sample of soft drink is $3.8 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$. what is its pH ?
7.42 The pH of a sample of vinegar is 3.76. Calculate the concentration of hydrogen ion in it.
7.43 The ionization constant of $\mathrm{HF}, \mathrm{HCOOH}$ and HCN at 298 K are $6.8 \times 10^{-4}$, $1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ and $4.8 \times 10^{-9}$ respectively. Calculate the ionization constants of the corresponding conjugate base.
7.44 The ionization constant of phenol is $1.0 \times 10^{-10}$. What is the concentration of phenolate ion in 0.05 M solution of phenol? What will be its degree of ionization if the solution is also 0.01 M in sodium phenolate?
7.45 The first ionization constant of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is $9.1 \times 10^{-8}$. Calculate the concentration of $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$ion in its 0.1 M solution. How will this concentration be affected if the solution is 0.1 M in HCl also ? If the second dissociation constant of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is $1.2 \times 10^{-13}$, calculate the concentration of $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ under both conditions.
7.46 The ionization constant of acetic acid is $1.74 \times 10^{-5}$. Calculate the degree of dissociation of acetic acid in its 0.05 M solution. Calculate the concentration of acetate ion in the solution and its pH .
7.47 It has been found that the pH of a 0.01 M solution of an organic acid is 4.15 . Calculate the concentration of the anion, the ionization constant of the acid and its $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$.
7.48 Assuming complete dissociation, calculate the pH of the following solutions:
(a) 0.003 M HCl
(b) 0.005 M NaOH
(c) 0.002 M HBr
(d) 0.002 M KOH
7.49 Calculate the pH of the following solutions:
a) 2 g of TlOH dissolved in water to give 2 litre of solution.
b) $\quad 0.3 \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ dissolved in water to give 500 mL of solution.
c) $\quad 0.3 \mathrm{~g}$ of NaOH dissolved in water to give 200 mL of solution.
d) $\quad 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 13.6 M HCl is diluted with water to give 1 litre of solution.
7.50 The degree of ionization of a 0.1 M bromoacetic acid solution is 0.132 . Calculate the pH of the solution and the $p K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of bromoacetic acid.
7.51 The pH of 0.005 M codeine $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)$ solution is 9.95. Calculate its ionization constant and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}}$.
7.52 What is the pH of 0.001 M aniline solution ? The ionization constant of aniline can be taken from Table 7.7. Calculate the degree of ionization of aniline in the solution. Also calculate the ionization constant of the conjugate acid of aniline.
7.53 Calculate the degree of ionization of 0.05 M acetic acid if its $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value is 4.74 . How is the degree of dissociation affected when its solution also contains (a) 0.01 M (b) 0.1 M in HCl ?
7.54 The ionization constant of dimethylamine is $5.4 \times 10^{-4}$. Calculate its degree of ionization in its 0.02 M solution. What percentage of dimethylamine is ionized if the solution is also 0.1 M in NaOH ?
7.55 Calculate the hydrogen ion concentration in the following biological fluids whose pH are given below:
(a) Human muscle-fluid, 6.83
(b) Human stomach fluid, 1.2
(c) Human blood, 7.38
(d) Human saliva, 6.4.
7.56 The pH of milk, black coffee, tomato juice, lemon juice and egg white are 6.8, $5.0,4.2,2.2$ and 7.8 respectively. Calculate corresponding hydrogen ion concentration in each.
7.57 If 0.561 g of KOH is dissolved in water to give 200 mL of solution at 298 K . Calculate the concentrations of potassium, hydrogen and hydroxyl ions. What is its pH ?
7.58 The solubility of $\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ at 298 K is $19.23 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ of solution. Calculate the concentrations of strontium and hydroxyl ions and the pH of the solution.
7.59 The ionization constant of propanoic acid is $1.32 \times 10^{-5}$. Calculate the degree of ionization of the acid in its 0.05 M solution and also its pH . What will be its degree of ionization if the solution is 0.01 M in HCl also?
7.60 The pH of 0.1 M solution of cyanic acid (HCNO) is 2.34. Calculate the ionization constant of the acid and its degree of ionization in the solution.
7.61 The ionization constant of nitrous acid is $4.5 \times 10^{-4}$. Calculate the pH of 0.04 M sodium nitrite solution and also its degree of hydrolysis.
7.62 A 0.02 M solution of pyridinium hydrochloride has $\mathrm{pH}=3.44$. Calculate the ionization constant of pyridine.
7.63 Predict if the solutions of the following salts are neutral, acidic or basic: $\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{NaCN}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}, \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ and KF
7.64 The ionization constant of chloroacetic acid is $1.35 \times 10^{-3}$. What will be the pH of 0.1 M acid and its 0.1 M sodium salt solution?
7.65 Ionic product of water at 310 K is $2.7 \times 10^{-14}$. What is the pH of neutral water at this temperature?
7.66 Calculate the pH of the resultant mixtures:
a) 10 mL of $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}+25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 0.1 M HCl
b) 10 mL of $0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}+10 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$
c) 10 mL of $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}+10 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 0.1 M KOH
7.67 Determine the solubilities of silver chromate, barium chromate, ferric hydroxide, lead chloride and mercurous iodide at 298 K from their solubility product constants given in Table 7.9. Determine also the molarities of individual ions.
7.68 The solubility product constant of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$ and AgBr are $1.1 \times 10^{-12}$ and $5.0 \times 10^{-13}$ respectively. Calculate the ratio of the molarities of their saturated solutions.
7.69 Equal volumes of 0.002 M solutions of sodium iodate and cupric chlorate are mixed together. Will it lead to precipitation of copper iodate? (For cupric iodate $K_{\text {sp }}=7.4 \times 10^{-8}$ ).
7.70 The ionization constant of benzoic acid is $6.46 \times 10^{-5}$ and $K_{\text {sp }}$ for silver benzoate is $2.5 \times 10^{-13}$. How many times is silver benzoate more soluble in a buffer of pH 3.19 compared to its solubility in pure water?
7.71 What is the maximum concentration of equimolar solutions of ferrous sulphate and sodium sulphide so that when mixed in equal volumes, there is no precipitation of iron sulphide? (For iron sulphide, $K_{\text {sp }}=6.3 \times 10^{-18}$ ).
7.72 What is the minimum volume of water required to dissolve 1 g of calcium sulphate at 298 K ? (For calcium sulphate, $K_{\mathrm{sp}}$ is $9.1 \times 10^{-6}$ ).
7.73 The concentration of sulphide ion in 0.1 M HCl solution saturated with hydrogen sulphide is $1.0 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{M}$. If 10 mL of this is added to 5 mL of 0.04 M solution of the following: $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4}, \mathrm{MnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$. in which of these solutions precipitation will take place?

## Definitions of the SI Base Units

Metre (m): The metre is the length of path travelled by light in vacuum during a time interval of $1 / 299792458$ of a second ( $17^{\text {th }}$ CGPM, 1983).
Kilogram (kg): The kilogram is the unit of mass; it is equal to the mass of the international prototype of the kilogram (3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ CGPM, 1901).

Second (s): The second is the duration of 9192631770 periods of the radiation corresponding to the transition between the two hyperfine levels of the ground state of the caesium-133 atom ( $13^{\text {th }}$ CGPM, 1967).

Ampere (A): The ampere is that constant current which, if maintained in two straight parallel conductors of infinite length, of negligible circular cross-section, and placed 1 metre apart in vacuum, would produce between these conductors a force equal to $2 \times 10^{-7}$ Newton per metre of length ( $9^{\text {th }}$ CGPM, 1948).

Kelvin (K): The kelvin, unit of thermodynamic temperature, is the fraction $1 / 273.16$ of the thermodynamic temperature of the triple point of water ( $13^{\text {th }}$ CGPM, 1967).

Mole (mol): The mole is the amount of substance of a system which contains as many elementary entities as there are atoms in 0.012 kilogram of carbon-12. When the mole is used, the elementary entities must be specified and may be atoms, molecules, ions, electrons, other particles, or specified groups of such particles ( $14^{\text {th }}$ CGPM, 1971).
Candela (cd): The candela is the luminous intensity, in a given direction, of a source that emits monochromatic radiation of frequency $540 \times 10^{12}$ hertz and that has a radiant intensity in that direction of $(1 / 683)$ watt per steradian ( $16^{\text {th }}$ CGPM, 1979) .
(The symbols listed here are internationally agreed and should not be changed in other languages or scripts).

## Elements, their Atomic Number and Molar Mass

| Element | Symbol | Atomic <br> Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Molar } \\ \text { mass/ } \\ \left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actinium | Ac | 89 | 227.03 |
| Aluminium | Al | 13 | 26.98 |
| Americium | Am | 95 | (243) |
| Antimony | Sb | 51 | 121.75 |
| Argon | Ar | 18 | 39.95 |
| Arsenic | As | 33 | 74.92 |
| Astatine | At | 85 | 210 |
| Barium | Ba | 56 | 137.34 |
| Berkelium | Bk | 97 | (247) |
| Beryllium | Be | 4 | 9.01 |
| Bismuth | Bi | 83 | 208.98 |
| Bohrium | Bh | 107 | (264) |
| Boron | B | 5 | 10.81 |
| Bromine | Br | 35 | 79.91 |
| Cadmium | Cd | 48 | 112.40 |
| Caesium | Cs | 55 | 132.91 |
| Calcium | Ca | 20 | 40.08 |
| Californium | Cf | 98 | 251.08 |
| Carbon | C | 6 | 12.01 |
| Cerium | Ce | 58 | 140.12 |
| Chlorine | Cl | 17 | 35.45 |
| Chromium | Cr | 24 | 52.00 |
| Cobalt | Co | 27 | 58.93 |
| Copper | Cu | 29 | 63.54 |
| Curium | Cm | 96 | 247.07 |
| Dubnium | Db | 105 | (263) |
| Dysprosium | Dy | 66 | 162.50 |
| Einsteinium | Es | 99 | (252) |
| Erbium | Er | 68 | 167.26 |
| Europium | Eu | 63 | 151.96 |
| Fermium | Fm | 100 | (257.10) |
| Fluorine | F | 9 | 19.00 |
| Francium | Fr | 87 | (223) |
| Gadolinium | Gd | 64 | 157.25 |
| Gallium | Ga | 31 | 69.72 |
| Germanium | Ge | 32 | 72.61 |
| Gold | Au | 79 | 196.97 |
| Hafnium | Hf | 72 | 178.49 |
| Hassium | Hs | 108 | (269) |
| Helium | He | 2 | 4.00 |
| Holmium | Но | 67 | 164.93 |
| Hydrogen | H | 1 | 1.0079 |
| Indium | In | 49 | 114.82 |
| Iodine | I | 53 | 126.90 |
| Iridium | Ir | 77 | 192.2 |
| Iron | Fe | 26 | 55.85 |
| Krypton | Kr | 36 | 83.80 |
| Lanthanum | La | 57 | 138.91 |
| Lawrencium | Lr | 103 | (262.1) |
| Lead | Pb | 82 | 207.19 |
| Lithium | Li | 3 | 6.94 |
| Lutetium | Lu | 71 | 174.96 |
| Magnesium | Mg | 12 | 24.31 |
| Manganese | Mn | 25 | 54.94 |
| Meitneium | Mt | 109 | (268) |
| Mendelevium | Md | 101 | 258.10 |


| Element | Symbol | Atomic Number | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Molar } \\ \text { mass/ } \\ \left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mercury | Hg | 80 | 200.59 |
| Molybdenum | Mo | 42 | 95.94 |
| Neodymium | Nd | 60 | 144.24 |
| Neon | Ne | 10 | 20.18 |
| Neptunium | Np | 93 | (237.05) |
| Nickel | Ni | 28 | 58.71 |
| Niobium | Nb | 41 | 92.91 |
| Nitrogen | N | 7 | 14.0067 |
| Nobelium | No | 102 | (259) |
| Osmium | Os | 76 | 190.2 |
| Oxygen | O | 8 | 16.00 |
| Palladium | Pd | 46 | 106.4 |
| Phosphorus | P | 15 | 30.97 |
| Platinum | Pt | 78 | 195.09 |
| Plutonium | Pu | 94 | (244) |
| Polonium | Po | 84 | 210 |
| Potassium | K | 19 | 39.10 |
| Praseodymium | Pr | 59 | 140.91 |
| Promethium | Pm | 61 | (145) |
| Protactinium | Pa | 91 | 231.04 |
| Radium | Ra | 88 | (226) |
| Radon | Rn | 86 | (222) |
| Rhenium | Re | 75 | 186.2 |
| Rhodium | Rh | 45 | 102.91 |
| Rubidium | Rb | 37 | 85.47 |
| Ruthenium | Ru | 44 | 101.07 |
| Rutherfordium | Rf | 104 | (261) |
| Samarium | Sm | 62 | 150.35 |
| Scandium | Sc | 21 | 44.96 |
| Seaborgium | Sg | 106 | (266) |
| Selenium | Se | 34 | 78.96 |
| Silicon | Si | 14 | 28.08 |
| Silver | Ag | 47 | 107.87 |
| Sodium | Na | 11 | 22.99 |
| Strontium | Sr | 38 | 87.62 |
| Sulphur | S | 16 | 32.06 |
| Tantalum | Ta | 73 | 180.95 |
| Technetium | Tc | 43 | (98.91) |
| Tellurium | Te | 52 | 127.60 |
| Terbium | Tb | 65 | 158.92 |
| Thallium | Tl | 81 | 204.37 |
| Thorium | Th | 90 | 232.04 |
| Thulium | Tm | 69 | 168.93 |
| Tin | Sn | 50 | 118.69 |
| Titanium | Ti | 22 | 47.88 |
| Tungsten | W | 74 | 183.85 |
| Ununbium | Uub | 112 | (277) |
| Ununnilium | Uun | 110 | (269) |
| Unununium | Uuu | 111 | (272) |
| Uranium | U | 92 | 238.03 |
| Vanadium | V | 23 | 50.94 |
| Xenon | Xe | 54 | 131.30 |
| Ytterbium | Yb | 70 | 173.04 |
| Yttrium | Y | 39 | 88.91 |
| Zinc | Zn | 30 | 65.37 |
| Zirconium | Zr | 40 | 91.22 |

The value given in parenthesis is the molar mass of the isotope of largest known half-life.


| Gas | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monatomic* |  |  |  |  |
| helium | 20.9 | 12.8 | 8.28 | 1.63 |
| argon | 20.8 | 12.5 | 8.33 | 1.66 |
| iodine | 20.9 | 12.6 | 8.37 | 1.66 |
| mercury | 20.8 | 12.5 | 8.33 | 1.66 |
| Diatomic $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| hydrogen | 28.6 | 20.2 | 8.33 | 1.41 |
| oxygen | 29.1 | 20.8 | 8.33 | 1.39 |
| nitrogen | 29.0 | 20.7 | 8.30 | 1.40 |
| hydrogen chloride | 29.6 | 21.0 | 8.60 | 1.39 |
| carbon monoxide | 29.0 | 21.0 | 8.00 | 1.41 |
| Triatomic $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| nitrous oxide | 39.0 | 30.5 | 8.50 | 1.28 |
| carbon dioxide | 37.5 | 29.0 | 8.50 | 1.29 |
| Polyatomic $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| *Translational kinetic $\dagger$ Translational, vibrat | rgy on and | ener |  |  |

## Physical Constants

| Guantity | Symbol | Traditional Units | SI Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acceleration of gravity | $g$ | $980.6 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ | $9.806 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Atomic mass unit ( $1 / 12$ the mass of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ atom) | $\begin{gathered} \text { amu } \\ \text { or } u \end{gathered}$ | $1.6606 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~g}$ | $1.6606 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}$ |
| Avogadro constant | $N_{\text {A }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.022 \times 10^{23} \\ & \text { particles } / \mathrm{mol} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.022 \times 10^{23} \\ & \text { particles } / \mathrm{mol} \end{aligned}$ |
| Bohr radius | $a_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.52918 \AA \\ & 5.2918 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~cm} \end{aligned}$ | $5.2918 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Boltzmann constant | $k$ | $1.3807 \times 10^{-16} \mathrm{erg} / \mathrm{K}$ | $1.3807 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$ |
| Charge-to-mass ratio of electron | $e / m$ | $1.758820 \times 10^{8}$ coulomb/g | $1.7588 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Electronic charge | $e$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.602176 \times 10^{-19} \text { coulomb } \\ & 4.8033 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{esu} \end{aligned}$ | $1.60219 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Electron rest mass | $m_{e}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.109382 \times 10^{-28} \mathrm{~g} \\ & 0.00054859 \mathrm{u} \end{aligned}$ | $9.10952 \times 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg}$ |
| Faraday constant | F | 96,487 coulombs/eq $23.06 \mathrm{kcal} /$ volt. eq | $\begin{aligned} & 96,487 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e} \\ & \text { 96, } \\ & \text { 967 J/V.mol e} \end{aligned}$ |
| Gas constant | $R$ | $0.8206 \frac{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}}$ | $8.3145 \frac{\mathrm{kPa} \mathrm{dm}^{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}}$ |
|  |  | $1.987 \frac{\mathrm{cal}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}}$ | $8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol} . \mathrm{K}$ |
| Molar volume (STP) | $V_{m}$ | $22.710981 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.710981 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{mol} \\ & 22.710981 \mathrm{dm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol} \end{aligned}$ |
| Neutron rest mass | $m_{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.674927 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~g} \\ & 1.008665 \mathrm{u} \end{aligned}$ | $1.67495 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}$ |
| Planck constant |  | $6.6262 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{ergs}$ | $6.6262 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Proton rest mass | $m_{p}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6726216 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~g} \\ & 1.007277 \mathrm{u} \end{aligned}$ | $1.6726 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}$ |
| Rydberg constant | $R_{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.289 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{cycles} / \mathrm{s} \\ & 2.1799 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{erg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0974 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~m}^{-1} \\ & 2.1799 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J} \end{aligned}$ |
| Speed of light (in a vacuum) | c | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9979 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s} \\ & (186,281 \mathrm{miles} / \text { second }) \end{aligned}$ | $2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ |


| $\pi=3.1416$ | $2.303 R=4.576 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}=19.15 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $e=2.71828$ | $2.303 R T\left(\right.$ at $\left.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1364 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}=5709 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| $\ln X=2.303 \log X$ |  |

## Some Useful Conversion Factors

## Common Unit of Mass and Weight 1 pound $=453.59$ grams

1 pound $=453.59$ grams $=0.45359$ kilogram
1 kilogram $=1000$ grams $=2.205$ pounds
1 gram $=10$ decigrams $=100$ centigrams

$$
=1000 \text { milligrams }
$$

1 gram $=6.022 \times 10^{23}$ atomic mass units or $u$
1 atomic mass unit $=1.6606 \times 10^{-24}$ gram
1 metric tonne $=1000$ kilograms

$$
=2205 \text { pounds }
$$

## Common Unit of Volume <br> 1 quart = 0.9463 litre <br> 1 litre $=1.056$ quarts

1 litre $=1$ cubic decimetre $=1000$ cubic centimetres $=0.001$ cubic metre
1 millilitre $=1$ cubic centimetre $=0.001$ litre

$$
=1.056 \times 10^{-3} \text { quart }
$$

1 cubic foot $=28.316$ litres $=29.902$ quarts

$$
=7.475 \text { gallons }
$$

## Common Units of Energy <br> 1 joule $=1 \times 10^{7}$ ergs

1 thermochemical calorie**

$$
=4.184 \text { joules }
$$

$$
=4.184 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{ergs}
$$

$=4.129 \times 10^{-2}$ litre-atmospheres
$=2.612 \times 10^{19}$ electron volts
$1 \mathrm{ergs}=1 \times 10^{-7}$ joule $=2.3901 \times 10^{-8}$ calorie
1 electron volt $=1.6022 \times 10^{-19}$ joule

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1.6022 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{erg} \\
& =96.487 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \dagger
\end{aligned}
$$

1 litre-atmosphere $=24.217$ calories

$$
=101.32 \text { joules }
$$

$$
=1.0132 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{ergs}
$$

1 British thermal unit $=1055.06$ joules
$=1.05506 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{ergs}$
$=252.2$ calories

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Common Units of Length } \\
& \mathbf{1} \text { inch }=\mathbf{2 . 5 4} \text { centimetres (exactly) } \\
& 1 \text { mile }=5280 \text { feet }=1.609 \text { kilometres } \\
& 1 \text { yard }=36 \text { inches }=0.9144 \text { metre } \\
& 1 \text { metre }=100 \text { centimetres }=39.37 \text { inches } \\
& \\
& =3.281 \text { feet } \\
& \\
& =1.094 \text { yards } \\
& 1 \text { kilometre }
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& 1000 \text { metres }=1094 \text { yards } \\
&=0.6215 \text { mile } \\
& \begin{aligned}
1 \text { Angstrom } & =1.0 \times 10^{-8} \text { centimetre } \\
& =0.10 \text { nanometre } \\
& =1.0 \times 10^{-10} \text { metre } \\
& =3.937 \times 10^{-9} \text { inch }
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Common Units of Force* and Pressure

1 atmosphere $=760$ millimetres of mercury
$=1.013 \times 10^{5}$ pascals
$=14.70$ pounds per square inch
1 bar $=10^{5}$ pascals
1 torr = 1 millimetre of mercury
1 pascal $=1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ms}^{2}=1 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

## Temperature

## SI Base Unit: Kelvin (K)

$\mathrm{K}=-273.15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{K}={ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+273.15$
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}=1.8\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)+32$
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=\frac{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}-32}{1.8}$

* Force: 1 newton $(N)=1 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$, i.e., the force that, when applied for 1 second, gives a 1-kilogram mass a velocity of 1 metre per second.
** The amount of heat required to raise the temperature of one gram of water from $14.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $15.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\dagger$ Note that the other units are per particle and must be multiplied by $6.022 \times 10^{23}$ to be strictly comparable.


## Thermodynamic Data at 298 K

INORGANIC SUBSTANCES

| Substance | Enthalpy of formation, $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \boldsymbol{H}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}\right)$ | Gibbs Energy of formation, $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} G^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Entropy,* <br> $\mathbf{S}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathbf{J ~ K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aluminium |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{s})$ | 0 | 0 | 28.33 |
| $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ (aq) | - 524.7 | -481.2 | -321.7 |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -1675.7 | -1582.3 | 50.92 |
| $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -1276 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -704.2 | -628.8 | 110.67 |
| Antimony |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SbH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 145.11 | 147.75 | 232.78 |
| $\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -313.8 | -301.2 | 337.80 |
| $\mathrm{SbCl}_{5}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -394.34 | -334.29 | 401.94 |
| Arsenic |  |  |  |
| As(s), gray | 0 | 0 | 35.1 |
| $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -169.0 | -168.6 | 163.6 |
| $\mathrm{AsO}_{4}^{3-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -888.14 | -648.41 | -162.8 |
| Barium |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{s})$ | 0 | 0 | 62.8 |
| $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -537.64 | -560.77 | 9.6 |
| $\mathrm{BaO}(\mathrm{s})$ | -553.5 | -525.1 | 70.42 |
| $\mathrm{BaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -1216.3 | -1137.6 | 112.1 |
| $\mathrm{BaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -1214.78 | -1088.59 | -47.3 |
| Boron |  |  |  |
| B (s) | 0 | 0 | 5.86 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -1272.8 | -1193.7 | 53.97 |
| $\mathrm{BF}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -1137.0 | -1120.3 | 254.12 |
| Bromine |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{l})$ | 0 | 0 | 152.23 |
| $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 30.91 | 3.11 | 245.46 |
| $\operatorname{Br}(\mathrm{g})$ | 111.88 | 82.40 | 175.02 |
| $\mathrm{Br}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -121.55 | -103.96 | 82.4 |
| $\mathrm{HBr}(\mathrm{g})$ | -36.40 | -53.45 | 198.70 |
| $\mathrm{BrF}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -255.60 | -229.43 | 292.53 |
| Calcium |  |  |  |
| Ca (s) | 0 | 0 | 41.42 |
| $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{g})$ | 178.2 | 144.3 | 154.88 |
| $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -542.83 | -553.58 | -53.1 |


| Substance | Enthalpy of formation, $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}\right)$ | Gibbs Energy of formation, $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} G^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Entropy,* $\mathbf{S}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathbf{J ~ K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calcium (continued) |  |  |  |
| CaO (s) | -635.09 | -604.03 | 39.75 |
| $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -986.09 | -898.49 | 83.39 |
| $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -1002.82 | -868.07 | -74.5 |
| $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$, calcite | -1206.92 | -1128.8 | 92.9 |
| $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$, aragonite | -1207.1 | -1127.8 | 88.7 |
| $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -1219.97 | -1081.39 | -110.0 |
| $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -1219.6 | -1167.3 | 68.87 |
| $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -1208.09 | -1111.15 | -80.8 |
| $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -795.8 | -748.1 | 104.6 |
| $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -877.1 | -816.0 | 59.8 |
| $\mathrm{CaBr}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -682.8 | -663.6 | 130 |
| $\mathrm{CaC}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -59.8 | -64.9 | 69.96 |
| CaS(s) | -482.4 | -477.4 | 56.5 |
| $\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -1434.11 | -1321.79 | 106.7 |
| $\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -1452.10 | -1298.10 | -33.1 |
| Carbon** |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{s})$, graphite | 0 | 0 | 5.740 |
| $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{s})$, diamond | 1.895 | 2.900 | 2.377 |
| $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{g})$ | 716.68 | 671.26 | 158.10 |
| $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})$ | -110.53 | -137.17 | 197.67 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -393.51 | -394.36 | 213.74 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}$ (aq) | -677.14 | -527.81 | -56.9 |
| $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(1)$ | -135.44 | -65.21 | 216.40 |
| $\mathrm{CS}_{2}(1)$ | 89.70 | 65.27 | 151.34 |
| HCN(g) | 135.1 | 124.7 | 201.78 |
| HCN(1) | 108.87 | 124.97 | 112.84 |
| Cerium |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 72.0 |
| $\mathrm{Ce}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -696.2 | -672.0 | -205 |
| $\mathrm{Ce}^{4+}$ (aq) | -537.2 | -503.8 | -301 |
| Chlorine |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 0 | 0 | 223.07 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g})$ | 121.68 | 105.68 | 165.20 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | ) -167.16 | -131.23 | 56.5 |
| $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g})$ | -92.31 | -95.30 | 186.91 |
| $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -167.16 | -131.23 | 56.5 |
| Copper |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{s})$ | 0 | 0 | 33.15 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | 71.67 | 49.98 | 40.6 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ (aq) | 64.77 | 65.49 | -99.6 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -168.6 | -146.0 | 93.14 |
| $\mathrm{CuO}(\mathrm{s})$ | -157.3 | -129.7 | 42.63 |
| $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -771.36 | -661.8 | 109 |
| $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} .5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})$ | -2279.7 | -1879.7 | 300.4 |

[^6]| Substance | Enthalpy of formation, $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Gibbs Energy of formation, $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} G^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}\right)$ | Entropy,* <br> $\mathbf{S}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deuterium |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 0 | 0 | 144.96 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ | -249.20 | -234.54 | 198.34 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ | -294.60 | -243.44 | 75.94 |
| Fluorine |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 0 | 0 | 202.78 |
| $\mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -332.63 | -278.79 | -13.8 |
| HF(g) | -271.1 | -273.2 | 173.78 |
| $\mathrm{HF}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -332.63 | -278.79 | -13.8 |
| Hydrogen (see also Deuterium) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 0 | 0 | 130.68 |
| $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g})$ | 217.97 | 203.25 | 114.71 |
| $\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1)$ | -285.83 | -237.13 | 69.91 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ | -241.82 | -228.57 | 188.83 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{l})$ | -187.78 | -120.35 | 109.6 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -191.17 | -134.03 | 143.9 |
| Iodine |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | 0 | 0 | 116.14 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 62.44 | 19.33 | 260.69 |
| $\mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -55.19 | -51.57 | 111.3 |
| HI(g) | 26.48 | 1.70 | 206.59 |
| Iron |  |  |  |
| Fe (s) | 0 | 0 | 27.28 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -89.1 | -78.90 | -137.7 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -48.5 | -4.7 | -315.9 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$, magnetite | -1118.4 | -1015.4 | 146.4 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$, haematite | -824.2 | -742.2 | 87.40 |
| FeS(s, $\alpha$ ) | -100.0 | -100.4 | 60.29 |
| FeS(aq) | - | 6.9 | - |
| $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -178.2 | -166.9 | 52.93 |
| Lead |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{s})$ | 0 | 0 | 64.81 |
| $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -1.7 | -24.43 | 10.5 |
| $\mathrm{PbO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -277.4 | -217.33 | 68.6 |
| $\mathrm{PbSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -919.94 | -813.14 | 148.57 |
| $\mathrm{PbBr}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -278.7 | -261.92 | 161.5 |
| $\mathrm{PbBr}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -244.8 | -232.34 | 175.3 |
| Magnesium |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{s})$ | 0 | 0 | 32.68 |
| $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{g})$ | 147.70 | 113.10 | 148.65 |
| $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -466.85 | -454.8 | -138.1 |
| $\mathrm{MgO}(\mathrm{s})$ | -601.70 | -569.43 | 26.94 |
| $\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -1095.8 | -1012.1 | 65.7 |
| $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -524.3 | -503.8 | 117.2 |

(continued)

| Substance | Enthalpy of formation, $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}\right)$ | Gibbs Energy of formation, $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} G^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Entropy,* $\mathbf{S}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mercury |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)$ | 0 | 0 | 76.02 |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{g})$ | 61.32 | 31.82 | 174.96 |
| $\mathrm{HgO}(\mathrm{s})$ | -90.83 | -58.54 | 70.29 |
| $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -265.22 | -210.75 | 192.5 |
| Nitrogen |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 0 | 0 | 191.61 |
| $\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})$ | 90.25 | 86.55 | 210.76 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ | 82.05 | 104.20 | 219.85 |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 33.18 | 51.31 | 240.06 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 9.16 | 97.89 | 304.29 |
| $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}(1)$ | -174.10 | -80.71 | 155.60 |
| $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -207.36 | -111.25 | 146.4 |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$(aq) | -205.0 | -108.74 | 146.4 |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -46.11 | -16.45 | 192.45 |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -80.29 | -26.50 | 111.3 |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$(aq) | -132.51 | -79.31 | 113.4 |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{s})$ | -114.2 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{HN}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 294.1 | 328.1 | 238.97 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(1)$ | 50.63 | 149.34 | 121.21 |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -365.56 | -183.87 | 151.08 |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{s})$ | -314.43 | -202.87 | 94.6 |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -295.31 | -88.75 | 186.2 |
| Oxygen |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 0 | 0 | 205.14 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 142.7 | 163.2 | 238.93 |
| $\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -229.99 | -157.24 | -10.75 |
| Phosphorus |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{s})$, white | 0 | 0 | 41.09 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 58.91 | 24.44 | 279.98 |
| $\mathrm{PH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 5.4 | 13.4 | 210.23 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ (s) | -2984.0 | -2697.0 | 228.86 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -964.8 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(1)$ | -1266.9 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -1277.4 | -1018.7 | - |
| $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}(1)$ | -319.7 | -272.3 | 217.18 |
| $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -287.0 | -267.8 | 311.78 |
| $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -374.9 | -305.0 | 364.6 |
| Potassium |  |  |  |
| K(s) | 0 | 0 | 64.18 |
| K(g) | 89.24 | 60.59 | 160.34 |
| $\mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -252.38 | -283.27 | 102.5 |
| KOH(s) | -424.76 | -379.08 | 78.9 |
| $\mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -482.37 | -440.50 | 91.6 |
| KF(s) | -567.27 | -537.75 | 66.57 |


| Substance | Enthalpy of formation, $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}\right)$ | Gibbs Energy of formation, $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} G^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}\right)$ | Entropy,* $\mathbf{S}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathbf{J ~ K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Potassium (continued) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{KCl}(\mathrm{s})$ | -436.75 | -409.14 | 82.59 |
| $\mathrm{KBr}(\mathrm{s})$ | -393.80 | -380.66 | 95.90 |
| $\mathrm{KI}(\mathrm{s})$ | -327.90 | -324.89 | 106.32 |
| $\mathrm{KClO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -397.73 | -296.25 | 143.1 |
| $\mathrm{KClO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -432.75 | -303.09 | 151.0 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (s) | -380.7 | -364.0 | 105 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -471.5 | -480.7 | 190.4 |
| Silicon |  |  |  |
| Si (s) | 0 | 0 | 18.83 |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}, \alpha)$ | -910.94 | -856.64 | 41.84 |
| Silver |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{s})$ | 0 | 0 | 42.55 |
| $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | 105.58 | 77.11 | 72.68 |
| $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})$ | -31.05 | -11.20 | 121.3 |
| $\mathrm{AgBr}(\mathrm{s})$ | -100.37 | -96.90 | 107.1 |
| $\operatorname{AgBr}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -15.98 | -26.86 | 155.2 |
| $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$ | -127.07 | -109.79 | 96.2 |
| $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -61.58 | -54.12 | 129.3 |
| AgI(s) | -61.84 | -66.19 | 115.5 |
| AgI(aq) | 50.38 | 25.52 | 184.1 |
| $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -124.39 | -33.41 | 140.92 |
| Sodium |  |  |  |
| Na (s) | 0 | 0 | 51.21 |
| $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{g})$ | 107.32 | 76.76 | 153.71 |
| $\mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -240.12 | -261.91 | 59.0 |
| $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{s})$ | -425.61 | -379.49 | 64.46 |
| $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -470.11 | -419.15 | 48.1 |
| $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{s})$ | -411.15 | -384.14 | 72.13 |
| $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -407.3 | -393.1 | 115.5 |
| $\mathrm{NaBr}(\mathrm{s})$ | -361.06 | -348.98 | 86.82 |
| $\mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{s})$ | -287.78 | -286.06 | 98.53 |
| $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -947.7 | -851.9 | 102.1 |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (s) | -1130.9 | -1047.7 | 136.0 |
| Sulphur |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{s})$, rhombic | 0 | 0 | 31.80 |
| $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{s})$, monoclinic | 0.33 | 0.1 | 32.6 |
| $\mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | 33.1 | 85.8 | -14.6 |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -296.83 | -300.19 | 248.22 |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -395.72 | -371.06 | 256.76 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(1)$ | -813.99 | -690.00 | 156.90 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -909.27 | -744.53 | 20.1 |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -909.27 | -744.53 | 20.1 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -20.63 | -33.56 | 205.79 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -39.7 | -27.83 | 121 |
| $\mathrm{SF}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -1209 | -1105.3 | 291.82 |


| Substance | Enthalpy of formation, $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}\right)$ | Gibbs Energy of formation, $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} G^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Entropy,* $\mathbf{S}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tin |  |  |  |
| Sn(s), white | 0 | 0 | 51.55 |
| $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{s})$, gray | -2.09 | 0.13 | 44.14 |
| $\mathrm{SnO}(\mathrm{s})$ | -285.8 | -256.9 | 56.5 |
| $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | -580.7 | -519.6 | 52.3 |
| Zinc |  |  |  |
| Zn (s) | 0 | 0 | 41.63 |
| $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -153.89 | -147.06 | -112.1 |
| ZnO (s) | -348.28 | -318.30 | 43.64 |
| $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{g})$ | +130.73 | +95.14 | 160.93 |

*The entropies of individual ions in solution are determined by setting the entropy of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$in water equal to 0 and then defining the entropies of all other ions relative to this value; hence a negative entropy is one that is lower than the entropy of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$in water.

## ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

| Substance | Enthalpy of combustion, $\Delta_{\mathrm{c}} \boldsymbol{H}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Enthalpy of formation, $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Gibbs Energy of formation, $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} G^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Entropy, $\mathbf{S}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hydrocarbons |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})$, methane | -890 | -74.81 | -50.72 | 186.26 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$, ethyne (acetylene) | -1300 | 226.73 | 209.20 | 200.94 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})$, ethene(ethylene) | -1411 | 52.26 | 68.15 | 219.56 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})$, ethane | -1560 | -84.68 | -32.82 | 229.60 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})$, propene (propylene) | -2058 | 20.42 | 62.78 | 266.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})$, cyclopropane | -2091 | 53.30 | 104.45 | 237.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathrm{~g})$, propane | -2220 | -103.85 | -23.49 | 270.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathrm{~g})$, butane | -2878 | -126.15 | -17.03 | 310.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12}(\mathrm{~g})$, pentane | -3537 | -146.44 | -8.20 | 349 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{l})$, benzene | -3268 | 49.0 | 124.3 | 173.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -3302 | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathrm{l})$, toluene | -3910 | 12.0 | 113.8 | 221.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -3953 | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}(\mathrm{l})$, cyclohexane | -3920 | -156.4 | 26.7 | 204.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}(\mathrm{~g})$, | $-3953$ | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}(\mathrm{l})$, octane | -5471 | -249.9 | 6.4 | 358 |
| Alcohols and phenols |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(1)$, methanol | -726 | -238.86 | -166.27 | 126.8 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{g})$ | -764 | -200.66 | -161.96 | 239.81 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l})$, ethanol | -1368 | -277.69 | -174.78 | 160.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{g})$ | -1409 | -235.10 | -168.49 | 282.70 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{s})$, phenol | -3054 | -164.6 | -50.42 | 144.0 |


| Substance | Enthalpy of combustion, $\Delta_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{H}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Enthalpy of formation, $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Gibbs Energy of formation, $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} G^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Entropy, $\mathbf{S}^{\ominus} /\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carboxylic acid |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{HCOOH}(1)$, formic acid | -255 | -424.72 | -361.35 | 128.95 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}(\mathrm{l}$, acetic acid | -875 | -484.5 | -389.9 | 159.8 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}(\mathrm{aq})$ | - | -485.76 | -396.64 | 86.6 |
| $(\mathrm{COOH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$, oxalic acid | -254 | -827.2 | -697.9 | 120 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{COOH}(\mathrm{s})$, benzoic acid | -3227 | -385.1 | -245.3 | 167.6 |
| Aldehydes and ketones |  |  |  |  |
| HCHO(g), methanal (formaldehyde) | -571 | -108.57 | -102.53 | 218.77 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}(\mathrm{l})$, ethanal (acetaldehyde) | -1166 | -192.30 | -128.12 | 160.2 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}(\mathrm{g})$ | -1192 | -166.19 | -128.86 | 250.3 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}(\mathrm{l})$, propanone (acetone) | -1790 | -248.1 | -155.4 | 200 |
| Sugars |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~s})$, glucose | -2808 | -1268 | -910 | 212 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{aq})$ | - |  | -917 | - |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~s})$, fructose | -2810 | -1266 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}(\mathrm{~s})$, sucrose | -5645 | -2222 | $-1545$ | 360 |
| Nitrogen compounds |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$, urea | -632 | -333.51 | -197.33 | 104.60 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}(1)$, aniline | -3393 | 31.6 | 149.1 | 191.3 |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}(\mathrm{s})$, glycine | -969 | -532.9 | -373.4 | 103.51 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$, methylamine | -1085 | -22.97 | 32.16 | 243.41 |

Standard potentials at 298 K in electrochemical order

| Reduction half-reaction | $\boldsymbol{E}^{\ominus} / \mathrm{V}$ | Reduction half-reaction | $\boldsymbol{E}^{\ominus} / \mathrm{V}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{XeO}_{6}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{XeO}_{3}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | +3.0 | $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cu}$ | +0.52 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{~F}-$ | +2.87 | $\mathrm{NiOOH}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | +0.49 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{3}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | +2.07 | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{Ag}+\mathrm{CrO}_{4}^{2-}$ | +0.45 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}^{2-}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}$ | +2.05 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow 4 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | +0.40 |
| $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ | +1.98 | $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{ClO}_{3}^{-}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | +0.36 |
| $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ | +1.81 | $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{3-}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{4-}$ | +0.36 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | +1.78 | $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cu}$ | +0.34 |
| $\mathrm{Au}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Au}$ | +1.69 | $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{Hg}+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | +0.27 |
| $\mathrm{Pb}^{4+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ | +1.67 | $\mathrm{AgCl}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ag}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | +0.27 +0.20 |
| $2 \mathrm{HClO}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | +1.63 | $\mathrm{Bi}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Bi}$ $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.20 \\ & +0.17 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ce}^{4+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ce}^{3+}$ | +1.61 | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2+}+4 \mathrm{H}+2 \mathrm{e} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cu}^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.17 \\ & +0.16 \end{aligned}$ |
| $2 \mathrm{HBrO}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | +1.60 | $\mathrm{Sn}^{4+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sn}^{2+}$ | +0.15 |
| $\underset{\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}}{ }+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+5 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | +1.51 | $\mathrm{AgBr}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ag}+\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | +0.07 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ | +1.51 | $\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ti}^{3+}$ | 0.00 |
| $\mathrm{Au}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Au}$ | +1.40 | $2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}-\longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 0.0 by |
| $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | +1.36 |  | definition |
| $\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}+14 \mathrm{H}^{+}+6 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | +1.33 | $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fe}$ | -0.04 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | +1.24 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{HO}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | -0.08 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | +1.23 | $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pb}$ | -0.13 |
| $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{ClO}_{3}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | +1.23 | $\mathrm{In}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{In}$ | -0.14 |
| $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | +1.23 | $\mathrm{Sn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sn}$ | -0.14 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pt}$ | +1.20 | $\mathrm{AgI}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ag}+\mathrm{I}^{-}$ | -0.15 |
| $\mathrm{Br}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | +1.09 | $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ni}$ | -0.23 |
| $\mathrm{Pu}^{4+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pu}^{3+}$ | +0.97 | $\mathrm{V}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{V}^{2+}$ | -0.26 |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{NO}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | +0.96 | $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Co}$ | -0.28 |
| $2 \mathrm{Hg}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hg}_{2}^{2+}$ | +0.92 | $\mathrm{In}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{In}$ | -0.34 |
| $\mathrm{ClO}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | +0.89 | $\mathrm{Tl}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tl}$ | -0.34 |
| $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hg}$ | +0.86 | $\mathrm{PbSO}_{4}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pb}+\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}$ | -0.36 |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | +0.80 | $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ti}^{2+}$ | -0.37 |
| $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ag} \quad \mathrm{C}$ | +0.80 | $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cd}$ | -0.40 |
| $\mathrm{Hg}_{2}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{Hg}$ | +0.79 | $\mathrm{In}^{2+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{In}^{+}$ | -0.40 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ | +0.77 | $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cr}^{2+}$ | -0.41 |
| $\mathrm{BrO}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}^{-}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | +0.76 | $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fe}$ | -0.44 |
| $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{Hg}+\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}$ | +0.62 | $\mathrm{In}^{3+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{In}^{+}$ | -0.44 |
| $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{2-}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{2}+4 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | +0.60 |  | -0.48 |
| $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{2-}$ | +0.56 | $\mathrm{In}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{In}^{2+}$ | -0.49 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{I}^{-}$ | +0.54 | $\mathrm{U}^{4+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ur}^{++} \mathrm{Cr}$ | -0.61 -0.74 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{3}^{-}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow 3 \mathrm{I}^{-}$ | +0.53 | $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Zn}$ | -0.76 |

Appendix continued

| Reduction half-reaction | $\boldsymbol{E}^{\ominus} / \mathbf{V}$ | Reduction half-reaction | $\boldsymbol{E}^{\ominus} / \mathbf{V}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cd}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | -0.81 | $\mathrm{La}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{La}$ | -2.52 |
| $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | -0.83 | $\mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Na}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cr}$ | -0.91 | $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ca}$ | -2.71 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mn}$ | -1.18 | $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sr}$ | -2.87 |
| $\mathrm{~V}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{V}$ | -1.19 | $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ba}$ | -2.89 |
| $\mathrm{Ti}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ti}$ | -1.63 | $\mathrm{Ra}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ra}$ | -2.91 |
| $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Al}$ | -1.66 | $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cs}$ | -2.92 |
| $\mathrm{U}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}$ | -1.79 | $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Rb}$ | -2.92 |
| $\mathrm{Sc}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sc}$ | $\mathrm{K}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}$ | -2.93 |  |
| $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mg}$ | $\mathrm{Li}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Li}$ | -2.93 |  |
| $\mathrm{Ce}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ce}$ |  | -3.05 |  |
|  | -2.09 |  |  |

## Answer to Some Selected Problems

## UNIT 1

$1.17 \sim 15 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~g}, 1.25 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}$
1.18
(i) $4.8 \times 10^{-3}$
(ii) $2.34 \times 10^{5}$
(iii) $8.008 \times 10^{3}$
(iv) $5.000 \times 10^{2}$
(v) 6.0012
1.19
(i) 2
(ii) 3
(iii) 4
(iv) 3
(v) 4
(vi) 5
1.20
(i) 34.2
(ii) 10.4
(iii) 0.0460
(iv) 2810
1.21
(a) law of multiple proportion
(b) (i) Ans : $\left(10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}, 10^{15} \mathrm{pm}\right)$
(ii) Ans : $\left(10^{-6} \mathrm{~kg}, 10^{6} \mathrm{ng}\right)$
(iii) Ans : $\left(10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}, 10^{-3} \mathrm{dm}^{3}\right)$
$1.22 \quad 6.00 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~m}=0.600 \mathrm{~m}$
1.23 (i) B is limiting
(iii) Stoichiometric mixture -No
(ii) A is limiting
(iv) B is limiting
1.24 (i) $2.43 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g}$
(v) A is limiting
(ii) Yes
(iii) Hydrogen will remain unreacted; $5.72 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~g}$
1.26 Ten volumes
1.27 (i) $2.87 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~m} \quad$ (ii) $1.515 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~m} \quad$ (iii) $2.5365 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~kg}$
$1.30 \quad 1.99265 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~g}$
1.31 (i) 3
(ii) 4
(iii) 4
$1.32 \quad 39.948 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
1.33 (i) $3.131 \times 10^{25}$ atoms (ii) 13 atoms (iii) $7.8286 \times 10^{24}$ atoms
1.34 Empirical formula CH , molar mass $26.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$
$1.35 \quad 0.94 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$
$1.36 \quad 8.40 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HCl}$

## UNIT 2

2.1 (i) $1.099 \times 10^{27}$ electrons (ii) $5.48 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~kg}, 9.65 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{C}$
2.2 (i) $6.022 \times 10^{24}$ electrons
(ii) (a) $2.4088 \times 10^{21}$ neutrons(b) $4.0347 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~kg}$
(iii) (a) $1.2044 \times 10^{22}$ protons (b) $2.015 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~kg}$
2.3 7,6: 8,8: 12,12: 30,26: 50, 38
2.4
(ii) U
(iii) Be
$2.5 \quad 5.17 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 1.72 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$
2.6
(i) $1.988 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}$
(ii) $3.98 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{~J}$
$2.7 \quad 6.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}, \quad 5.0 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $16.66 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$
$2.8 \quad 2.012 \times 10^{16}$ photons
2.9 (i) $4.97 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}(3.10 \mathrm{eV})$; (ii) $0.97 \mathrm{eV} \quad$ (iii) $5.84 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$2.10494 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$2.11 \quad 7.18 \times 10^{19} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$2.12 \quad 4.41 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 2.91 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$
$2.13 \quad 486 \mathrm{~nm}$
$2.14 \quad 8.72 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~J}$
$2.15 \quad 15$ emission lines
2.16 (i) $8.72 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~J}$ (ii) 1.3225 nm
$2.17 \quad 1.523 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$
$2.182 .08 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{ergs}, 950 \AA$
2.19 3647A
$2.20 \quad 3.55 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~m}$
2.21 8967A
$2.22 \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ca}^{2+} ; \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{S}^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{K}^{+}$
2.23
(i) (a) 1 s
(b) $1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{6}$;
(c) $1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{6}$
(d) $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6}$
$2.24 \mathrm{n}=5$
$2.25 \mathrm{n}=3 ; l=2 ; \mathrm{m}_{l}=-2,-1,0,+1,+2$ (any one value)
2.26 (i) 29 protons
2.27 1, 2, 15
2.28 (i) $l \quad \mathrm{~m}_{l}$ $0 \quad 0$
$1-1,0,+1$
$2-2,-1,0,+1,+2$
(ii) $l=2 ; \mathrm{m}_{1}=-2,-1,0,+1,+2$
(iii) $2 s, 2 p$
2.29 (a) 1 s , (b) $3 p$, (c) $4 d$ and (d) $4 f$
2.30 (a), (c) and (e) are not possible
2.31 (a) 16 electrons (b) 2 electrons
$2.33 n=2$ to $n=1$
$2.34 \quad 8.72 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}$ per atom
$2.35 \quad 1.33 \times 10^{9}$
$2.36 \quad 0.06 \mathrm{~nm}$
2.37 (a) $1.3 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{pm}$ (b) $6.15 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{pm}$
2.381560
2.398
2.40 More number of K-particles will pass as the nucleus of the lighter atoms is small, smaller number of K-particles will be deflected as a number of positve charges is less than on the lighter nuclei.
2.41 For a given element the number of prontons is the same for the isotopes, whereas the mass number can be different for the given atomic number.
$2.42 \quad{ }_{35}^{81} \mathrm{Br}$

```
2.43 }\quad\mp@subsup{1}{17}{37}\mp@subsup{\textrm{Cl}}{}{-1
2.44 }\mp@subsup{}{26}{56}\mp@subsup{\textrm{Fe}}{}{3+
2.45 Cosmic rays > X-rays > amber colour > microwave > FM
2.46 3.3 < 106 J
2.47
```

(a) $4.87 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
(b) $9.0 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~m}$
(c) $32.27 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~J}$

```
(d) \(6.2 \times 10^{18}\) quanta
2.4810
\(2.49 \quad 8.28 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~J}\)
\(2.50 \quad 3.45 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~J}\)
2.51 (a) Threshold wave length (b) Threshold frequency of radiation 652.46 nm
\(4.598 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\)
(c) Kinetic energy of ejected photoelectron
\(9.29 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~J}\), Velocity of photoelectron \(4.516 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}\)
\(2.52 \quad 530.9 \mathrm{~nm}\)
\(2.53 \quad 4.48 \mathrm{eV}\)
\(2.54 \quad 7.6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{eV}\)
2.55 infrared, 5
2.56434 nm
\(2.57 \quad 455\) pm
\(2.58 \quad 494.5 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}\)
\(2.59 \quad 332 \mathrm{pm}\)
\(2.60 \quad 1.516 \times 10^{-38} \mathrm{~m}\)
2.61 Cannot be defined as the actual magnitude is smaller than uncertainity.
2.62 (v) < (ii) \(=\) (iv) < (vi) \(=\) (iii) < (i)
\(2.634 p\)
2.64 (i) 2 s
(ii) \(4 d\)
(iii) \(3 p\)
2.65 Si
2.66 (a) 3
(b) 2
(c) 6
(d) 4
(e) zero
\(2.67 \quad 16\)
```


## UNIT 5

```
\(5.1 \quad 2.5\) bar
\(5.2 \quad 0.8\) bar
\(5.4 \quad 70 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}\)
\(5.5 \quad M_{B}=4 M_{A}\)
\(5.6 \quad 203.2 \mathrm{~mL}\)
\(5.7 \quad 8.314 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~Pa}\)
\(5.8 \quad 1.8\) bar
\(5.93 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}\)
\(5.10 \quad 1249.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\)
\(5.113 / 5\)
\(5.12 \quad 50 \mathrm{~K}\)
```

| 5.13 | $4.2154 \times 10^{23}$ electrons |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5.14 | $1.90956 \times 10^{6}$ year |
| 5.15 | 56.025 bar |
| 5.16 | 3811.1 kg |
| 5.17 | 5.05 L |
| 5.18 | $40 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ |
| 5.19 | $0.8 \mathrm{bar}^{2}$ |

## UNIT 6

6.1 (ii)
6.2 (iii)
6.3 (ii)
6.4 (iii)
6.5 (i)
6.6 (iv)
$6.7 \quad q=+701 \mathrm{~J}$
$\mathrm{w}=-394 \mathrm{~J}$, since work is done by the system
$\Delta \mathrm{U}=307 \mathrm{~J}$
$6.8-743.939 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$6.9 \quad 1.067 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$6.10 \Delta H=-7.151 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$6.11-314.8 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$6.12 \Delta_{\mathrm{r}} H=-778 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$6.13-46.2 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$6.14-239 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$6.15 \quad 326 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$6.16 \Delta \mathrm{~S}>0$
$6.17 \quad 2000 \mathrm{~K}$
$6.18 \Delta \mathrm{H}$ is negative (bond energy is released) and $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ is negative (There is less randomness among the molecules than among the atoms)
$6.19 \quad 0.164 \mathrm{~kJ}$, the reaction is not spontaneous.
$6.20 \quad-5.744 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$6.21 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})$ is unstable, but $\mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ is formed.
$6.22 \quad q_{\text {surr }}=+286 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S_{\text {surr }}=959.73 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$

## UNIT 7

$7.2 \quad 12.229$
$7.3 \quad 2.67 \times 10^{4}$
7.5 (i) $4.33 \times 10^{-4}$ (ii) 1.90
$7.6 \quad 1.59 \times 10^{-15}$
$7.8 \quad\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]=0.0482 \mathrm{molL}^{-1},\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=0.0933 \mathrm{molL}^{-1},\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]=6.6 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{molL}^{-1}$

| 7.9 | 0.0352 mol of NO and 0.0178 mol of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7.10 | $7.47 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ |
| 7.11 | 4.0 |
| 7.12 | $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{c}}=2.379 \times 10^{3}$. No, reaction is not at equilibrium. |
| 7.14 | 0.44 |
| 7.15 | $0.068 \mathrm{molL}^{-1}$ each of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ |
| 7.16 | $\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]=\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]=0.167 \mathrm{M},[\mathrm{ICl}]=0.446 \mathrm{M}$ |
| 7.17 | $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]_{\text {eq }}=3.62 \mathrm{~atm}$ |
| 7.18 | (i) $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] /\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right]$ |
|  | (ii) 3.92 (iii) value of $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is less than $\mathrm{K}_{c}$ therefore equilibrium is not attained. |
| 7.19 | $0.02 \mathrm{molL}^{-1}$ for both. |
| 7.20 | $\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}}\right]=1.739 \mathrm{~atm},\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO} 2}\right]=0.461 \mathrm{~atm}$. |
| 7.21 | No, the reaction proceeds to form more products. |
| 7.22 | $3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{molL}^{-1}$ |
| 7.23 | 0.149 |
| 7.24 | a) -35.0 kJ , b) $1.365 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 7.27 | $\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}=\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Br}_{2}}\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}=2.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{bar},\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HBr}}\right]=10.0 \mathrm{bar}$ |
| 7.30 | b) 120.48 |
| 7.31 | $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}=0.96 \mathrm{bar}$ |
| 7.33 | $2.86 \times 10^{-28} \mathrm{M}$ |
| 7.34 | $5.85 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 7.35 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{HCN}, \mathrm{ClO}_{4}, \mathrm{HF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{HS}^{-}$ |
| 7.36 | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}, \mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$ |
| 7.37 | $\mathrm{F}^{-}, \mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}, \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ |
| 7.38 | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}, \mathrm{HCOOH}$ |
| 7.41 | 2.42 |
| 7.42 | $1.7 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ |
| 7.43 | $\mathrm{F}^{-}=1.5 \times 10^{-11}, \mathrm{HCOO}^{-}=5.6 \times 10^{-11}, \mathrm{CN}^{-}=2.08 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 7.44 | [phenolate ion] $=2.2 \times 10^{-6}, \alpha=4.47 \times 10^{-5}, \alpha$ in sodium phenolate $=10^{-8}$ |
| 7.45 | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\mathrm{HS}^{-}\right]=9.54 \times 10^{-5}, \text { in } 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}\left[\mathrm{HS}^{-}\right]=9.1 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{M},\left[\mathrm{~S}^{2-}\right]=1.2 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{M} \text {, in } 0.1 \mathrm{M}} \\ & \mathrm{HCl}\left[\mathrm{~S}^{2-}\right]=1.09 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ |
| 7.46 | $\left[\mathrm{Ac}^{-}\right]=0.00093, \mathrm{pH}=3.03$ |
| 7.47 | $\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]=7.08 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.08 \times 10^{-7}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=6.29$ |
| 7.48 | a) 2.52 b$) 11.70$ c) 2.70 d) 11.30 |
| 7.49 | a) 11.65 b) 12.21 c) 12.57 c) 1.87 |
| 7.50 | $\mathrm{pH}=1.88, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.70$ |
| 7.51 | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.6 \times 10^{-6}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{b}}=5.8$ |
| 7.52 | $\alpha=6.53 \times 10^{-4}, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.35 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 7.53 | a) 0.0018 b) 0.00018 |
| 7.54 | $\alpha=0.0054$ |
| 7.55 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { a) } 1.48 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}, & \text { b) } 0.063 & \text { c) } 4.17 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{M} & \text { d) } 3.98 \times 10^{-7}\end{array}$ |
| 7.56 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { a) } 1.5 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}, & \text { b) } 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}, & \text { c) } 6.31 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} & \text { d) } 6.31 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\end{array}$ |
| 7.57 | $\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=0.05 \mathrm{M},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=2.0 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{M}$ |

```
\(7.58\left[\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}\right]=0.1581 \mathrm{M},\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=0.3162 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH}=13.50\)
\(7.59 \alpha=1.63 \times 10^{-2}, \mathrm{pH}=3.09\). In presence of \(0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}, \alpha=1.32 \times 10^{-3}\)
\(7.60 \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.09 \times 10^{-4}\) and degree of ionization \(=0.0457\)
\(7.61 \mathrm{pH}=7.97\). Degree of hydrolysis \(=2.36 \times 10^{-5}\)
\(7.62 \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.5 \times 10^{-9}\)
\(7.63 \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{KBr}\) solutions are neutral, \(\mathrm{NaCN}, \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}\) and KF solutions are basic and
    \(\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\) solution is acidic.
7.64 (a) pH of acid solution= 1.9
(b) pH of its salt solution= 7.9
\(7.65 \mathrm{pH}=6.78\)
7.66 a) 12.6
b) 7.00
c) 1.3
7.67 Silver chromate \(\mathrm{S}=0.65 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\); Molarity of \(\mathrm{Ag}^{+}=1.30 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\)
    Molarity of \(\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}=0.65 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\); Barium Chromate \(\mathrm{S}=1.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\); Molarity of
    \(\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\) and \(\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}{ }^{4}\) each is \(1.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\); Ferric Hydroxide \(\mathrm{S}=1.39 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}\);
    Molarity of \(\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}=1.39 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}\); Molarity of \(\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=4.17 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}\)
    Lead Chloride \(\mathrm{S}=1.59 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\); Molarity of \(\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}=1.59 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\)
    Molarity of \(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}=3.18 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\); Mercurous Iodide \(\mathrm{S}=2.24 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}\);
    Molarity of \(\mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}=2.24 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}\) and molarity of \(\mathrm{I}^{-}=4.48 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}\)
7.68 Silver chromate is more soluble and the ratio of their molarities \(=91.9\)
7.69 No precipitate
7.70 Silver benzoate is 3.317 times more soluble at lower pH
7.71 The highest molarity for the solution is \(2.5 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M}\)
\(7.72 \quad 2.43\) litre of water
7.73 Precipitation will take place in cadmium chloride solution
```


[^0]:    * Each H atom attains the configuration of helium (a duplet

[^1]:    * The previous standard is still often used, and applies to all chemistry data more than decade old. In this definition STP denotes the same temperature of $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(273.15 \mathrm{~K})$, but a slightly higher pressure of $1 \mathrm{~atm}(101.325 \mathrm{kPa})$. One mole of any gas of a combination of gases occupies 22.413996 L of volume at STP.
    Standard ambient temperature and pressure (SATP), conditions are also used in some scientific works. SATP conditions means 298.15 K and 1 bar (i.e., exactly $10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}$ ). At SATP (1 bar and 298.15 K ), the molar volume of an ideal gas is $24.789 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

[^2]:    * We could have chosen only the reactants as system then walls of the beakers will act as boundary.

[^3]:    * Earlier negative sign was assigned when the work is done on the system and positive sign when the work is done by the system. This is still followed in physics books, although IUPAC has recommended the use of new sign convention.

[^4]:    * Note that symbol used for bond dissociation enthalpy and mean bond enthalpy is the same.
    ** If we use enthalpy of bond formation, ( $\left.\Delta_{f} H_{\text {bond }}^{\ominus}\right)$, which is the enthalpy change when one mole of a particular type of bond is formed from gaseous atom, then $\Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}=\sum \Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}{ }_{\text {bonds of products }}-\sum \Delta_{f} H^{\ominus}{ }_{\text {bonds of reactants }}$

[^5]:    * The term low temperature and high temperature are relative. For a particular reaction, high temperature could even mean room temperature.

[^6]:    ** For organic compounds, a separate table is provided in continuation.

